| | 1 | 1 | | 3 |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | STATE OF MICHIGAN | 1 | INDEX | |
| 2 IN THE | CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WAYNE | 2 | | <u>Page</u> |
| 3 DETROIT FREE | PRESS, INC., | 3 | EXAMINATION BY MR. FINK | 4 |
| 4 a Michigan c | orporation, | 4 | EXAMINATION BY MR. STEWART | 109 |
| 5 | | 5 | EXAMINATION BY MS. HA | 139 |
| 6 Pla | intiff: | 6 | EXAMINATION BY MS. MCPHAIL | 175 |
| 7 | | 7 | RE-EXAMINATION BY MR. FINK | 193 |
| 8 -vs- | No. 08-100214-CZ | 8 | RE-EXAMINATION BY MS. MCPHAIL | 193 |
| 9 | HON. ROBERT COLOMBO, JR. | 9 | * * * | |
| 10 CITY OF DETR | OIT, | 10 | E X H I B I T S | |
| 11 | | 11 | | Marked |
| 12 Def | endant: | 12 | DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBERS | |
| 13 | | 13 | 1 THROUGH 17 | 4 |
| 14 | | 14 | DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 18 | 133 |
| 15 DEPONENT: | Michael L. Stefani, Esq. | 15 | DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 19 | 154 |
| 16 DATE: | Wednesday, January 30, 2008 | 16 | DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBERS | |
| 17 TIME: | 1:00 P.M. | 17 | 20 AND 21 | 183 |
| 18 LOCATION: | Stefani & Stefani | 18 | * * * | |
| 19 | 512 East Eleven Mile Road | 19 | | |
| 20 | Royal Oak, Michigan | 20 | | |
| 21 | | 21 | | |
| 22 REPORTER: | Candace C. Noblett, (R-2238) | 22 | | |
| 23 | | 23 | | |
| 24 | | 24 | | |
| 25 | | 25 | | |

```
HONIGMAN, MILLER, SCHWARTZ & COHN
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
2290 FIRST NATIONAL BUILDING
DETROIT, MI 48226
(313) 465-7400
BY: Herschel P. Fink (P13427)
Richard E. Zuckerman (P26521)
  1 APPEARANCES:
   2
   3
                          Appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff:
   5
  6
                                           BUTZEL LONG
                                           ATTORNEYS AT LAW
350 SOUTH MAIN STREET - SUITE 300
ANN ARBOR, MI 48104
(734) 213-3624
BY: James E. Stewart (P23254)
  7
  8
  9
                          Appearing on behalf of the Detroit News:
10
                                           CITY OF DETROIT LAW DEPARTMENT 660 WOODWARD AVENUE - SUITE 1650 DETROIT, MI 48226 (313) 237-3016 BY: Ellen Ha (P46476)
11
12
                                                     Valerie Colbert-Osamuede (P42506)
13
                         Appearing on behalf of the Defendant:
14
                                          SHARON MCPHAIL (P26922)
GENERAL COUNSEL, CITY OF DETROIT
SPECIAL CORPORATION COUNSEL
1126 CAYMC
DETROIT, MI 48226
(313) 628-4243
15
16
17
18
                         Appearing on behalf of the Defendant:
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
                          Royal Oak, Michigan
 2
                          January 30, 2008
 3
                          1:50 A.M.
                      (WHEREUPON THE COURT REPORTER MARKED
                     DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBERS 1 THROUGH
 6
                     17, RESPECTIVELY, AND THE PROCEEDINGS
 8
                     IN THIS MATTER COMMENCED WITHOUT THE
                     PRESENCE OF VALERIE COLBERT-OSAMUEDE.
10
                     ESQ.)
11
             MICHAEL
                             L.
                                  STEFANI
12
        Being first duly sworn to tell the truth, the
        whole truth and nothing but the truth,
13
14
        testified as follows:
15
                          EXAMINATION
16 BY MR FINK:
17 Q
        Well, Mr. Stefani --
18
                               (Interposing) Before Mr.
19
        Stefani --
20
                     MS. McPHAIL: No. Wait. Before you
21
        begin --
22
                     MS. HA: (Interposing) Before you
23
        begin, Mr. Fink, with all due respect, I'd like to
24
       place a couple of -- couple of objections on the
        record.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

20 O

MR. FINK: Um-hum (affirmatively). MS. HA: First of all, the record should reflect that Mr. Fink has indicated that Mr. Stefani's production of documents here during the deposition, prior to the deposition officially taking place, that these records were provided to counsel of record to the Detroit Free Press, Detroit News, and that Mr. Fink has indicated, at least on behalf of the Free Press, that he will take the record that was produced himself, and will deliver them to the court tomorrow morning.

The City of Detroit objected to that issue for the reason that with all due respect to Mr. Fink personally, as a gentleman and as a lawyer, we have no guarantees what's going to happen after Mr. Fink walks out of this room. Mr. Fink's car may get stolen. It may be misplaced in his home. We have absolutely no guarantees. And the City of Detroit will be prejudiced by Mr. Fink's --

MR. FINK: (Interposing) Being

mugged?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HA: I don't know. Anything could happen. And we cannot take that risk, pursuant to this Court's order, which specifically indicates that nothing produced during this

recording, 2.306 (C)(2)(b) gives me, without your consent, the right to tape record, as long as it is not a surreptitious recording. And obviously you're aware of it, okay?

MS. HA: And counsel for the City of Detroit, for the record, is calling Judge Colombo at this time to obtain a ruling on this matter, and Mr. Fink has insisted that this deposition pursue.

MR. FINK: Okay. Mr. Stefani --MS. McPHAIL: (Interposing) And on -- and since my name was mentioned, I'm Sharon

McPhail. I'd also like to mention that Mr. Fink

indicated that he doesn't care what we think, and that he's not willing to have discussions on these matters; he's just going to do what he wants to do. So we aren't able to have a collegial discussion and come to any agreement, because Mr. Fink refuses to do so. That's all. (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Okay. Mr. Stefani, you

are the attorney, I understand, who represented the plaintiffs, Mr. Brown, Mr. Nelthrope and Mr.

22 Harris, or all officers, I should say police

23 24 officers in the whistle-blower lawsuit against the

25 City of Detroit and Mayor Kwame Kilpatrick, is that

```
deposition or information during this deposition
shall be disclosed to anyone or any person.
```

MR. FINK: It doesn't -- it doesn't say -- the order speaks for itself, Ms. Ha.

And let's begin the deposition, other than to note on the record that Ms. McPhail just called me an idiot. But other than that...

MS. HA: The second objection --

MS. McPHAIL: (Interposing) Now,

that's not fair.

MS. HA: Wait. But the second objection is that this deposition is being tape-recorded by Mr. Fink.

I assume, Mr. Stewart, Detroit News is taking no action in terms of tape recording this deposition, am I correct?

MR. STEWART: I am not.

MS. HA: Okay.

MR. STEWART: But it is my point that I am also intending to retain in my possession a copy of the records that have been produced today.

MS. HA: And the same objection is made for the Detroit News -- or, against the Detroit News by the City of Detroit.

MR. FINK: With regard to the tape

correct? 1

> 2 A That's correct.

I think it ought to be self-evident, but I'm going 3 Q

to ask you, because it appears to be an issue for 4

the city. In suing Mayor Kilpatrick, did you sue 5

him as a private citizen, or did you sue him in his

7 capacity as mayor of the City of Detroit for

allegedly illegal acts he committed in office?

We sued him as the mayor of the City of Detroit. 9 A

Thank you. Now, in a story -- I'm glad Ms. McPhail 10 Q

is here. In a story that the Free Press published 11 on January 28th, Ms. McPhail, who has filed and is 12

13 here, apparently filed an appearance as special

14 counsel to the City of Detroit, is identified as

the mayor's general counsel. But here's what she's 15

quoted as -- or, here's what she says. Now, I'm 16

going to ask you a question if this is consistent 17

with your knowledge. She's quoted in the Free 18

Press as saying that, "Calls for the Mayor to repay

20 the city for paying his legal bills in the

whistle-blower suit and the nine million dollar 21

22 judgment in that case are misplaced. The case

resulted because of Kilpatrick's official decision 23

24 justifying a taxpayer-funded legal defense, she

said."

8

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

Я

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I would ask you if that statement then 1 is consistent with the theory that you sued --2 3 under which you sued the mayor? MS. McPHAIL: I'm going to object to that. How would he know what's consistent with 5 6 that what? But go on. We sued the mayor as the mayor of the City of 8 A Detroit, as the chief executive. (Continuing by Mr. Fink) She said that --10 Q (Interposing) We did not sue him in his individual 11 A 12 capacity. All right. That's fine. Thank you. 13 0 14 And to your knowledge, and we'll get into this in more detail subsequently, did the City of 15 Detroit itself, and obviously its taxpayers 16 indirectly, pay and settle or satisfy this I think 17 8.4 million dollar judgment against Mayor 18 Kilpatrick and the city? 19 Yes. You spoke -- you misspoke a minute ago and 20 A said it was a nine million dollar judgment plus 21 expenses. And it was an 8.4 -- it was a 22 23 combination of two judgments, and it was settled for 8.4 million dollars. 24 Okay. The media's had a number of statements about 25 Q

1.1 accrued interest was -- brought the total amount to And I was asking for a one hundred -- a one million dollar attorney's fee, for which I had time records. And my normal practice is to bill by the hour, and so we used the same system for recording our time, and it justified a bill of a million dollars. And we ended up discounting that million dollar attorney fee down to one hundred thousand, which brought the settlement to -- from 7.5 -- 7.9 million to eight million. And then when we settled the Harris case at the same time, that was settled for an additional four hundred thousand. So the total amount was eight million four hundred thousand. Okay. To your knowledge, did Kwame Kilpatrick pay 17 0 any part of that amount personally? 19 A You know, I only know what I read in the paper, and it sounded like the city council authorized the settlement. And there was no mention of Mr. Kilpatrick, although the judgment was joint and several, so we did have the right to collect from Mayor Kilpatrick as well as the city. I don't know

where the eight point -- I assume it was all city

nine million dollars. Is that just false, or were there some added expenses here for the other 2 3 lawsuit? MS. HA: Objection as to foundation. 4 Mr. Stefani would have no idea what the -- would not be able to testify on behalf of the news media, unless you want us to depose members of the media 7 8 who published the story about nine million dollars. (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Okay. Can you answer 9 Q 10 whether you understand where the nine million dollar figure came from? 11 12 A I don't understand. 13 0 Okav. I mean, the settlement we arrived at was 8.4 14 A million dollars. That is the only money --15 (Interposing) Okay. 16 Q -- that the -- that we received from the city. 17 A 18 Q Okay. There was no other money or secret money. 19 A Of that 8.4, four was for the Walter 20 Harris case; and the Brown and Nelthrope had, I 21 believe, a -- total verdicts of about I think it 22 was 6.5 or 6.4 million. The accrued interest on 23 24 that verdict -- since there were appeals taken,

that delayed the case four and a half years. The

12 money, because the checks we received, which are in 1 these documents I just -- I provided for you for --2 (Interposing) Yes, I'll get to those. 3 Q 4 A Okay. 5 Q They were all -- all the checks were City of 6 A Detroit checks. Nothing came from Mr. Kil -- or, 7 Mayor Kilpatrick's account. 8 9 Q Okay. Now --10 A (Interposing) There was --(Interposing) Go ahead. Are you done? 11 0 I was going to say, the checks are at the end of 12 A 13 the pile. Okay. And I'll have those marked, or I have them 14 Q marked. We'll get to them later in the deposition. 15 16 On October 19th, 2007, the Detroit Free Press submitted a Freedom of Information Act -- or, 17 request under the Freedom of Information Act for, 18 "All documents or other information related to the 19 settlements." And I'm going to -- I'm just going 20 to -- I had this marked. I'm just going to put it 21 22 out here. So even though you can't identify it, this 23 is an exhibit in the Freedom of Information 24 25 lawsuit. So let me just get that out and give a

q

copy to everyone.

request, which he did --

CN08117

4 0

MR. FINK: Let's see. There's a copy for you all, and one for Mr. Stewart. (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Okay. Now, again, you

would not directly have knowledge of this, but the City of Detroit advised Mr. Schaefer that it had no responsive documents, and that he should submit a request at a later point in time. And he will submit an Affidavit to that account. I will just tell you that he was directed to submit a later

MS. HA: (Interposing) I would just object to the comment, for the reason that nobody else knows better than I do, the City of Detroit, for the record, and it is not disputing that it did receive a first Freedom of Information Act request from the Detroit Free Press dated October 19th, 2007. The City of Detroit did not advise Mr. Schaefer, because the City of Detroit cannot advise anybody other -- the counsel for City of Detroit, as a FOIA coordinator, cannot advise anyone other than its client.

Secondly, the records -- I'm sorry, the request, the first request was denied for the reason that there was no settlement agreement, and

speaking to you.

We just began our deposition, and the question was posed or it has come to our attention that the Free Press plans to leave the room with the records that have been produced, which we believe is in violation of your court order. And also there is a recording device outside of the court reporter being utilized by Mr. Fink as well.

So we wanted to get a ruling as to whether or not the court reporter is to seal the records and to deliver them to the Court, and none of the parties are to take the records out of this room.

JUDGE COLOMBO: Okay.

MR. FINK: May I be heard, your Honor? Herschel Fink.

JUDGE COLOMBO: Right.

MR. FINK: A couple of things. I have asked the court reporter to expedite a transcript and to deliver it to me tonight.

I have a set of the documents that Mr.

Stefani produced pursuant to your order. Everyone is aware of the fact that you have issued a non-disclosure order. We are all subject to that.

My intention is to present to you tomorrow morning, along with Mr. Stewart representing the

there was no document responsive to the FOIA request.

(WHEREUPON VALERIE COLBERT-OSAMUEDE, ESO. ENTERED THE DEPOSITION ROOM.)

MS. HA: I did not advise Mr.

Schaefer to submit a second request.

MR. FINK: All right. Well, in any event, Exhibit 2 is Mr. Schaefer's second request dated November 13, 2007.

MS. McPHAIL: Excuse me, Mr. Fink.

MR. FINK: Yes.

MS. McPHAIL: The Court is going to set up a conference call, and would like us to stop now and speak to the Court.

MS. COLBERT-OSAMUEDE: So, Mr. Stefani, I don't know if you can call the Court back on the phone?

(WHEREUPON A BRIEF PAUSE WAS HAD IN THE PROCEEDINGS. FOR A

TELECONFERENCE AS FOLLOWS:)

JUDGE COLOMBO: Hello. This is Judge

Colombo.

23 MS. COLBERT-OSAMUEDE: Judge Colombo, 24 this is the parties on the FOIA matter with the

City of Detroit. This is Valerie Colbert-Osamuede

Detroit News, the official transcript, along with
the documents, and an argument why you should read
it as quickly as you are able to, and release it as
quickly as you are able to. In order for me to do
that, I need a set of all of the materials. As I
said, I am subject to the non-disclosure provision.

I brought my own tape recorder pursuant to MCR 2.306 (c)(2)(b), which gives me the right, as long as it's not surreptitious, without consent of parties to record it myself.

And I don't think we have a problem, other than constant interruptions and objections from a gaggle of city lawyers who all insist that they are going to make objections, when I've asked only one to do so. Ms. McPhail has shown up and said she's going to make objections, as well as Ms. Ha. And so as long as you're making rulings on various things, I would ask that you do rule on whether one city lawyer speaks for the city.

MS. COLBERT-OSAMUEDE: Well, your Honor, there's three attorneys in the room, three attorneys for the City of Detroit in the room, and so there's six attorneys, three on each side.

And as a matter of fact, Ms. McPhail indicated to Mr. Fink that Ms. Ha will be

```
conducting the deposition, and that she would be making the relevant objections. She also indicated that she may have questions, and if she were going to have questions, she didn't see where there was any reason why she could not make the objections or -- not objections, but ask questions on the record.
```

So one person will be speaking at a time for the City of Detroit during this deposition.

JUDGE COLOMBO: Okay.

MR. STEWART: Your Honor, if I may, this is James Stewart.

I also -- it is also my plan to retain the records tonight subject to the non-disclosure order, that Mr. Stefani has produced today, for the same reasons that Mr. Fink explained.

JUDGE COLOMBO: Okay.

MS. HA: Your Honor, this is Ellen

19 Ha.

This poses a big question for the City of Detroit. I assume Mr. -- if Mr. Fink and Mr. Stewart walks out with a copy of the private agreements, then the city would also be required to do so. I think it's only fair that we do the same. Except once -- this is how -- this is our entire

just sat through the depositions, and you'll be able to paraphrase what occurred in -- or whatever happened in terms of that, and I'll be able to confirm that.

In addition, I assume that the transcript will mark the exhibits, and they will be made part of the records. So the court reporter should also deliver the exhibits to me, okay?

The second thing, it's fine, the court rule allows Mr. Fink to make a recording. But again, you cannot give that recording to anybody, Mr. Fink. It's for your own personal purposes. And if I find that it's been turned over to anybody outside of that room, you'll be in contempt of court. Understood?

MR. FINK: Understood, your Honor.

JUDGE COLOMBO: And only one -- there
has to be designated a city attorney to handle this
matter. Only one city attorney should ask
questions, make objections. Now, if that attorney
wants to consult with the other attorneys, that's
fine. But I only want one attorney handling this
matter on the record. And that's what we do in
every trial that we hold.

So do we know who that's going to be?

```
theory of the case and our defense in this case, once we possess this record and we walk out of here, we have a legal obligation to produce all of these records, which are not our records. This is -- this is the record of Kwame M. Kilpatrick. That is a big legal issue for us, the City of Detroit.
```

JUDGE COLOMBO: Okay. Anybody else want to say anything?

MR. FINK: No, your Honor. But when you see these records, I think you'll understand what's going on here. But I won't pre-judge it.

JUDGE COLOMBO: Okay. Here's what I want. I do not want the court reporter to turn any transcripts over to the attorneys. The transcripts should be -- and all exhibits should be given directly to me. So whenever that can happen, I guess it would be the first thing tomorrow, 'cause I doubt that the transcript will be prepared by the close of the business day. So I would expect that would be brought to my office at 1101 Coleman A. Young Municipal Center.

I don't believe that the attorneys for the Free Press or the News need to have the transcript to be able to prepare their motions. You'll have

```
MS. COLBERT-OSAMUEDE: Well, two things, Judge, so just so I'm clear or we're clear. No one takes the documents out of the room, is that correct?
```

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{JUDGE}}$ COLOMBO: Other than the court reporter.

MS. COLBERT-OSAMUEDE: Other than the court reporter.

JUDGE COLOMBO: And then they'll be attached to the record that I'm going to get delivered to my office I presume the first thing tomorrow morning.

MS. COLBERT-OSAMUEDE: Okay.

MR. FINK: Your Honor, I have one

15 problem --

MS. MCPHAIL: (Interposing) She's

not done.

18 MR. FINK: -- that I need to advise 19 the Court of.

JUDGE COLOMBO: What is that?

MR. FINK: All right. I have -- when
I received the documents this afternoon in

I received the documents this afternoon in

connection with the deposition, I asked for a

second copy so that I could highlight and annotate,

so that I can ask Mr. Stefani relevant questions.

2

12

15

18

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

21

```
So in addition to the copies that will be the
official copy, I have a set that contain my notes
as to the significance of various points and
statements in these records. I would like to be
able to retain my copy, with my notes, subject to
your protective order -- or, your order. I'm
subject to your order, the same as with the
recording.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19 A

20

21

22

23

JUDGE COLOMBO: No. That was not my intention that you would just -- just because you would write on a copy, that you would be able to bootstrap yourself up into getting those documents. I'm not going to permit that. Either those documents are going to be destroyed at the end of the deposition, or they're going to be made part of the record that is submitted to me.

So what do you -- what do you want to do about that, Mr. Fink? How are you going to handle that?

MR. FINK: Well, obviously I can use it during the deposition?

22 JUDGE COLOMBO: Right.

23 MR. FINK: Because I've noted all these things. I don't know what you want me to do 24 25 with it, your Honor, but that's part of my ability copy, which I suppose will be introduced into evidence. So there are actually five copies of 23

24

these documents in this deposition. 3 JUDGE COLOMBO: Well, my expectation

is that, Mr. Stefani, that you will collect the 5 other four copies, the two that you gave to the 6

city attorneys and the two that you gave to Mr. 7 Fink, and hold those, and not allow anyone to see

those. And that your -- your copy apparently is 9 10 the copy that you intended to be marked as the

exhibit, and that should be provided to the court 11

reporter.

13 A Yes, sir, I understand.

JUDGE COLOMBO: Okay. 14

MS. HA: Your Honor --

MR. FINK: (Interposing) Understood, 16

17 your Honor.

MS. HA: Your Honor, this is Ellen Ha

again. I'm sorry. 19

> It just occurred to me that -- and I assume that this is part of the order, but can we also have a ruling that Mr. Stefani not talk about today's deposition to anyone or disclose any of the records to anybody else?

25

JUDGE COLOMBO: Well, he's already

22

```
to prepare a -- an intelligent argument to you as
to the significance, is being able to point to
specific things in these documents that contradict
statements made directly to you, as well as
statements made in writing to the Free Press and in
pleadings before you.
```

BUDGE COLOMBO: Mr. Fink, I am sure you'll be able to paraphrase those things. I am confident in your ability. I don't believe that you need to have those with you to write a brief, and I'm not going to let you do that.

MR. FINK: Okay. Then I will -- I

suppose I --

JUDGE COLOMBO: (Interposing) Will you dispose of those documents? Is there a shredder at Mr. Stefani's office?

MR. FINK: Either that, or I'll give 17 18 them back to Mr. Stefani.

> Your Honor, this is Mike Stefani. And I just want you to know that I've made four copies of the exhibits, and I've furnished two to the city and

two to Mr. Fink. (WHEREUPON RICHARD ZUCKERMAN, ESQ.,

LEFT THE DEPOSITION ROOM.) 24

25 A And then of course I have what -- I have my own under a confidentiality order. I allowed him to only talk about this in terms of the deposition. I think it's obvious that he's not supposed to talk to anybody about this, should not be talking to the media about this on anything.

But I think maybe I should enter an order to that effect, and instruct Mr. Stefani that you're not to have a discussion with the media or anybody else afterwards about what was discussed during this deposition. Do you understand that,

Mr. Stefani?

12 A Well, I -- I read your original order to encompass 13 that.

JUDGE COLOMBO: Okay. 14

And I will say on the record now that I agree that 15 A I will not discuss the deposition or the documents 16 17 or furnish the documents to anyone.

(WHEREUPON RICHARD ZUCKERMAN RE-ENTERED THE DEPOSITION ROOM.)

19

20 A And I will follow the Court's order very strictly.

21 JUDGE COLOMBO: Okay. Because I

intend it to be followed strictly. Because one of 22 the reasons that this all became an issue, is 23

24 because you were talking to the media and suggested that there were some confidential agreements there, 25

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18 A

21

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

25

```
Mr. Stefani.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the question becomes, do I need to have another order with respect to that, or is the order that I entered sufficient, Ms. Ha?

MS. HA: It's sufficient for the reason that there is a court reporter here who is taking down everything that is being said.

JUDGE COLOMBO: Okay. All right. Is there anything else that we need to take up?

MS. COLBERT-OSAMUEDE: One other thing with respect to your decision, Judge, about the attorneys for the City of Detroit, one speaking for the city. Is the mayor allowed a

representative in this -- in his official capacity in today's proceeding?

JUDGE COLOMBO: There's been no motion to intervene by anybody other than the Detroit News. And so as far as I'm concerned, the only parties to this deposition are the Free Press, the News, and the City of Detroit. So why would a nonparty be permitted to -- to participate, when there was no motion to intervene?

MS. COLBERT-OSAMUEDE: So the mayor is not allowed a rep in his official capacity, is that my understanding?

there's not going to be anymore interruptions. I am in a trial this afternoon, Counsel, so try to work through your problems. And if it -- if it really becomes important that you talk to me, I would prefer that you wait until 3:45 to call me, when I'm going to be done with my trial for the dav.

> MR. FINK: Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE COLOMBO: Okay. Bye. MS. HA: Thank you, your Honor. (WHEREUPON THE TELECONFERENCE CONCLUDED AND THE PROCEEDINGS CONTINUED AS FOLLOWS:)

So remember, everyone, there have been four copies; 14 A two to the Free Press, two to the city, and I would 15 16 appreciate it if you give those to me.

(Continuing by Mr. Fink) We'll give them all back. 17 Q So that I'm not -- diligent in my duties.

19 Q Okay. Continuing where we left off was, Mr.

20 Schaefer did indeed submit, and that's Exhibit

Number 2, a request dated November 13, 2007. And

22 the record will reflect -- the document will

reflect that it is somewhat broader. And in 23

addition to requesting that the city produce, "All

documents or other information related to the 25

JUDGE COLOMBO: That's the -- well, there's no motion to intervene. I presume the mayor's known about this, and this action has been in the newspaper, so yes, that's my ruling.

MR. FINK: Well, your Honor, I would just note that Ms. McPhail's official job is general counsel to Mayor Kwame Kilpatrick, yet she's here participating in this deposition on behalf of the City of Detroit.

MS. COLBERT-OSAMUEDE: She -- she is special counsel to the City of Detroit. She has been duly noted as special counsel pursuant to the charter to the City of Detroit, and she's entered an appearance with that specific delegation, and it has been filed with the Court as well.

JUDGE COLOMBO: Well, that's satisfactory to me. As long as she's representing the City of Detroit, I don't see that there's a problem.

MR. FINK: Okay, your Honor.

JUDGE COLOMBO: Okay.

MR. FINK: Thank you.

MS. HA: Thank you, your Honor.

JUDGE COLOMBO: Thank you. Hopefully you'll be able to get this in. I'm hoping that

conclusion of the cases", it goes on and expressly asks for, "Any and all documents that the city or its lawyers may consider or have labeled 'confidential'."

All right. So now in response to the Free Press' second request, the city, on December the 7th, produced just two documents that they -- the city claimed, and I'm going to mark as Exhibit Number 3, it's also in evidence -- or, it's an exhibit to our lawsuit, the cover letter dated December the 7th, 2007, from the city.

They produced two documents, both of them labeled, and we'll mark those 4 and 5, Settlement Agreement and General Release. And I'll go through the dates, so the record is clear as to what was produced by the city. And those are also exhibits to the Free Press' Complaint in this case.

I'm handing Mr. Stefani, just for purposes of reference, Exhibit Number 4. And I'm going to go through it, because I think he can identify those. And Exhibit Number 5. And I will give copies to everyone.

This is 5, and this is 5, and this is 4, and this is 4 (indicating).

MR. STEWART: Thanks.

28

| | 29 | | 31 |
|------|---|------|---|
| 1 Q | (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Okay. Now, Exhibit | 1 | And can you you can confirm that? |
| 2 | Number 4 is the Settlement Agreement and General | 2 A | That's correct. |
| 3 | Release in the Harris case. And, Mr. Stefani, are | 3 Q | And a William Copeland, II, also signed on December |
| 4 | you able to identify Number 4 as the settle a | 4 | 5. And his signature is marked as authorized agent |
| 5 | Settlement Agreement and General Release in the | 5 | for the City of Detroit. Can you confirm that as |
| 6 | Harris case? | 6 | well, Mr. Stefani? |
| 7 A | Yes, that's correct. | 7 A | That's correct. |
| 8 Q | All right. And just to walk you through it, that | 8 Q | Now, referring to both 4 and 5, can you confirm |
| 9 | agreement is signed of course by Walter Harris, who | 9 | that each of those agreements contained what we |
| 10 | was the plaintiff, dated November 1, '07. It is | 10 | lawyers refer to as an integration clause, saying |
| 11 | signed by Valerie Colbert is it Os I'm sorry. | 1.1 | that each of those two agreements contains the |
| 12 A | Osamuede. | 12 | entire agreement, and supersedes all other |
| 13 Q | Osamuede. | 13 | agreements with regard to the subject material? |
| 14 | MR. FINK: I have problems, and I | 14 | MS. HA: I'm sorry. What paragraph |
| 15 | apologize to you. | 15 | are you referring to? |
| 16 | MS. COLBERT-OSAMUEDE: That's fine. | 16 | MR. FINK: Well, it's a different |
| 17 | MR. FINK: If I just say Valerie | 17 | paragraph in each one, but it's the one that says |
| 18 | Colbert | 18 | "Entire Agreement." |
| 19 | MS. COLBERT-OSAMUEDE: (Interposing) | 19 A | If you look, it's Paragraph 11 in Exhibit 5. |
| 20 | That's fine. | 20 Q | (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Yeah. |
| 21 Q | (Continuing by Mr. Fink) And she signed in her | 21 A | And para well, both are Paragraph 11. One's |
| 22 | capacity as attorney both for the city and Kwame | 22 | one's Exhibit 4, it's on Page 3 well, they're |
| 23 | Kilpatrick, is that correct? Does that appear on | 23 | both on Page 3, also. |
| 24 | there? | 24 Q | And you can confirm that that says that, correct? |
| 25 A | Yes. | 25 A | Well, you know, you paraphrased it, and the |
| 1 | | | |

1

| 1 | Q | And then |
|----|---|---|
| | Ų | |
| 2 | | MS. HA: (Interposing) Well, that's |
| 3 | | not correct. It does say Kwame M. Kilpatrick. And |
| 4 | | under Ms. Colbert's signature, it says, "Attorney |
| 5 | | for Defendants." And whomever the defendants may |
| 6 | | have been for that case, that is what it appears to |
| 7 | | be. And City of Detroit, it was signed by Ms. |
| 8 | | Colbert, and it says, "Attorney for Defendants." |
| 9 | | MR. FINK: Well, in fact she signed |
| 10 | | it under Kwame Kilpatrick, and she signed it under |
| 11 | | City of Detroit as authorized agent. It speaks for |
| 12 | | itself, Ms. Ha. |
| 13 | | MS. HA: Okay. |
| 14 | Q | (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Now, Exhibit Number 5, |
| 15 | | Mr. Stefani, can you identify as a Settlement |
| 16 | | Agreement and General Release in the Brown and |
| 17 | | Nelthrope case? |
| 18 | Α | Yes. |
| 19 | Q | And it's signed on November 1 by both Brown and |
| 20 | | Nelthrope, and notarized by you, correct? |
| 21 | Α | That is correct. |
| 22 | Q | And on this Settlement Agreement, Samuel McCargo, |
| 23 | | or Sam I don't know. Let me see what it says. |
| 24 | | I can't see. Maybe it's just his initials. But |

Mr. McCargo signed for Kilpatrick on December 5.

| | | -g,,, |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | | says approximately what you said, but not in those |
| 3 | | exact words. |
| 4 | Q | All right. Well, my you're absolutely correct, |
| 5 | | the agreement speaks for itself. But the question |
| 6 | | I have now for you is, was that a true statement? |
| 7 | A | It says, "This Agreement contains the entire |
| 8 | | agreement and understanding between Plaintiff and |
| 9 | | Defendant Defendants, with respect to any and |
| 10 | | all disputes or claims that Plaintiff has, or could |
| 11 | | have had, against the Defendants as of the date of |
| 12 | | this Agreement the date this Agreement is |
| 13 | | executed, and supersedes all other agreements |
| 14 | | between Plaintiff and Defendants with respect to |
| 15 | | such disputes or claims and may not be amended |
| 16 | | except in writing, signed in in a writing signed |
| 17 | | by all the parties." |
| 18 | Q | And is that true that this this document, 5 |
| 19 | | and these documents, 4 and 5, contain the entire |
| 20 | | agreement and understanding between the plaintiffs |
| 21 | | and defendants with respect to any and all disputes |
| 22 | | or claims? Is that a was that a true statement? |
| 23 | Α | (No response). |
| 24 | Q | Stated another way, were there other agreements? |
| 25 | Α | There were. There was the Confidentiality |
| | | |

agreement really speaks for itself. It says -- it

2

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(248) 737-6691

33

```
Agreement that was executed, I believe, on the same
 1
               But as -- you know, whether or not that
 2
        Confidentiality Agreement relates to a dispute
 3
        between the plaintiffs and the defendants, is a
 4
        matter of interpretation. It could be -- it could
 5
        be interpreted as -- it certainly -- the
 6
 7
        Confidentiality Agreement doesn't relate to the
        whistle-blower claim. It relates to certain
 8
 9
        documents that were intended to be kept
        confidential by the parties. So I suppose it's --
10
        you know, you could interpret it as being
11
        encompassed by the -- that the language in
12
        Paragraph 11 could encompass that agreement. But I
13
        think an argument could be made -- if you're asking
14
        my opinion, an argument could be made that the
15
16
        Confidentiality Agreement relates to the text
        messages, and doesn't relate to the original
17
18
        disputes between the parties.
19 Q
        Would -- would the case have settled without the
20
        Confidentiality Agreement, in your opinion? And
        I'll get to the specifics of it later, but just
21
22
        that much.
                      MS. HA: Objection as to foundation.
23
        And he's -- you're asking the witness to speculate
24
```

on whether or not -- it's just his opinion.

25

25

CN08117

Colombo, you will recall that I was not served with a copy until Monday. This occurred in chambers on Friday. Your office sent over a document that only you looked at and then raised verbally with the judge about the privilege you said applied to what took place in mediation. And I said to the judge, "I am not interested in things that occurred three years ago in mediation, your Honor, and I don't intend to ask questions about it." It was only on Monday you when you -- your office actually served me a copy of the document that was taken over to chambers and given to you, that I saw there was a reference to the October 17, 2007 facilitation with regard to attorney fees.

Now, the court rule that you cited, relates -- assuming it applies, and assuming it isn't superseded by the crime fraud exception to all privileges, which the judge can determine later, it -- on its face, the court rule refers to statements made. I'm not asking Mr. Stefani, and I don't believe he was about to tell us about statements that were made at the mediation, but rather actions that took place and what happened at the facilitation. And that is outside even of the court rule, assuming the court rule applied.

34 MR. FINK: Fine. I'll take his 1 opinion. 2 MS. HA: That -- I think that is a 3 legal conclusion that would -- that ultimately has to be made by Judge Colombo. 5 MR. FINK: Ms. Ha, it's either an 6 opinion or a legal conclusion. I think it's an 7 opinion, and that's what I'm asking him. 8 Well, I can't -- the best way I can answer that is, 9 A when we met for facilitation on the --10 11 MS. HA: (Interposing) I'm going to object, because I'm not sure if Mr. Stefani knew 12 this, and we might have to call the judge. But Mr. 13 Fink, and I'm sorry, Mr. Stewart, but I completely 14 failed to mention this, but during our meeting with 15 Judge Colombo in chambers, Mr. Fink and I and Judge 16 Colombo had some discussions. And the court rule 17 is very clear regarding any testimony pertaining to 18 mediation and facilitation. And I'm referring to 19 MCR 2.411. And Mr. Fink gave his word that -- to 20 the judge and to myself, that that line of 21 questioning will not be pursued. 22 23 MR. FINK: In response, Ms. Ha, and I'll be very specific later, but in general as to 24

MS. HA: Well, can we just take a break for a moment to review the statute? I have MR. FINK: (Interposing) It's not a statute. It's a court rule. MS. HA: I mean the court rule. Can we take a break? MR. FINK: A short one. MS. HA: Yeah. MR. FINK: But I don't intend to be here all night. (WHEREUPON A BRIEF PAUSE WAS HAD IN THE PROCEEDINGS.) MS. HA: I'm ready. MR. FINK: Okay. MS. HA: I'm just going to place an objection on the record. MR. FINK: Sure. MS. HA: I think MCR 2.411(B)(5) speaks for itself. But I also believe that when it says statements made during the mediation, including statements made in written submissions, also is interpreted to mean actions taken. Because I don't think you can separate the actions without statements being made.

36

the representation about what occurred before Judge

```
39
                 And I move to strike Mr. Stefani's
                                                                       months prior to that time, I had another case
                                                                1
 1
                                                                       against the City of Detroit involving Lieutenant
                                                                2
 2
        testimony --
                                                                       Bowman. And we had a facilitation to --
                      MR. FINK: (Interposing) Oh, you can
                                                                3
 3
                                                                                     MS. HA: (Interposing) Same
 4
        move --
                                                                5
                                                                       objection.
                      MS. HA: (Interposing) -- pertaining
 5
                                                                6 A
                                                                       To arrive at attorney's fees. And Ms. Osamuede and
 6
        to that.
                                                                       I conducted that facilitation. And during the
                                                                7
 7
                      MR. FINK: You can move to strike in
        front of the judge, because I think we're going to
                                                                8
                                                                        course of that facilitation, I --
 8
                                                                                     MS. HA: (Interposing) Objection.
        just put it all on the record. It's subject to
                                                                9
 9
                                                                        -- asked her if she would be amenable to
                                                               10 A
        confidentiality. And you can make your objection
10
                                                                       facilitating not just the attorney's fees, but the
        to the judge. He can decide whether the
                                                               11
11
                                                                        appellate rights, or the whole case in other words.
                                                               1.2
        exception -- or, the court rule applies or doesn't
12
                                                                        And she made a phone call and agreed to it, and we
                                                               13
        apply, okay? And I think that that's particularly
13
                                                                        facilitated the whole case.
        relevant to some of the public policy reasons that
14
                                                               14
                                                               15
                                                                                In this case, we had a facilitation
        might apply to this in terms of whether this record
15
                                                                        ordered by the judge for attorney's fees. When I
16
        will reveal --
                                                               16
                                                               17
                                                                        say this case, I'm talking about the
                      MS. HA: (Interposing) Well.
17
                                                                        Brown/Nelthrope case. And we spent an hour or more
                      MR. FINK: (Interposing) -- crimes
                                                               18
18
                                                                        negotiating attorney's fees. And at that time I
                                                               19
19
        or frauds.
                                                                        asked if the city would be interested in
                      MS. HA: I'm objecting, and I am
                                                               20
20
                                                                        facilitating the entire case, that is the appellate
                                                               21
21
        requesting --
                                                               22
                                                                        rights. And I was told -- at that facilitation,
                      MR. FINK: (Interposing) Okay.
22
                                                               23
                                                                        there was Ms. Osamuede --
23
                      MS. HA: -- that Mr. Stefani not
                                                               24
                                                                                     MS. HA: (Interposing) I'm going to
24
        answer that question.
                                                               25
                                                                       object to Mr. Stefani further --
                      MR. FINK: You will recall that the
25
```

1 judge told you specifically you have no right to 2 direct Mr. Stefani not to answer a question. 3 MS. HA: I'm not directing him. MR. FINK: Okav. 4 MS. HA: I'm asking Mr. Stefani not 5 to answer that question, because I think that that 6 would be a breach of Michigan Professional Rules of 7 8 Conduct. MR. FINK: Well, I'm glad you're the 9 10 expert, but the judge expressly said in my presence to you, that of course you don't have the right to 11 12 direct him not to answer. So... MS. HA: Well --13 14 MR. FINK: (Interposing) Let's go 15 forward. (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Do you need the court 16 Q reporter to read you back where you were, what you 17 were starting to say? 18 No, not really. I only got a couple words out. 19 A It's -- all I was going to say -- your 20 question was, would there have -- in my opinion 21 would the case have settled without the 22 23 Confidentiality Agreement I believe you said. 24 Q And I was going to answer that by saying I $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ a few 25 A

MR. FINK: (Interposing) You have a 1 standing objection, Ms. Ha, to anything having to 2 do with the facilitation. I will so agree. 3 MS. HA: And I still have the right 5 to make an objection. MR. FINK: Not to continually 6 7 interrupt with the same interruption or the same objection when it's already on the record. And you 8 q object to anything having to do with the facilitation. 10 MS. HA: That's my right. 11 MR. FINK: I don't think it's your 12 right to continually interrupt. 13 (Continuing by Mr. Fink) But go ahead, please, Mr. 14 0 Stefani. Finish what you were saying. 15 Ms. Osamuede was present, Mr. Copeland was present, 16 A Mr. McCargo was present, and there were two other 17 attorneys that were assisting McCargo and Copeland. 18 So that's a total of I guess five attorneys for the 19 defendants. And they said --20 MS. HA: (Interposing) I'm going to 21 object. That's a statement made --22 23 A (Interposing) Okay. MS. HA: -- during facilitation. 24 (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Go ahead. 25 Q

```
43
                                                         41
                                                                        (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Mr. Stefani, I'll get
        In any event, they declined --
                                                                 1 Q
 1 A
                                                                        back to those agreements specifically, and what
                      MR. FINK: (Interposing) No. You
                                                                 2
 2
                                                                 3
                                                                        occurred on October the 17th, 2007, and
        can say it. You can say it, and the judge --
 3
                                                                        subsequently -- and in subsequent questions.
                      MS. HA: (Interposing) No, you
                                                                 4
                                                                                 At the hearing that Judge Colombo held on
 5
        cannot.
                      MR. FINK: The judge will decide
                                                                 6
                                                                        last Friday, January 25th, in connection with that,
 6
                                                                 7
                                                                        the city filed a response to a motion that I had
 7
        whether it's --
                      MS. HA: (Interposing) No. That is
                                                                        filed to expedite discovery. And they made the
 8
                                                                        following statement at Page 3 of their response.
                                                                9
        not what the judge said. We can call the judge
 9
                                                                10
                                                                        I'm just going to read it to you. I don't need to
10
        right now.
                                                                11
                                                                        mark it. It's part of the court file.
                      MR. FINK: You can call him any time
11
                                                                                 The city's response said, "In regards to
        you want, Ms. Ha. Let's continue with the
                                                                12
12
                                                                        plaintiffs" that's the Detroit Free Press
                                                                13
13
        deposition.
                                                                        "assertion pertaining to 'additional confidential
                                                                14
14 0
        (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Go ahead.
                                                                        documents', if such documents exist, they could
                                                                15
                      MS. McPHAIL: You can't continue
15
                                                                        only be documents signed by individuals in their
16
        while she's calling the judge.
                                                                16
                                                                17
                                                                        private capacity, and are not public records
        (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Go ahead, please.
17 Q
                                                                        subject to disclosure under the Michigan Freedom of
18 A
        In response to my question whether or not they
                                                                18
                                                                        Information Act. The City of Detroit and its
19
        wanted to negotiate the entire thing rather than
                                                                19
        just the attorney's fees, I should say that we were
                                                                20
                                                                        agents did not execute, participate, negotiate,
20
        at approximately five hundred thousand dollars in
                                                                21
                                                                        possess, or was otherwise involved in any
21
22
        attorney's fees.
                                                                22
                                                                        additional documents related to the settlement of
                                                                23
                                                                        Brown and Nelthrope versus City of Detroit and
                      MS. HA: That is going into
23
        statements made during facilitation. I object.
                                                                24
                                                                        Harris versus City of Detroit."
24
                                                                25
                                                                                 Is that a true statement, to your
        (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Continue.
25 Q
```

| | 42 |
|------|--|
| 1 A | And I told them that I would accept that for |
| 2 | attorney's fees if |
| 3 | MS. HA: (Interposing) I would |
| 4 | object. That is a statement made during |
| 5 | facilitation, and I again move to strike. |
| 6 | MR. FINK: You cannot continue to |
| 7 | interrupt the witness. You've made your objection. |
| 8 | Now he can answer if he chooses, and he chooses. |
| 9 | So let's cut it out. |
| 10 | MS. HA: And I can continue to |
| 11 | object. |
| 12 A | I told him I would take I think we were at four |
| 13 | seventy-five or five hundred thousand dollars. And |
| 14 | I said |
| 15 | MS. HA: (Interposing) Objection. |
| 16 A | I will accept that amount if we can resolve the |
| 17 | whole matter, and I was told that we couldn't |
| 18 | resolve the whole matter. |
| 19 | MR. FINK: Thank you. Okay. |
| 20 | MS. HA: I'm going to move to |
| 21 | MR. FINK: (Interposing) I'll get |
| 22 | back in |
| 23 | MS. HA: (Interposing) strike all |
| 24 | of that testimony. |
| 25 | MR. FINK: Ms. Ha never mind. |
| 1 | |

| 1 | knowledge? You can answer yes or no. |
|------|--|
| 2 | MS. HA: I'm going to object to Mr. |
| 3 | Stefani's testimony |
| 4 | MR. FINK: (Interposing) I can show |
| 5 | you a copy if you would like to see it. |
| 6 | MS. HA: regarding what the city |
| 7 | said. He can testify as to his opinion. |
| 8 | MR. FINK: Ms. Ha, that's all I'm |
| 9 | asking. Do you understand that? |
| 10 | MS. MCPHAIL: He's asking his opinion |
| 11 | whether something's true or not? |
| 12 | MS. HA: I don't know. |
| 13 Q | (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Here, what |
| 14 A | (Interposing) What am I reading, anyway? Is this |
| 15 | a transcript? |
| 16 Q | No. This is the city's response to my motion to |
| 17 | expedite discovery. |
| 18 A | Oh. |
| 19 | MS. HA: You're asking the witness to |
| 20 | answer what the city said? |
| 21 | MR. FINK: Starting on |
| 22 | MS. HA: (Interposing) The document |
| 23 | speaks for itself. |
| 24 | MR. FINK: I want to know if he, to |
| 25 | his knowledge, believes it's false. I have the |

```
45
                                                                         Stefani, was the city not involved in a negotiating
 1
        right to ask that question.
                                                                 1
                      MS. HA: How would he know what's
                                                                 2
                                                                         or participating in a Confidentiality Agreement on
 2
                                                                 3
        false -- what the city --
                                                                        October 17, 2007?
 3
                      MR. FINK: (Interposing) Oh, cut it
                                                                 4 A
                                                                        We signed an agreement in my office on that day,
 5
        out.
                                                                 6
                      MS. HA: -- said was false?
 6
                                                                 7
 7
                      MR. FINK: Cut it out. Let's not ask
                                                                         confidentiality provision in it.
                                                                 8
        silly -- make silly statements.
 8
                      MS. MCPHAIL: Okay. Let's ask the
                                                                 9 Q
9
        judge. Excuse me, if we can for a moment. Let's
                                                                10
10
        just ask the judge if we can bring the deposition
                                                                11
11
12
        down to his chambers, because we're not going to
                                                                12
        take this kind of abuse during the deposition.
                                                                13
                                                                        Agreement, correct?
13
14 A
        That's all I -- the only way I can answer this --
                                                                14
        answering whether I think it's true or false, you
                                                                15
15
16
        know, I would have to study it more carefully to
                                                                16
        formulate an opinion. But the -- we signed an
                                                                17
17
18
        agreement the day of the facilitation in my office.
                                                                18
19
        The facilitation --
                                                                19
20
                      MS. HA: (Interposing) I'm going to
                                                                20
                                                                21
                                                                        agent of City of Detroit.
21
        object to any testimony about facilitation.
22 A
        -- ended at four o'clock. We came back to my
                                                                22
23
       office, and we stayed here until eight thirty
                                                                23
                                                                        document signed by --
       pounding out the terms of a document, and we signed
                                                                24
24
25
                                                                25
                                                                        why don't you --
        that document.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

R

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that was, I believe, entitled -- and you've all got it right in front of you. I believe it was entitled Settlement Agreement, but it had a Okay. And my answer -- or, my question is, the statement that the city was not involved in any additional documents relating to the settlement, is false then as it relates to the Confidentiality MS. HA: I'm going to object to that question, because he cannot answer what -- what -he cannot answer on behalf of the City of Detroit. The last I -- Mr. Stefani represented Mr. Harris, Mr. Brown, and Mr. Nelthrope. He did not represent the City of Detroit. He cannot testify whether or not -- what City of Detroit did. He is not an MR. FINK: Ms. Ha, you have there the MS. HA: (Interposing) Well, then 48

(Continuing by Mr. Fink) And did the city have a 1 Q participation in that additional document? 2 3 MS. HA: Are we talking --MR. FINK: (Interposing) The Δ 5 confidential document. 6 Q (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Did the city's 7 representatives --(Interposing) Well, they signed it, and they --8 A 9 and they -- the terms were negotiated. The 10 language was negotiated. 11 MS. HA: Who signed it for the city? 12 MR. FINK: Let me --MS. HA: (Interposing) What are you 13 14 talking about? MR. FINK: You don't have the right 15 16 to ask questions. You have the right to ask 17 questions later. 18 MS. HA: But are we talking about the 19 Settlement Agreement, the Exhibit 4 and 5? 20 MR. FINK: No, no. I'm asking -- I'm asking whether it -- this -- the city's statement 21 basically says there are no confidential documents 22 23 that the city was involved in. (Continuing by Mr. Fink) I'm asking, and I know 24 Q I'm getting a little ahead of the story, but Mr. 25

of the mayor and the City of Detroit. It's the first Settlement Agreement, because somebody tore up the first Settlement Agreement, except Mr. Stefani has produced it to us today, to defeat the Free Press' Freedom of Information Act request. The city was directly involved in all -orchestrating the entire coverup. So why don't we get it out on record, and then we'll -- we'll decide. You can argue all of these objections to the judge. MS. HA: Well, we need to take a break, because I'd like a moment to review it. I know that we were late, but I'd like to take a look at it. MR. FINK: Well, before you take your break, let's all know what page we're on. Mr. Stefani has produced a complete file which shows that there were two settlement agreements with confidentiality provisions; the first one which was signed by Ms. Colbert on behalf of both the city and the mayor was subsequently destroyed, then there was a later one. I believe dated November the 1st, which was -- purports to be just between Kwame Kilpatrick and Mr. Stefani. So this was obviously

MR. FINK: -- Ms. Colbert on behalf

```
49
                                                                                     MS. HA: Well, and I'm just asking
                                                                1
       a coverup. It is all going to come out now.
1
                 And Ms. Colbert's representation to the
                                                                2
                                                                       can we have a few minutes --
2
        judge on the record, I've ordered the transcript,
                                                                3
                                                                                     MR. FINK: (Interposing) Yes.
 3
                                                                                     MS. HA: -- to take a look at this
       Friday morning that she had no knowledge of any
 4
       confidentiality provision, is a blatant falsehood.
                                                                5
                                                                       document?
5
                                                                       Yeah. I mean, I'm going to excuse myself for a
       But it will all come out --
                                                                6 A
 6
                                                                       minute. I think it -- you know, it's hard enough
                      MS. McPHAIL: (Interposing) Mr.
                                                                7
7
                                                                       sitting through this deposition, without -- I mean,
        Fink --
8
                                                                       without the bickering going on. And you did look
                                                                9
                      MR. FINK: -- so let's get it out.
9
                                                               10
                                                                       at it, and I think it would be unfair to expect her
                      MS. MCPHAIL: Excuse me. I'm not
10
                                                               11
                                                                       to respond.
        objecting for the record here. I'm just confused
11
                                                                                     MR. FINK: I'm happy to let them look
        by what you're saying. You're saying that the
                                                               12
12
                                                               13
                                                                       at it.
        Settlement Agreement that is signed as of -- are
13
                                                                       Unless she hasn't seen it before -- I mean, if she
        you referring to this one, the one that -- I can't
                                                               14 A
14
                                                                       hasn't seen it, then she needs to look at it.
        seem to see a date on here. Where's the date?
                                                               15
15
                                                                                     MR. FINK: Well, sure, but Ms.
16
                      MR. FINK: October 17.
                                                               16
                                                                       Colbert signed some of them. Sure, yeah.
                                                               17
                      MS. McPHAIL: Oh, okay. It says
17
                                                                                      (WHEREUPON A BRIEF PAUSE WAS HAD IN
                                                               18
18
        October 17.
                                                                                     THE PROCEEDINGS.)
                                                               19
19
                      MS. HA: Wait. What page?
                                                                                     MS. HA: Just so that -- I'd like
20
                      MS. McPHAIL: Is the Settlement
                                                               20
                                                                       everyone, just so that I put this on the record, we
                                                               21
21
        Agreement -- it's up here, October 17.
                                                                       did have an opportunity to review the settlement --
22
                      MR. FINK: Why don't we do this
                                                               22
                                                                       the document entitled Settlement Agreement, which
        deposition in the normal fashion? I'll produce all
                                                               23
23
        these, I'll ask the questions about it, and if you
                                                               24
                                                                       is dated October 17th, 2007, which was the line of
24
                                                                       questioning that Mr. Fink had prior to the break.
                                                               25
        folks stop interrupting the witness, we'll get it
25
```

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24 Q

12 Q

50 all out, and you can argue to the judge whatever 1 2 you want to arque. MS. McPHAIL: Yeah. The only thing 3 I'm concerned about, honestly, is that he will 4 answer a question not knowing what you're talking 5 about. Because if the rest of us over here can't 6 figure out what you're talking about -- you know. 7 I know you know what you're talking about, but --8 9 MR. FINK: (Interposing) He knows. These documents were produced to me this afternoon 10 11 in connection with his deposition. MS. MCPHAIL: Before we got here. 12 MS. HA: Well, I'm just asking for --13 MR. FINK: (Interposing) Well, you 14 were an hour late. 15 16 MS. MCPHAIL: I know. MR. FINK: Yeah. He gave them to me 17 at two o'clock and I went and started going through 18 them so that I could better prepare. 19 20 MS. MCPHAIL: Okay MR. FINK: You could have had the 21 same thing if you'd been here. 22 23 MS. McPHAIL: We were here --MR. FINK: (Interposing) One 24 25 o'clock. I'm sorry, not two o'clock, one o'clock.

In reviewing the document, it's -- this is a draft document, a tentative agreement that was to memorialize what the parties had discussed at the facilitation. And the contents of this October 17, 2007 document, is what was finally merged into the official settlement doc -- settlement and agreement, which was executed by the respective parties, Mr. Brown, and Mr. Nelthrope, and Mr. Harris. So just so that everyone in this room understands that. MR. FINK: Okay. (Continuing by Mr. Fink) All right. Mr. Stefani, I'm going to hand you copies marked as exhibits of all of the documents that you produced to me today. And if you'll give me one second, for some reason they seem to be out of order. I want to get my copy, which of course I'll turn in to you at the end of the day. But I -- to ask you some questions, I just want to make sure I've got them in the right order, so I refer to the right numbers. (WHEREUPON A DISCUSSION WAS HAD OFF THE RECORD.) (Continuing by Mr. Fink) All right. Mr. Stefani,

I'm going to hand you back now, with exhibit

20

21

22

23

24

25

this was not one of them, because the mayor's stickers, the documents that you produced for this 1 1 designee had not been chosen at that point. 2 deposition. And I'm going to briefly go through 2 What do you understand the purpose was of Exhibit each, and then I'm going to ask you more specific 3 Q 3 4 questions about each. 4 Well, there was certain documents were to be held Document Number 8, for starters --5 A 5 MS. HA: (Interposing) I thought we 6 in escrow. 6 7 Q And those were the text messages? were on Exhibit Number 6. 7 8 A The text messages and some documents that related MR. FINK: Yeah. Well, there's some 8 to matters of my client. So this -- this 9 I'm not going to go through, so I'm just not going 9 10 designation form refers to them as "K/B records". 10 to use those. Those are the text messages. I believe there's 11 MS. HA: Okay. 11 also in the confidentiality -- a second -- or, the 12 MR. FINK: I had them marked in 12 document entitled Confidentiality Agreement, 13 13 advance. there's a reference to the -- probably 14 14 MS. HA: Oh, I see. 15 Brown/Nelthrope or B and N documents. MR. FINK: But they're preliminary 15 16 Q 16 kind of documents, so let's just get to the meat of Yep. 17 A And the escrow agreement called for all those this, which is what we're doing now. 17 documents to be put in escrow. Well, they had been (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Now, Number 8 appears to 18 0 18 put in escrow a long time before that. But the be, and I'm going to ask you some questions, but 19 19

just as a preliminary matter, it looks like this is
a document signed by Mayor Kilpatrick, in which he
appoints William Mitchell, III, as his
representative for purposes of receiving records
under the Confidentiality Agreement, correct?
That's what it says?

56 mayor's designee was on the -- I believe the 12th 1 of December, when we signed the second Settlement 2 Agreement or Exhibit 5. 3 So this was sent to me, or I -- I believe 4 I received this in the mail a couple of days later, 5 naming William Mitchell as the designee. 7 Q Okay. And the escrow agreement you reference, would be Exhibit 14 and 15, is that correct? 8 9 A Let's see. Yes. All right. Now, Exhibit 14 and 15 relate to a 10 Q safety deposit box in which -- and I'll ask you if 11 this is correct, in which the confidential records, 12 13 including the text messages, were to be placed? That's correct. And I believe -- that's correct. 14 A I believe that was spelled out or alluded to in the 15 October 17th agreement, but I'm not certain. 16 Okay. We'll get to that. And your understanding 17 Q was that, if I'm reading this correctly quickly, 18 19 that you and Mr. McCargo would have keys to the safety deposit box, and both of you would need to 20 21 be present to withdraw these confidential 22 documents, including the text messages? Originally that was our understanding. But when we 23 A 24 opened the box, we were told that they couldn't 25 require two people to have the keys at the same

escrow agreement provided that when the matter was

fully resolved, approved by the city council, the

money was paid, those documents would be released

know who the mayor's designee was when we signed

the escrow agreement, nor did we know who the

from escrow to the mayor's designee. And we didn't

these documents I believe on December 5th. And

55

All right. So let's get the time line straight. I 1 Q time, that they would be obligated to open the box 1 if either one of us showed up with the key. And I 2 7 3 believe that is why the second escrow agreement, 3 4 which you --(Interposing) The supplemental escrow agreement, 5 2007? 5 Q 6 Exhibit 15? 6 characterization of that --7 7 A I believe that's why we did it. 8 Okay. 8 Q 9 MS. HA: -- question. Because -- but eventually the bank changed its mind 9 A 10 Q and said we can allow -- we can have a policy that 10 11 the box will not be opened in the absence of both 11 of you; and so as it turned out, our final escrow 12 12 agreement reflected our original intent, but there 13 13 14 was a little hiccup in between. other signature. 14 15 A That's Mr. Copeland. Let me invite your attention now to what's been 15 Q 16 Q Mr. Copeland. Okay. 16 marked as Exhibit Number 9, which is captioned 17 A "Notice of Mayor Kwame Kilpatrick's Approval Of I believe. 17 18 Terms And Conditions Of Settlement As Approved By 18 Q plaintiffs? 19 The City Council On October 23, 2007." 19 20 What was your -- what is your 20 A Correct. 21 Q Okay. 21 understanding of the purpose of that document? 22 A Well, the -- as Ms. Ha has pointed out, the 22 A 23 Q So we've got that three --23 agreement entitled Settlement Agreement in general -- or, Settlement Agreement, executed by 24 A 24 25 25 all of us on the 17th of October, it was a

think you've said it clearly, but let's get the time line straight. We have Exhibit 11, which is a fully-executed Settlement Agreement on October 17, MS. HA: I object to the MR. FINK: (Interposing) Okay, fine. (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Now, that is signed by Mr. McCargo and Ms. Colbert on behalf of Mr. Kwame Kilpatrick. It's signed by the City of Detroit, signed also by Ms. Colbert, and I can't read the And then you sign it on behalf of all three (Interposing) And it's also signed, I believe, by Frank Rivers. We both signed it on behalf of all

59

60

58 contract, but it was a contract with a condition 1 precedent in it. And the condition precedent was 2 that Brown and Nelthrope had so many -- and Harris 3 had so many days to approve this settlement, and 4 5 the mayor had so many days, and the City of Detroit had so many days to approve this settlement. 6 7 This document, Number 9, I believe I also received this after the 5th of December, was the 8 mayor's official notification that he rejected the 9 10 settlement. Well, this one is an acceptance. Are you referring 11 0 12 to Exhibit Number 10, which is his rejection? Correct. I misspoke. Yes. 13 A 14 Q Okay. Now, you referenced a Settlement Agreement. Is that Exhibit 11? We're talking about the 15 16 October 17, 2007 Settlement Agreement, Exhibit 11? That's the agreement that I referenced that we all 17 A signed in my office on October 17th. It is --18 apparently in the -- in the exhibit that rejects 19 20 the Settlement Agreement from Mayor Kilpatrick, 21 he's referring to this conditional Settlement Agreement of October 17th. And the one where --22 23 that he's notifying me of his acceptance, in there 24 he's referring to the Settlement Agreement that is 25 dated November 1st, 2007.

the plaintiffs. Frank Rivers and I. 1 2 Q Oh. okav. 3 A We were co-counsel. 4 Q His signature's under yours? 5 A I believe so, yes. 6 Q I can't quite make it out. 7 All right. Now, then we go to Exhibit 10, 8 which is dated October 27, which is the Mayor Kwame 9 Kilpatrick's rejection, Exhibit 10, correct? 10 A Correct. 11 Q Why did he -- what is your understanding of the 12 reason he rejected Exhibit Number 11? 13 A Well, I think he didn't -- first of all, he didn't -- he didn't --14 15 MS. HA: (Interposing) You know, I'm 16 going to object to him --17 A (Interposing) I never spoke to him about it. 18 Q (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Okay. What is your understanding? What do you understand took place 19 here between October 17 and October 27? 20 The Free Press filed a Freedom of Information Act. 21 A 22 And I'm presuming, but don't know for a fact, that they -- that is, Mayor Kilpatrick and perhaps 23

MS. HA: (Interposing) I'm going to

24

25

Beatty, did not --

| | 61 | | 63 |
|------|---|------|--|
| 1 | object to this as to foundation. | 1 Q | Okay. Now |
| 2 A | want the reference to the text messages in the | 2 | MS. HA: (Interposing) I'm going to |
| 3 | Settlement Agreement. | 3 | move to strike Mr. Stefani's deposition. |
| 4 Q | (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Now, going back to | 4 | MR. FINK: Well, okay. |
| 5 | Exhibit Number 11, the October 17, fully-executed | 5 | MS. HA: I mean, not deposition, but |
| 6 | agreement, in the first paragraph it refers to | 6 | his well, I might as well. I'm going to move to |
| 7 | specifically to your surrender of and transfer to | 7 | strike his deposition testimony. |
| 8 | an attorney to be designated by the mayor, we know | 8 | MR. FINK: Of course you are. |
| 9 | later that was Mr. Mitchell, "All records, | 9 | MS. HA: And I'm going to move to |
| 10 | originals and copies, of text messages from SkyTel | 10 | strike his answers to the question that I objected |
| 11 | Messaging for the text pager leased by the City of | 11 | to |
| 12 | Detroit and issued to Christine Beatty for the | 12 | MR. FINK: (Interposing) Okay. |
| 13 | periods of September through October, 2002, and | 13 | MS. HA: Mr. Fink. |
| 14 | April through May, 2003 ('Records')." Correct? | 14 Q | (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Okay. So, Mr. Stefani, |
| 15 A | Yes. | 15 | on October 17 we have everyone execute Exhibit 11, |
| 16 Q | So your understanding was that the representatives | 16 | the Settlement Agreement which makes direct |
| 17 | of the city and the mayor decided to avoid and | 17 | reference to turning over the SkyTel text messages |
| 18 | evade the Detroit Free Press' Freedom of | 18 | to the mayor's and the city's representatives? |
| 19 | Information Act request which you previously saw as | 19 | MS. HA: I'm going to object. |
| 20 | dated on the 19th of October, that they then | 20 Q | (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Then we have a Freedom of |
| 21 | entered into they rejected after the fact this | 21 | Information Act request served by the Detroit Free |
| 22 | Settlement Agreement Exhibit 11, and entered into a | 22 | Press two days after October on, on October 19. |
| 23 | new one, is that correct? | 23 | So as of October 19 now, note that Exhibit 10 is |
| 24 | MS. HA: Objection, foundation, | 24 | the rejection, which is dated October 27. So on |
| 25 | argumentative. | 25 | October 19, this was Exhibit Number 11 was still |

1 MR. FINK: Argumentative? Okay. (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Is that right? Is that 2 Q your understanding? 3 I'm sorry. That was kind of a long question. 4 A 5 Q And you might have had some adjectives or adverbs 6 A in there that I cannot agree with. But I will tell you my understanding. 8 Please. 9 Q 10 A Was that the reason that they didn't want to -they -- they rejected the October 17th Settlement 11 12 Agreement, was that they had received a Freedom of Information Act, and it dawned on somebody that 13 14 they didn't want the text messages referenced in the Settlement Agreement, so they'd have to 15 separate it out into a separate Confidentiality 16 Agreement and a separate Settlement Agreement. 17 And if I might add further, 18 confidentiality agreements are used all the time in 19 settlements. And -- but between private parties, 20 you don't -- you don't think of the Freedom of 21 Information Act. It's not applicable. So, you 22 23 know, when we signed this thing, it was on our understanding that these things would be kept 24 25 confidential.

1 a city document, correct? 2 MS. HA: Objection. Foundation. Mr. Stefani cannot answer on behalf of the City of 3 4 Detroit. (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Is that your 5 Q understanding, Mr. Stefani? 6 7 A I can tell you that --MS. HA: (Interposing) Same 8 9 objection. -- that, as I said before --10 A (WHEREUPON AN INTERRUPTION WAS HAD IN 11 THE PROCEEDINGS. AND VALERIE 12 COLBERT-OSAMUEDE, ESQ., LEFT THE 13 DEPOSITION ROOM.) 14 (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Finish your statement, so 15 Q 16 we can at least have it. We were asking about --(Interposing) We operate -- this Settlement 17 A Agreement that has the condition precedent in it, 18 was followed by the parties as if it were going to 19 be approved by all of the parties, and we treated 20 it as binding. That's why I actually turned over 21 22 the documents long prior to --(Interposing) By documents we're talking about the 23 Q 24 text messages? 25 A The text messages.

67 65 already were leaving when a messenger brought a Um-hum (affirmatively). 1 1 Q 2 document to Ms. Ha. I did not see that document 2 A Long -- and that's why they went to escrow long until Monday. She then raised with you verbally a prior to the new Settlement Agreement. But the 3 3 concern about the mediation rule. And what I said mayor had the right to reject this, which -to you was, I'm not concerned about things that 5 5 which -happened three years ago, thinking we were talking 6 Q (Interposing) Which he did on October 27? 6 about a mediation or a... well. I told you, I didn't get that letter I think 7 7 A 8 until October 5th, but -- I mean until December 8 A Case evaluation? 9 MR. FINK: A case evaluation. And I 9 10 O Okay. All right. 10 said I don't intend to ask any questions about that, I'm interested in what happened at the But in any event, he had the right to reject it. 11 11 A MR. FINK: All right. Go ahead, take 12 12 the phone call, and then we'll get back to all of Subsequently, on Monday, I saw the 13 13 document she was referring to. I don't believe you 14 this interesting information. 14 15 saw it either. 15 (WHEREUPON A BRIEF PAUSE WAS HAD IN 16 JUDGE COLOMBO: I saw it. I knew THE PROCEEDINGS, FOR A 16 17 TELECONFERENCE AS FOLLOWS. AND 17 exactly what she was talking about. MS. HA: Well --VALERIE COLBERT-OSAMUEDE RE-ENTERED 18 18 THE DEPOSITION ROOM.) 19 MR. FINK: (Interposing) Well, I 19 20 didn't. But let me just respond, your Honor. That 20 MS. COLBERT-OSAMUEDE: He wants you rule, to the extent it even applies, talks about to put this on a conference call. 21 21 statements. Mr. Stefani was talking about actions 22 A Hello. 22 JUDGE COLOMBO: Hello. This is Judge 23 that occurred there, which is, to use my 23 24 Colombo. 24 characterization, I realize it's argumentive, was the coverup. There is, of course, a crime/fraud This is Mike Stefani, your Honor. And I'm just 25 25 A

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 1 Q

20

21

22

23

24

25

66 putting the phone on the table so everybody can get 1 2 to it. JUDGE COLOMBO: Okay. 3 MR. FINK: We're all here, your 5 Honor. We hear you. 6 JUDGE COLOMBO: I got a call from Ms. 7 Colbert-Osamuede, a call to call at 3:45. MR. FINK: News to me. 8 9 MS. HA: Your Honor, this is Ellen Ha on behalf of the City of Detroit. 10 11 We made the call to you because there is some testimony that came out during Mr. Stefani's 12 13 deposition pertaining to the facilitation. And we made it clear before Mr. Stefani could answer the 14 question, that Mr. Fink and I had had an 15 understanding with you in chambers that no line of 16 17 questioning was going to be pursued regarding the 18 facilitation/mediation, pursuant to the Michigan 19 Court Rules. 20 MR. FINK: Can I respond, your Honor? JUDGE COLOMBO: Yes. 21 MR. FINK: It's Herschel Fink, your 22 23 Honor. You may recall Friday afternoon, while Ms. 24 Ha and I were in your chambers, and in fact we 25

exception and a public policy exception even to attorney/client privilege. My -- my request would be we put this all on the record. It's sealed, and you'll make a determination whether this was potentially a fraud and a crime, or otherwise not subject to that court rule. MS. HA: But, your Honor, you already ruled on Friday that we were not to pursue any questions regarding facilitation. I don't think Mr. Fink can request that your Honor now carve out an exception to the facilitation/mediation rule. MR. FINK: All I'm suggesting is, your Honor, you'll have all the facts and the documents on the record sealed in front of you. You'll make the determination. You'll hear argument. I'm sure that they'll tell you that it shouldn't be released because it's subject to that rule. You need to know what all the facts are first. And it will all be on the record. It will all be sealed. You'll have it tomorrow. And then you can make a determination whether maybe this just might be covering up a fraud and a crime and

MS. HA: But again, your Honor, the

68

is an exception.

հ

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

```
motion was delivered to your attention on Friday.
Both Mr. Fink and I reviewed the motion --
```

MR. FINK: (Interposing) I did not. MS. HA: -- for protective order

together.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Honor.

already happened?

MR. FINK: I didn't. I did not.

MS. HA: And your Honor then

indicated to us in chambers that you did review the motion, and that facilitation/mediation was not going to be pursued.

And I might a%dd, your Honor, that indicated to Mr. Fink that he is well aware of Mr. Fink's reputation, and that it is this Court's understanding, as well as the City of Detroit's understanding that the line of questioning regarding facilitation will not take place in the deposition.

MR. FINK: Your Honor, may --JUDGE COLOMBO: (Interposing) Okay. Wait a second. Wait a second. Let me set the record exactly of what happened, because I remember this vividly.

You had come in, you had left. There was some discussion about the order when this new motion comes in that basically seeks to prohibit

JUDGE COLOMBO: And have they been 1 2 answered? 3 MS. HA: Yes, your Honor, contrary to

71

72

our objection -- or, my objections, your Honor. JUDGE COLOMBO: Okay. Then what are we -- there's nothing to talk about. At this point in time, it's going to be part of a sealed record. We'll have to argue about whether or not there is

8 a -- a privilege there. And since it's happened, there's really nothing further to discuss. It's already in the record, so...

> MS. HA: Well, your Honor --JUDGE COLOMBO: (Interposing) All I can tell you, is that as long as you objected, I don't consider it -- I don't consider there would be a waiver.

And I am unhappy about this, Mr. Fink. Frankly, I expect if I have enough time on a very busy day on Friday to read that motion, I would have thought that you would have had enough time to read that motion and understand exactly what the motion was about. Because we all understood, at least Ms. Ha and I understood exactly what the issue of the motion was. So I'm unhappy about that, that you're now claiming you didn't

70

any discussions regarding the facilitation that occurred I believe it was in October of 2007, after this trial.

And so I reviewed the motion. And we had a discussion about it. And I pointed it out that I'm fairly familiar with this rule, because I'm sitting on a committee that is considering amendment of the mediation rule, or they call it mediation, now it's 2.410, I believe -- or, 2.409. And I said, this stuff that occurred in facilitations or mediations, is not supposed to be disclosed. And -- and Mr. Fink did say he wouldn't disclose it. Now he says he didn't read the motion, and he didn't understand that it dealt with the facilitation that occurred in October; he thought it was referring apparently to a case evaluation that was done on the case some time ago. So we did have that agreement that this would not be inquired into on the record. Now apparently someone wants to ask some questions about it. MS. HA: It already happened, your

understand the issue.

MR. FINK: May I try to refresh the court's recollection that I said I'm not interested in things that happened three years ago, I want to know what happened at the settlement. I didn't read it, because I wasn't even given a copy of it until it arrived at my office on Monday, your Honor. It was walked into chambers as we were walking out. Ms. Ha looked at it, gave it to you. I never had it. And I expressly, you may remember, said I'm not interested in things that happened three years ago.

I was in good faith. I would never misrepresent any such thing to the Court. That's what I believed that was all about. It wasn't shown to me. And you may remember, I said I wasn't interested in things that happened three years ago.

JUDGE COLOMBO: Well, I do vaguely remember that. But I still felt that there was an understanding that there would be no discussion with respect to settlement conferences. And I think you should have raised that issue with me, in light of our agreement, before you proceeded on your own to make that determination. And I find that objectionable.

JUDGE COLOMBO: So the questions have

MS. HA: Yes, your Honor.

```
75
                                                         73
                      MR. FINK: I apologize to the Court.
                                                                 1
                                                                        We're back now.
 1
                                                                 2 A
                                                                        -- with the city where -- no, let's go onto...
 2
                      JUDGE COLOMBO: Okay.
                      MS. HA: Your Honor, this is --
                                                                        (Continuing by Mr. Fink) No, we are.
 3
                                                                 3 0
                                                                        I'm glad to be more or less a neutral observer.
                                                                 4 A
                      JUDGE COLOMBO: (Interposing) I
                                                                        Rut...
 5
        mean, to me, the appropriate thing to do is, if
                                                                 6 Q
 6
        there's any question about an issue like that, is
                                                                        Okay.
        to address it with me first, and not make the
                                                                        It still gives me a headache.
                                                                 7 A
 7
                                                                        All right. So just getting back to this time line,
 8
        unilateral decision to proceed.
                                                                 8 0
                                                                        we have the Exhibit 11, the October 17th Settlement
                      MR. FINK: I understand, your Honor.
                                                                 9
 9
                                                                        Agreement, we have the Detroit Free Press October
10
        And just because this was all in a sealed record
                                                                10
                                                                        19 Freedom of Information Act request, which was --
        that you would make a determination on, I felt that
                                                                11
11
                                                                12
                                                                        anybody know the number?
        there was no harm, particularly when I looked at
12
                                                                                      MR. STEWART: Yep.
        the documents and saw that this is all wrapped up
                                                                13
13
        in the rubric of this facilitation. And I think
                                                                                      MS. HA: Exhibit...
                                                                14
14
                                                                15
                                                                                      MR. STEWART: 3, I think. Just a
15
        you'll understand what happened when you look at
                                                                16
                                                                        minute.
16
        it.
                     JUDGE COLOMBO: Okay. In any event,
                                                               17 A
                                                                        Yeah, that's one. October 19th, 2007.
17
                                                                                      MR. STEWART: It's Exhibit 1.
                                                                18
18
        is there anything else I need to take up?
                     MS. HA: Yes, your Honor. I would
                                                               19
                                                                                      MR. FINK: Exhibit 1. Okay.
19
                                                                        (Continuing by Mr. Fink) We have, although you
                                                                20 O
        like an order that no further questions from Mr.
20
                                                               21
                                                                        thought it might have actually been later, at least
        Fink be pursued or Mr. Stewart, although Mr.
21
                                                                        you learned of it later, we have the Exhibit 10, in
                                                                22
22
        Stewart hasn't had the opportunity, regarding the
                                                               23
                                                                        which the mayor rejects the settlement terms or
23
        facilitation.
                                                                        rejects the settlement dated October 17. Exhibit
24
                Secondly, I am requesting whether we --
                                                               24
                                                                        10 is dated October 27. And then we have Exhibit
                                                               25
        the City of Detroit can ask questions, based on Mr.
25
```

| | | 7/ |
|----|---|---|
| | | 74 |
| 1 | | Fink's already asked questions regarding the |
| 2 | | facilitation. |
| 3 | | JUDGE COLOMBO: Here's my feeling |
| 4 | | about this. It's already occurred. We might as |
| 5 | | well get the complete record made. It makes |
| 6 | | absolutely no sense to me now to limit questions in |
| 7 | | this regard. It is a sealed record. There is no |
| 8 | | waiver as far as I'm concerned, and consequently I |
| 9 | | want all questions asked that relate to this |
| 10 | | facilitation now. |
| 11 | | MR. FINK: Thank you, your Honor. |
| 12 | | MS. HA: Thank you, your Honor. |
| 13 | | JUDGE COLOMBO: Okay. Bye. |
| 14 | | MR. FINK: Bye. |
| 15 | | (WHEREUPON THE TELECONFERENCE |
| 16 | | CONCLUDED AND THE PROCEEDINGS |
| 17 | | CONTINUED AS FOLLOWS:) |
| 18 | Q | (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Okay. All right. Do you |
| 19 | | need a break? |
| 20 | Α | No. |
| 21 | Q | Okay. |
| 22 | Α | I need about a year off. But go ahead, go ahead. |
| 23 | | I will say this, I've sat through so many |
| 24 | | depositions |
| 25 | | MR. FINK: (Interposing) Wait. |

```
9. And let me ask you about that. I think we were
1
       just maybe starting to ask about Exhibit 9.
2
                 Now, Exhibit 9 you understand to be Mayor
3
        Kilpatrick's acceptance of the settlement -- of a
4
        settlement? We'll get to which one it was in a
       minute.
7 A
       Um-hum (affirmatively).
8 Q
        Is that correct?
9 A
        Yes, correct.
10 Q
       Okay. And that would be Exhibit 12, correct? Is
        that what he's referring to in Exhibit 9?
11
12 A
        Okay. To your knowledge, was the city council
13 Q
        advised ever of Exhibit 11, the Settlement
14
        Agreement that references the text messages?
15
16 A
        I had no knowledge of that.
17 Q
        Okay. I suppose the council will speak for itself
        on that issue.
18
                 Now. let me ask you about Exhibit 13 which
19
       you produced today. That also is captioned
20
21
        Confidentiality Agreement, and it is, by its own
       term, effective November 1, 2007. Tell me -- now,
22
        let's -- let's talk about who was a party to
23
        Exhibit 13, and then I'm going to ask you what you
24
25
        know about how that came about.
```

```
Now, that document is signed on the last
page, Page 9, by Brown, Nelthrope and Harris; by
you, and on their behalf; and you, yourself, on
behalf of your firm. And then it's signed by --
all of a sudden we don't see the name mayor, it's
just Kwame Kilpatrick and Christine Beatty, who
wasn't even a party in your lawsuit, is that
```

- correct?
- 9 A

1

2

3

4

5

7

- 10 Q Ms. Beatty was not --
- 11 A (Interposing) No, she was not a party.
- She wasn't a party. Now, the first paragraph of 12 0
- Exhibit 13 talks about the parties who entered into 13
- this agreement, Number 13, the Confidentiality 14
- Agreement. And it describes them, among others, as 15
- Kwame Kilpatrick individually and personally, and 16
- 1.7 Christine Beatty individually and personally?
- MS. HA: Can I just ask what page are 18
- 19 you on?

1

8

- MR. FINK: I'm on the first page, the 20
- first paragraph I said. 21
- 22 MS. HA: Thank you.
- (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Could you explain, first 23 O
- 24 of all, how -- why Ms. Beatty suddenly becomes a
- 25 party to a Confidentiality Agreement in this case?

- 1 A We had -- on I believe it was December 5th, we had
 - a closing on these documents. And present at that
- closing -- it was in Mr. Wilson Copeland's office. 3
- I was present representing the plaintiffs, Brown, 4
- Nelthrope and Harris. And I believe Ms. Osamuede, 5
- McCargo, Copeland, and perhaps a paralegal. I 6
- think one of the city's paralegals. I forgot. I 7
- may be wrong on that. Those people were there for R
- sure, and there may have been a paralegal or two 9
- 10 there also.
- 11 Q Now --

18

21

- 12 A (Interposing) And these documents are what we --
- you know, we had reviewed these documents ahead of 13
- time, passed them back and forth. And essentially, 14
- 15 13 was supposed to incorporate the confidentiality
- provisions of 11, the original conditional 16
- 17 Settlement Agreement, and then we tweaked the
 - language. The mayor -- or, it was suggested that
- it encompass the documents that Brown and Nelthrope 19
- wanted back from the city that they considered to 20
 - be of a confidential nature.
- 22 0 So am I correct in assuming that everyone present
- 23 at that meeting, who you just identified, was aware
- of Exhibit 13 --24
- 25 MS. HA: (Interposing) Objection,

78

- MS. HA: Objection, foundation.
- 2 A The records were -- the text messages were of Ms.
- Beatty's text messaging machine. They were 3
- messages either sent by her or to her. And she 4
- obviously had a vested interest in keeping those records personal or confidential. 6
- 7
 - Another thing is that I requested a
- release from Ms. Beatty as part of the overall 9 Settlement Agreement. And I believe that is
- reflected in Exhibit -- the document we signed in 10
- my office on the 17th of October. I believe in 11
- 12 there I make -- or, I inserted that releases would be entered by all the parties, plus I thought I 13
- said Christine Beatty. But obviously the document 14
- 15 speaks for itself.
- (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Going back to Exhibit 13, 16 Q
- 17 this Confidentiality Agreement signed by Kwame
- Kilpatrick and Christine Beatty, whose idea was it 18
- to draft this document, as far as you know? 19
- 20 MS. HA: Objection, foundation.
- 21 A I don't know. I don't know that.
- 22 Q (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Was it -- okay. Was it
- your idea? 23
- 24 A
- 25 Q Who presented it to you for signature?

- foundation. 1
- (Continuing by Mr. Fink) -- the Confidentiality 2 Q
- 3 Agreement?
- I can't -- I can't say that. From the beginning, 4 A
- all the way back to October 17th when the -- when 5
- 6 the city and all their attorneys said we will not
- negotiate a global resolution is the word we used 7
 - to this case, I said, well, in that case I won't
- accept the four hundred or five hundred thousand.
- 10 And then I asked the facilitator in private if he would furnish Mr. McCargo only with a 11
- motion that I had prepared for attorney's fees, 12
- 13 which referenced the con -- the text messages. And
- 14 I do not know whether Ms. Osamuede or Mr. Copeland
- ever saw the text messages. I -- well, I'm not 15
- going to guess. 16
- 17 And I really don't know whether they ever
- 18 saw this Confidentiality Agreement. They were in 19
 - the room when it was signed, but I'm not sure --
- you know, they gave these documents to me to be 20
- signed, and I signed them. They didn't come from 21
- Ms. Osamuede. They came from McCargo. Whether 22 they were aware of this document or not, I can't 23
- 24 say.
- Now, Ms. Osamuede, to your knowledge, was aware of 25 Q

| [| 81 | | 83 |
|------|--|------|---|
| 1 | the text messages, because it's referenced in the | 1 | about is a motion that was submitted to the |
| 2 | October 17th Settlement Agreement that she signed, | 2 | facilitator during facilitation, right? |
| 3 | correct? | 3 A | I handed it to the fac it wasn't really part of |
| 4 | MS. HA: Objection, foundation. Mr. | 4 | the facilitation. I handed it to him when it |
| 5 | Stefani cannot answer for Ms. Osamuede. | 5 | appeared the facilitation broke down and we weren't |
| 6 | (WHEREUPON SHARON MCPHAIL, ESQ., | 6 | going to resolve the attorney's fee issue. And we |
| 7 | LEFT THE DEPOSITION ROOM.) | 7 | were really kind of preparing to leave. And I |
| 8 Q | (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Correct, sir? | 8 | said, "Would you please give this to Mr. McCargo, |
| 9 A | I believe I believe she was aware that there | 9 | and but give it only to him", I said. |
| 10 | were text messages. I don't believe she at | 10 Q | (Continuing by Mr. Fink) This was a document you |
| 11 | least I have no reason to believe that she was | 11 | intended to file in the circuit court with Judge |
| 12 | aware of the context of those messages. I think | 12 | Callahan, correct? |
| 13 | McCargo told me, and I'm going by memory | 13 A | Absolutely. |
| 14 | MS. HA: (Interposing) Objection, | 14 Q | Okay. Now, after Mr. McCargo received this |
| 15 | hearsay. | 15 | document, what then transpired with regard to the |
| 16 Q | (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Go ahead. | 16 | mayor's acceptance of the settlement? |
| 17 A | I think he said | 17 | MS. HA: Objection, foundation. |
| 18 | MS. HA: (Interposing) Move to | 18 Q | (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Or the mayor's settlement |
| 19 | strike. | 19 | of the case. What can you |
| 20 A | that Mr. Copeland and Ms. Osamuede were not | 20 A | (Interposing) Forty-five minutes after the |
| 21 | going to review the specifics of my motion, but | 21 | facilitator handed McCargo the document, there was |
| 22 | they were aware that I was going to file a motion. | 22 | a knock on our door. We were in separate rooms. |
| 23 Q | (Continuing by Mr. Fink) All right. So let's | 23 | And the facilitator said, "McCargo wants to talk to |
| 24 | we're clear on the record, the motion was what you | 24 | you." And I got outside he was actually outside |
| 25 | referred to a minute or two ago, a motion for | 25 | of the building. So I left the building and walked |
| L | | | |

| | 82 | | 84 |
|------|---|------|---|
| 1 | attorney's fees? | 1 | out in the parking lot. And McCargo looked ashen |
| 2 A | Supplemental motion for attorney's fees. | 2 | or shook up. And he looked at me, and he said, "I |
| 3 Q | Okay. You had prepared that document, correct? | 3 | had no idea" |
| 4 A | Correct. | 4 | MS. HA: (Interposing) Objection. |
| 5 Q | And in it, am I correct that there was a reference | 5 A | "about these. Have you filed it with the |
| 6 | to these text messages and perhaps an excerpt in | 6 | Court?" And I said, "No, I haven't filed it yet, |
| 7 | this motion? | 7 | but I'm going to do that tomorrow." And he said, |
| 8 A | Oh, it was extensively excerpt extensively | 8 | "Give me some time." And a few minutes went by. |
| 9 | excerpted with the areas the basis of the motion | 9 | He called back, he says, "Boy, I was lucky. I got |
| 10 | was that we should get additional attorney's fees, | 10 | the mayor right at the airport." And he said, Mr. |
| 11 | because the mayor and Beatty perjured themselves. | 11 | So and So, who is the head of the legal |
| 12 | And I set out extensive examples in the of the | 12 | department |
| 13 | text messages in that motion. And that's what I'm | 13 Q | (Continuing by Mr. Fink) John, is it Johnson? |
| 14 | saying. McCargo read it, read it carefully. He | 14 A | "Is on his way down here." And when he got down |
| 15 | took about forty-five minutes to read it. But I | 15 | there, McCargo we all started negotiating more |
| 16 | don't think Ms. Osamuede ever at least I I | 16 | seriously a global solution. And that's where I |
| 17 | have no reason to believe she read it. | 17 | ended up discounting the fees by nine hundred |
| 18 | MS. HA: Then how | 18 | thousand dollars and we resolved the case. |
| 19 A | (Interposing) In fact, I have the opposite. | 19 Q | So Mr. Johnson, the corporation counsel of the City |
| 20 Q | (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Okay. | 20 | of Detroit, was then involved in this resolution |
| 21 A | McCargo said he wasn't going to share it with her | 21 | after Mr. McCargo learned of the text messages, |
| 22 | or Copeland. | 22 | correct? |
| 23 Q | Okay. Then | 23 | MS. HA: Objection, foundation. |
| 24 | MS. HA: (Interposing) Just so that | 24 A | He came to the facilitation, introduced himself, |
| 25 | the record is clear, this motion that we're talking | 25 | was extremely pleasant. But we were in two |

3

4

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8 A

23 0

85 separate rooms, so I don't know what they told him. 1 But in response to McCargo's call to the 2 mayor, Mr. Johnson came down to the fac -- if 3 that's his name, Mr. Johnson, came down to the facilitation, and then we went in separate rooms 6 and we never talked to each other again. We just like passed notes through the facilitator. 7 8 Q (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Thank you. Just so we can clean this up, you also gave me a package of 9 10 checks which I marked as Exhibit 16, which you have 11 there. 12 A Yes. Okay. And do I understand that there were separate 13 Q checks drawn for different types of injuries? Is 14 that the reason we have multiple checks? 15 That's not the reason we have multiple checks. 16 A 17 (WHEREUPON SHARON MCPHAIL, ESQ., RE-ENTERED THE DEPOSITION ROOM.) 18 But the part of the settlement -- the tentative 19 A conditional Settlement Agreement, is that we would 20

allocate the settlement among several different

future pension, emotional damage and physical

injury. And that is the way we did it. But I

don't think those bear -- well, they might bear a

categories; past wages, future wages, past pension,

able to identify. 2 A Yes. MS. HA: Is this Exhibit 17? MR. FINK: 17 is what I marked it. Yeah. Page 2 and Page 3 of 17 are a letter from me 5 A to Ms. Colbert-Osamuede, dated November 1st, 2007, in which we set forth the break-down of how the party -- or, the plaintiffs allocated the settlement proceeds. And I should point out, and I'd like to point out anyway, that you notice on here Stefani and Stefani has a rather large figure. But that

doesn't necess -- these figures don't necessarily mean they -- some of these figures -- some of the payment to Stefani and Stefani was for advances that were the responsibility of all the clients. You know, client expenses. And then there were a couple other disbursements made. So these numbers don't necessarily reflect the amount that went into the bank accounts of each of the participants. I don't know if that make it clear, if that clarifies anything, but...

(Continuing by Mr. Fink) Sure. Okay. Now, I know that you produced to us today Exhibit 11, which is the first Settlement Agreement October 17, 2007.

86

relationship to the checks. There might be -- some of these -- each defendant received -- each plaintiff, rather, received two checks. One was for the nonearnings or noncompensation portion, and there was a check written for that with appropriate deductions for federal withholding, state withholding and city withholding. And then there was a separate check issued for the noncompensation aspects of the transaction.

And if you look at these checks, they're dated December 4th, 2007. At least some of them are dated December 4th, 2007, which is -- refreshes my recollection why we didn't sign these documents until December 5th. They wanted me to sign to release and all that stuff ahead of time, and I simply told them that I wouldn't do it until we had the checks in hand, and then I would sign it. So we didn't end up signing the Settlement Agreement, we didn't have the closing so to speak until the next day, December 5th. (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Okay. Now, just to fill in the blank here, I'm going to hand you what I've had marked as Exhibit 17. You would not recognize the cover letter. This was produced in court on last Friday. But the attachment to it, you may be

It's the one with the reference to the text messages. Do you know what happened to other copies of that document, or was there -- let me withdraw that question and rephrase it.

Was there any understanding with regard to existing copies of that Settlement Agreement, to your knowledge? There was no discussion of it at all. And in fact, it -- it -- all the parties here in this case tried

to rep -- in my opinion, tried to represent their clients to the very best of their ability. There were some areas that might not be up to the standards if we had all the time in the world.

And I considered this settlement -- this Exhibit 11 required Brown, Nelthrope and Harris to enter into a separate Settlement Agreement, a nondisclosure agreement. And they entered into those agreements pursuant to Exhibit 11. And the so-called -- the second Settlement Agreement, Exhibit 12, requires them to do the same thing. But they had already entered into it, so it's a little bit bollexed up.

In other words, they followed some of the terms of Exhibit 11, all the parties did. And then when we changed it, we didn't go back and re-do

88

87

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

21 Q

91 those separate agreements. And we all agreed that of the text messages? 1 We -- we -- we didn't destroy the text -- there's 2 A they were clear, the intent was clear as to the 2 nothing required us to des -- all of the text confidentiality, and -- but if I were a law 3 3 messages, except to turn over all copies into this professor, a contracts professor looking at this, I 4 would -- I would say hey, you should have had ---5 escrow account. 5 6 Q Okav. re-executed those agreements, you should have 6 7 A So we didn't destroy anything. We were -- we were retaken the documents back and given them back to 7 also required to -- I wouldn't even say we were 8 them. But we didn't do it that way. 8 q required. As part of the negotiations, I 9 But all the parties were trying -- all the volunteered to remove -- they insisted upon the 10 attorneys were trying their best to get this matter 10 motion that I had drafted be turned over. 11 resolved, and I feel had their clients' best 11 12 Q 12 interests at heart. And I volunteered, as part of the negotiations, to 13 A 13 Q All right. I want to ask you --MS. HA: (Interposing) Wait, wait a 14 remove them from our computer. Because if we 14 didn't remove them, it would -- it would defeat the 15 15 minute. (Continuing by Mr. Fink) -- some more questions 16 purpose. 16 0 Who removed them from your computer? 17 about Exhibit 13. 17 0 I did. Well, I did, and I had Roquia, my legal 18 A MS. HA: Wait. 18 assistant, remove them from her computer. MS. MCPHAIL: She has an objection. 19 19 MS. HA: Exhibit 12 was never 20 O Did the city or the mayor's representatives have 20 entered. I don't know what Exhibit 12 Mr. Stefani anything to do with scrubbing your computers? 21 21 22 A No. Not to my -- I mean, no, no. Nobody. 22 was referring to. 23 MS. McPHAIL: I thought he said what 23 Q Okay. 24 A Unless somebody broke in in the middle of the 24 it was.

25

(Interposing) I believe that's the revised or 1 A second Settlement Agreement dated ---2 MR. FINK: (Interposing) I think 3 4 that's 11 -- no, no, no, no. 5 A Well, it's not on mine. MR. FINK: No. You're right. It's 6 7 12. Absolutely it's 12. MS. HA: Now, what's 12? 8 MR. FINK: 12 is the so-called 9 official agreement that we were given in response 10 11 to the Freedom of Information Act request. 12 MS. HA: Okay. 13 0 (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Okay. Let me go now back to Number 13. I have a few questions about 13, 14 which is the second Confidentiality Agreement, 15 so-called. November 1. 16 Okay. Now, there's some reference I 17 believe in here to your employees having to sign --18 19 A (Interposing) That's correct. 20 Q -- confidentiality... Tell me what your office was asked to do 21 with regard to the -- oh, it's on Page 3, the 22 bottom of Page 3. What did the -- I guess the 23 24 mayor require, or his representatives require you

and your employees to do with regard to destruction

MS. HA: Well, he said --

anything. 1 2 Q Okay. But part of the requirement that was made of 3 you, and part of the settlement, was that your employees would sign confidentiality agreements also relating to the text messaging? 5 That's correct, because we involved -- the text 6 A messages were so extensive, we involved several of 7 our employees in reviewing them. And as part of 8 our arrangement, you know, Mr. McCargo said, "Well, 9 10 what about your staff? Well, maybe they'll release them." And I said, "No, they're very trustworthy. 11 They have been with me a long time, and I trust 12 them. We're like family." And they said, "Okay, 13 14 then they can just sign the agreement too." 15 Q Now, I'm interested in on paragraph -- now I'm referring -- I'm still on -- on Exhibit 13, the 16 17 Confidentiality Agreement. And I see there's a 18 reference to Ms. Beatty's home. 19 MS. HA: What page? MR. FINK: Page 2, Paragraph 4 A. 20 21 Q (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Can you tell me what your understanding is with regard to why documents 22 obtained by the plaintiffs concerning the purchase 23 of Christine Beatty's home and financing through 24 25 Fifth/Third Bank, why was that an issue?

night, nobody's come over and checked them or

92

25

```
You know, I only know what McCargo told me. And
 1 A
        McCargo told me --
 2
                      MS. HA: (Interposing) Well,
 3
        objection, hearsay.
 4
        (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Okay. Go ahead, tell us.
 5 Q
        First of all, it could have been a negotiation
 6 A
        tactic on his part. It could have been pure BS.
 7
 8
        But he said in order for us to get you this much
        money, you have to give us some more documents.
 9
10
        You -- we have to make it look like we're really
        getting a bang for our buck. And I said -- and so
11
        he said we want the Christine Beatty, her mortgage,
12
13
        her husband's mortgage, anything you've got on
        that. And I said, fine, I'm -- I said it's been
14
15
        reported already in the newspaper, but I will be
        happy to turn -- and that was also turned over and
16
17
        nut in escrow.
        Well, what was -- what was -- what did that relate
18 Q
19
        to?
        Well, I had contended, and I believe to this date,
20 A
21
        it's only my belief, that the mayor gave Christine
        Beatty twelve thousand dollars to buy that house
22
        on -- that new house she bought. Because she and
23
```

95 records. And McCargo said, we want everything. 2 Q Okay. MS. HA: And I'm object -- I have 3 objected to the hearsay, and I'm going to move to 5 strike Mr. Stefani's entire statement. 6 MR. FINK: You make your objection. You move to strike in a trial or in front of a 7 judge. But that's fine, Ms. Ha, okay? Your 8 objection is absolutely clear, and you can make 9 that argument to the judge, and I'm sure you will. 10 (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Now, Mr. Stefani, going 11 Q 12 back to the -- what I'll call the formal 13 settlement, Exhibit 5, I notice that it's signed 14 by, and we referenced this earlier, Wilson Copeland, II, as the -- on behalf of the City of 15 Detroit. What is your understanding of Mr. 16 Copeland's role in the settlement -- first I'll ask 17 you with regard to the settlement. What was Mr. 18 Copeland's role with regard to the settlement, as 19 20 you understand it? What was his involvement? 21 A When you say settlement now, you know, we've got 22 these documents called Settlement Agreements.

the mayor actually helped her pick this house out, this new one on Westmoreland. And when she went to apply for the mortgage, she had no money to put down. And the bank told her that she needed twenty-four thousand dollars. And the bank gave her, gave her, not loaned her, but gave her twenty-four -- twelve thousand dollars. And my source told me that Beatty said, "Well, the mayor will give me the other twelve." And the bank said, "Look, this can't be a loan. It has to be a gift." And she says, "Well, it will be a gift." And he said, "Nobody's going to believe that the mayor gave you a gift, so have the mayor give the money to your mother, then have your mother make a gift." So I tried to get the bank records to show this. I subpoenaed the mother's account -- the mother gave either a money order or certified

her -- she had moved out of the home that she was

living in with her husband. And my sources told me

check -- I mean, a money order or a cashier's check. And I tried to get the records as to where the money... but in any event, I sent out a bunch of subpoenas, and those were all quashed by Judge Warfield Moore. Because I sent those out in the Harris case -- or, wait a minute. Let me think. Harris? Yeah, the Harris case. So that's how I happened to have all these

(Interposing) I'm talking in broader terms. 1 Q

2 A Yeah. The oral agreement --

The resolution of the lawsuit.

3 Q (Interposing) And I'll --

(Interposing) -- that we arrived at on the 17th 4 A

are you referring to when you say settlement?

and then reduced to writing in my office that

6 evening?

The --

7 Q Yes.

23

24 0

25 A

5

22

25

He was representing -- he is co-counsel with Ms. 8 A

Osamuede for the City of Detroit. McCargo is 9

co-counsel with Ms. Osamuede for Mayor Kilpatrick. 10

We all traded these notes back and forth 11 about dollar amounts, and we all came here and made 1.2

a few changes to this agree -- I had drafted a 13

14 Settlement Agreement on a yellow pad at the

15 facilitation meeting, the conference. And the

facilitator was from Flint, and he had to go back 16

17 at four o'clock, I think. And so we said, well,

how about going to my office, and we'll reduce this 18

19 to writing? And Mr. -- Mr.

McCargo, Copeland? 20 0

Copeland and McCargo and Ms. Osamuede all came to 21 A

my office, and we tweaked the thing a little bit,

the yellow paper, tweaked it a little bit. And 23

then I -- then we had it typed, and then there 24

might have been a little bit more tweaking. But we

96

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

99 didn't get out of here till about -- I think I left 1 A That --1 MS. HA: (Interposing) Objection as at 8:30. 2 2 to Mr. Fink's characterization of settlement of So that --3 3 Q (Interposing) And they left at maybe 8:15. October 17th, 2007. 4 A And, Mr. Fink, that -- that -- that can't be So the facilitator was long gone by the time you 5 A 5 Q really hammered out the agreement with regard to 6 answered simply yes or no. The facilitator -- we 6 were in two separate rooms during the facilitation the text messages and all? 7 8 when this thing was negotiated. I drafted it, The fac -- first of all, the facilitator had no 8 A 9 passed it, the facilitator took it to their side. idea about the text messages. 9 10 They changed it. And by the time the facilitator 10 Q All right. 11 left, had to leave, we had arrived at 99.9 percent He -- I gave him a sealed envelope with a motion in 11 A of the agreement. And it had to be reduced to 12 12 it, and asked him to give it to Mr. McCargo. 13 writing, so we came to my office. So all -- just let me -- let me, just so we get 13 0 Now, the facilitator was never -- other this in the right order. So the -- the resolution 14 14 than numbers, he was not aware of what was in these 15 of the case where the text messages came into play, 15 agreements. You know, I would give him -- 'cause I was outside of the purview of the facilitator? He 16 16 told him, I said, "I would prefer you not know wasn't involved in that, correct? 17 17 MS. HA: Well, I object to that line 18 what's in this envelope", when I gave it to him. 18 And he said, "Believe me, I don't want to know. of questioning, because what -- what do you mean by 19 19 20 resolution of this case? 20 It's not my"... So he did facilitate the settlement 21 by coming back and saying they say they can't go --21 MR. FINK: What I mean, Ms. Ha, is the quid pro quo, or the destruction of the they can't go a -- they can't go to eight million. 22 22 incriminating evidence of the mayor's perjury in 23 23 There's no way they can get approval for eight exchange for the mayor's decision to settle the 24 million. And I told him, well, then we won't 24 25 case. Does that make it clear? 25 accept eight million -- I mean, we won't settle for

MS. HA: Well, first of all, Mr. 1 Fink, you don't have to be so snide to me. 2 MR. FINK: I wasn't snide. I was 3 explaining it to you, because you don't seem to 4 5 understand it. MS. HA: Well, thank you. 6 MR. FINK: Okay. 7 8 MS. HA: Thank you for --(Interposing) You know, I think, in all due 9 A 10 respect, Mr. Fink, I know you are -- have quite a reputation for being an outstanding constitutional 11 lawyer. But as a favor to me, I think you are 12 13 being a little snide to her, and I wish you wouldn't. 14 MR. FINK: Well, I would apologize to 15 16 her, and I do so right now. 17 0 (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Now, let's get the information on the record. My question related to 18 whether the resolution of the case, which is the 19 settlement on the 17th of October, the decision to 20 settle the case by the mayor's representatives, 21 took place outside of the purview so to speak of 22 the facilitator? This was negotiated between you, 23 Mr. McCargo and Mr. Copeland, correct, and Ms. 24 Osamuede? 25

less than eight million. And he would take that 1 back to them. And in the meantime, we were 2 drafting this on a yellow pad of paper. Now, he didn't read it, I don't believe. 5 But when the deal was over, he knew we had a 6 handshake agreement or an oral agreement to reduce 7 it to writing. But he knew, and everybody knew 8 that it had to be approved by the parties who weren't there. 9 So when you say was it negotiated outside 10 the facilitation, I would say no. 11 (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Well, I think you 12 O answered it when you said that he was not a 13 14 participant and had no knowledge, as far as you 15 know, of the text message component to the 16 settlement? No. He -- that's all he knew, is what I told him, 17 A "I've developed" --18 19 O (Interposing) Okay. -- "information that Mr. Kilpatrick lied -- or, 20 A 21 committed perjury, and I would like you to give this document." He didn't know what was in the 23 envelope. And we didn't want him to know. Okay. Now, there was another name that's appeared. 24 Q 25 And actually, it's been in the newspaper. And that

involves a person named William Mitchell, III. 1 there was a story in the Detroit Free Press 2 yesterday, that in October of 2007, he had traveled 3 to SkyTel in Mississippi, and made contact with SkyTel with regard to the text messages. What do 5 you know about Mr. Mitchell's involvement in any 6 matter relating to the settlement of the lawsuit? 7 MS. HA: I'm going to object to Mr. 8 Fink's reference to the Detroit Free Press article 9 10 as a hearsay. You know, of course I know Mr. Mitchell. But by 11 A 12 reputation, he's got the reputation of being a fine

> Mr. McCargo took the position, not during facilitation, but in other conversations, that these text messages were the personal property of the mayor and Ms. Beatty, and they should be returned. And the city was going to tell SkyTel that -- or, McCargo, somebody was going to tell SkyTel that this was personal property, and they had no right to have those records. So I presume Mitchell was hired to do

attorney. I believe he specializes in criminal

that. But that's -- that -- that's a presumption. But it kind of fits in with what you're saying that

What's this? This is a notice of mayor --1 2 approval -- no, that's not it. 3 MR. STEWART: Here we go. It's a notice of designation of representative, 4 A right there. MR. STEWART: Exhibit 8. 6 (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Okay. So the first you 7 Q knew of Mr. Mitchell's involvement at all in the 8 9 settlement situation, for lack of a better word, was this document, Exhibit 8, dated November 1? 10 11 A Yeah. And it seems to me -- well, no, not necessary -- I mean, I hate to split hairs here, 12 but we had the closing on the 5th of December, 13 because we had all the checks. And, you know, 14 originally we thought that we would deliver 15 everything out of the safety deposit box that day. 16 But by the time we finished the closing, it was 17 18 seven or seven thirty in the evening, and then I believe the next day either Mitchell couldn't make 19 20 it -- and I was told over the phone, the mayor's going to designate Mitchell. And that came after 21 the 5th. But Mitchell couldn't be there I believe 22 on the 6th or something, so --23 (Interposing) By be there, you're referring to the 24 Q 25 bank or to --

103

104

102 someone went down there and tried to get SkyTel to 1 2 return those records to the mayor and Beatty. (Continuing by Mr. Fink) But you didn't -- he 3 Q didn't come to any proceeding that you were 4 involved in relating to the settlement? 5 He wasn't identified until -- a moment ago I told 6 A you we signed this, we had a closing on December 7 8 5th. We didn't even know who the mayor's representative was going to be on December 5th. We 9 10 didn't know whether it was going to be McCargo. I 11 assumed it was going to be McCargo. McCargo said, "Well, it might not be me." It wasn't until 12 several days later that I received these exhibits, 13 I think it's the one that identifies Mitchell as 14 15 the mayor's representative. MR. FINK: Yeah. What was the date 1.6 17 of that, do you remember? What was the date? What was the date of the Mitchell document? I can't 18 find it. 19 MR. STEWART: Let me see. 20 MR. FINK: Maybe you can just hand it 21

(Interposing) Well, I'm looking right now.

It's one of the early ones that we had here.

(Interposing) At the bank, right. 1 A 2 Q 3 A So I can tell you I have a letter authorizing my son to represent me. And he went down, Brady Stefani, who's a lawyer, went down, represented --5 6 took my key down, met Mitchell, gave him all the records out of the box. 8 So I don't mean to split hairs, but I 9 think I knew about Mitchell perhaps a day before I 10 got this Exhibit 8. Which was not until December ---11 0 (Interposing) Yes, correct. 12 A -- of 2007? 13 Q Right. 14 A And you may have just said it, but if you don't 15 Q mind, I didn't pick it up. What date were the 16 documents retrieved from the safe deposit box? 17 I didn't say. I said I got a letter to my --18 A 19 Q (Interposing) That's why I don't remember it. We ran into some glitches. Like one guy couldn't 20 A 21 make it on -- so I know I wrote an authorization for my son to represent me. Whatever date that is, that's when the documents were retrieved. 23 Okay. And to your -- the best of your knowledge, 24 Q

it was Mr. Mitchell who came to get them on behalf

25

22

23 A

24 0

25 A

to me so I can just --

(Continuing by Mr. Fink) Okay.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

4

13

19

22

23

25

correct?

105

1 2 A Absolutely. I don't even know if Mr. McCargo was there, but he probably was. He probably introduced 3 my son Brady to Mr. Mitchell. But I know Brady 4 came back telling me that he turned them over to 6 Mitchell.

MR. FINK: I'm going to confer with my co-counsel.

Esteemed co-counsel? 9 A

MR. FINK: My esteemed co-counsel, 10

11 Mr. Stewart.

7 8

12

18 Q

19

20

21

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

16

21

22

25

15 Q

(WHEREUPON A BRIEF PAUSE WAS HAD IN

THE PROCEEDINGS.) 13

MR. FINK: Okay. One more brief line 14 of questioning, Mr. Stefani, if I can. And I'm 15 interested in this, and I'm sure there's a good 16

17 reason.

(Continuing by Mr. Fink) When I issued a subpoena to SkyTel earlier this month, I copied from a

subpoena in the court file in the Brown, et al, versus Kwame Kilpatrick, et al lawsuit in front of

22 Judge Callahan. There is in that file a subpoena

to SkyTel. And I copied the language to parallel

exactly what you had asked for or your office had

asked for. And that was, I'm going to read it out

is because Walt Harris had testified he saw -- he accompanied the mayor out of town in September, I believe. And the mayor tried -- the mayor didn't allow Walt to make his usual security check of the hotel room. And when the mayor opened the door, he saw Christine Beatty sitting on the bed, totally -as I understand it, totally clothed. And I wanted to see if there were text messages about that.

Also the Manoogian Mansion party was supposed to have taken place in September. And I wanted to see if there were text messages about

The April and May time frame was done because that's when Nelthrope reported to Internal Affairs the misconduct by the security people Jones and Martin. And Beatty you know, of course, and the mayor of course, have always said his termination had nothing to do with that; that his termination came from the result of an anonymous letter. And I wanted the text messages around that time to see if there weren't discussions of Brown's termination prior to the date this so-called anonymous letter showed up. And sure enough, the text messages confirmed that the mayor and Beatty had made up their mind not only to fire Brown, but

of mine, and I believe it's identical.

"Identify the City of Detroit Michigan pager number for Christine Beatty of the City of Detroit, and produce text messages received by and sent from that pager number for the period of September 1, 2005, through October 31, 2005" -excuse me if I said '5. I meant 2002. "September 1, 2002, through October 31, 2002, and for the period April 1, 2003, through May 31, 2003."

It was very specific. And I am interested in knowing why you asked for that -- those specific messages, and why you asked for them? Yeah, I quess that's the question.

13

MS. HA: I'm going to object. (Continuing by Mr. Fink) How did you know that there was something there between those dates?

MS. HA: Object to irrelevancy. 17 The -- I limited it to those dates, because it's my 18 A 19 belief in discovery, and this has been my practice at least for recent years, to limit your discovery 20

requests so that you can avoid the other side contending that it's burdensome and expensive and

all that stuff. If you really want something, be 23 24 as narrow as you can.

And the reason I chose those two months,

106

to fire his -- his inspectors and commander before 1 the so-called anonymous letter was slid under 2 Beatty's door. 3

So I picked those two dates -- those two two-month time periods, because I thought if there 5 6 was going to be anything, it would be in that period. And then if I needed more, I could always 7 8 send another subpoena.

9 Q (Continuing by Mr. Fink) And I guess it's 10 self-evident, but I'm assuming the officers used to see the mayor and Ms. Beatty text messaging, and 11 12 that's why you knew there were text messages, am I

MS. HA: Objection as to foundation. 14

Yes. The office -- well, they don't know who they 15 A 16 were text messaging.

17 Q (Continuing by Mr. Fink) But they saw them using text pagers? 18

MS. HA: Objection, foundation.

Right. And they heard cell phone calls also, which 20 A 21 were testified to.

MR. FINK: Okay. Mr. Stewart, if you have any questions, I am...

24 MR. STEWART: Oh, all right.

MR. FINK: I'm done.

108

107

Pages 105 to 108

111 109 MR. STEWART: Thank you. I have just 1 Okay. Go ahead. 1 2 Q So the trial ends roughly on September 11th. You 2 a few. You've been patient, Mr. Stefani. I'll 3 did not have these text messages during the trial 3 try to be as quick as I can. then, as I understand it? 4 **EXAMTNATION** 5 A No. That's correct. 6 0 Do you know why you didn't have them during the 6 BY MR. STEWART 7 When did you first get these text messages that 7 Q 8 A Yes. were the subject of these various agreements we've 9 Q Why is that? 10 A I believe it was like October 5th or so. If you --10 A Because when I originally requested the text 11 messages, I believe it was September or October, I believe in the documents I gave you, if my 11 parallel legal followed my instructions, there's a 12 2004. The city -- the city brought an emergency 12 13 motion to quash the subpoena. There was a hearing 13 cover letter from SkyTel --14 on it. The judge ruled in my favor, and I sent out MR. FINK: (Interposing) No. 14 -- encompassing the text messages and a printout of 15 a second subpoena to SkyTel. And the city filed a 15 A Beatty's -- some kind of computer printout, one 16 second emergency motion to quash. And at that 16 17 page of like her account or something. 17 second motion, they argued that there was a government deliberative process privilege that made MR. FINK: We did not get that for 18 18 the record. 19 these text messages confidential. And Judge 19 20 Callahan sort of laughed, and he said, "Well, you'd Well, then she must have left them out of the 20 A 21 package, but I can get them for you. They were 21 better have a better privilege than that." He 22 says. "But I'll tell you what, have the messages 22 intended to be in the package. And I believe that letter is dated like 23 sent to me, and I'll be the judge of whether 23 they're privileged." the 4th or 5th of October. And that's the first 24 24 25 So my second subpoena went out directing 25 time I got the text messages.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

110 (Continuing by Mr. Stewart) All right. Help me --1 Q 2 A (Interposing) Of 2007. Right. 3 Q 4th and 5th, after the trial. 4 A Yeah. And the trial ended roughly when, basically? 5 Q I think it was around the 12th. I'm guessing now, 6 A 7 but around the 10th or 12th of September. September. 8 Q MS. COLBERT-OSAMUEDE: September 9 10 11th. 11 MR. FINK: Yeah, September. MS. COLBERT-OSAMUEDE: I remember 12 1.3 9-11. MR. FINK: Yeah, it was. 14 15 0 (Continuing by Mr. Fink) Do you want to look for that before you and I go on to discuss all of this? 16 17 A No. no. You look like you're looking for something, that's 18 0 19 why I stopped. I am, but I can -- believe it or not, I can --20 A 21 Q (Interposing) You're multitasking? 22 A Despite what my wife says, I can do two things at 23 once. 24 Q Okay.

SkyTel to send the messages directly to Judge Callahan. From that day forward, I assume Judge Callahan got them. You know, they filed their motion for summary disposition. We filed motions for summary disposition almost immediately after that, and all our attention was focused on those. And then they appealed, and for the next three years we handled appeals. We got to the trial. I put Beatty on the stand. I asked her some very specific questions about text messages: Did she ever send a romantic message to the mayor? Did she ever send a sexually-explicit message to the mayor? Did she ever discuss Gary Brown in a text message? Did she ever discuss the release of Nelthrope's name? Her answers were no to everything. So then I went to the judge and I said, "Would you look at the records? And if there's anything in those text messages that's contradictory, I want to introduce

it." He said, "I don't have the text messages."

you've got them." I said, "No, I don't. You've

So after the trial was over, I went back

And I said, "Sure you do." And he said, "No,

got them." And it turned out nobody had them.

to Sky -- well, the judge told me, "Well,

112

Despite the fact that I'm not a woman.

25 A

CN08117

re-subpoena the messages. Re-subpoena the messages." And I think that was like three days before the end of the trial. And I did re-subpoena them. But I got -- I was told by SkyTel they were no longer available because of some sort of a corporate reorganization, and those records weren't available.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

So I didn't take that for an answer, and I contacted a person who had -- that I had sent the subpoena to three years earlier. And he said those messages are still there, these new people just don't know where to find them. And he told me. So I sent out a new. And I said, "Hey, by the way, why didn't you send them to the judge?" And he said someone from -- the one from 2004, I said, "Why didn't you follow that order and send them to the judge?" Now, this guy no longer worked for SkyTel. He was working for the state department or something. And he said, "Because we got a call from the City of Detroit telling us that they had filed a motion to quash the subpoena, another motion to quash the subpoena, and not to release those messages until the judge ruled."

Well, then I examined my records, and I found that the city did file a third motion to

1 man you said is now at the state department?

2 A You know, I don't remember. But we've got it

3 written down, and, you know, I could do that. But

115

116

4 I don't remember it right now.

5 Q Okay. You don't recall it off the top of your head

6 here?

7 A No.

9

8 Q All right. Did you -- did he tell you who it was

from the city --

10 A (Interposing) No.

11 Q -- who called?

12 Did he tell you if it was an attorney or

13 not an attorney?

14 A I don't -- I think he just said someone from the

15 city.

16 Q Had they called him?

17 A You know -- you know, and I don't know that. I

18 didn't ask him that. I think he just said someone

19 from the city called and told us not to reduce --

20 release the records until the judge ruled on their

new motion to quash.

22 Q Which was never noticed for hearing?

23 A Correct.

21

24 Q All right. Let's come to the end of the trial

25 again now. Let's come back to that time frame, if

114

quash like two days after the judge -- or, three

days after the judge ruled the records be sent to

him. In other words, I filed my first motion, they

4 lost. The second motion, the judge said send the

5 records to me. Then unbeknownst to me, they filed

a third motion to quash, and they called SkyTel and

said, we've -- we're contesting this subpoena,

don't send those records until the judge rules.

Then they never brought -- they never motioned it for hearing. So for three years, I was unaware that there had been a third motion to

12 quash, and --

13 Q (Interposing) Let me interrupt you for one second.

14 A Yeah, I wish you would, because I kind of forgot

15 what your question was.

16 Q Was the third -- was the third motion to quash

17 served on you?

18 A You know, I don't remember having been served on

it. We've got twenty-five boxes. But -- but, I

20 did find a copy of the motion in amongst those

21 twenty-five boxes, so it probably was served on me.

22 Q Okay. But it was never noticed for hearing?

23 A No

24 Q Are you able to tell us the name of the person at

SkyTel who provided this information to you, the

1 we may.

2

13

25

You indicated you sent another subpoena

per the Judge Callahan's direction two to three

4 days before the trial ended?

5 A Yes

6 Q Okay.

7 A That's the one we were told...

8 Q You were called -- you called, and they said gee,

9 we're sorry, those records don't exist?

10 A That's correct.

subpoena at SkyTel back in 2004; he said that's

wrong, they do exist?

14 A (Shaking head affirmatively).

15 Q How did you end up getting them after that? What

16 happened?

17 A He told me the person to address the subpoena to --

or, he gave the instructions on what to say in the

19 subpoena. And I think we had to address it to a

20 particular person. And we sent it out and got the

21 records. We got them on -- we got a -- they came

22 by -- with this cover letter that I'm referring to,

23 and this printout of her -- probably the first page

24 in her account or something like that. Didn't have

any text messages. It just showed all the

117 particulars of her account, along with a CD. 1 that CD had all these text messages on it. 3 Q Could you even estimate how many text messages I know that I printed them out right away so we 5 A could read them, and only made one copy. And they, single spaced, a little bit smaller type than 7 ordinary type, there were like two hundred twenty 8 9 pages or two hundred and ten pages. I don't have any idea how many. 10 And that two hundred and ten pages covered both the 11 O period --12 13 A (Interposing) Yes. -- in '02 and '03? 14 Q Yes. There was about a hundred and five or a 15 A hundred and ten in each -- covering two months in 16 '02 and two months -- each one amounted to about a 17 18 hundred and ten pages. 19 Q Okay. So you got those some time before October 20 17th? Yeah. The date -- I think it was the 5th, 6th. 21 A Okay. Whatever date's on that letter, is when you 22 Q 23 got them? 24 A Yes.

All right. Let me see if I have understood

appeal are. 1 2 Q Okay. 3 A 4 Q So you go -- so the facilitation is scheduled for 5 October 17th I guess, right? 6 A 7 Q Is that a facilitation on the whole case, or simply on your attorney's fees under the whistle-blower 8 9 10 A Just attorney fees under the whistle-blower, the 11 same as the prior that I -- that's why I gave the 12 example of the Bowman facilitation. They were both facilitations to deal only with attorney's fees. 13 14 0 So you -- prior to the 17th, had there been any 15 discussions about settling the liability? 16 A None. Okay. Has anybody said we don't want to settle the 17 Q 18 liability? 19 A There were no discussions one way or the other. 20 Q All right. Why was the facilitation limited only 21 to the attorney's fees under whistle-blower? Because that's Judge Callahan's practice. He 22 A 23 doesn't like -- he likes -- under whistle-blower 24 suits or any suit where by statute he can award 25 attorney's fees, he sends it to a facilitator. I

119

120

118 everything you've said earlier today about some of 1 the chronology. The trial ends on September 11th. 2 I assume, as with all civil trials where there was 4 a judgment, there were discussions about settlement pre appeal, is that right? 5 6 A No. You told me you -- or, you told Mr. Fink earlier 7 0 8 today that you had talked to Ms... 9 A Osamuede. 10 o Osamuede regarding whether there could be a global 11 settlement? 12 A That was in another case. That was in the Bowman 13 case. 14 Q I understand. 15 A And we did have a global settlement during facilitation on attorney's fees. 16 17 Q But did you tell us that she had said there would 18 be no -- there was not going to be a global 19 settlement on this case, this case we're here 20 about? 21 A It didn't come from her lips. It came from the 22 facilitator. He came back in and said they said 23 there won't be any global settlement, because they don't even know whether they've got grounds to 24 25 appeal, or they don't know what their grounds to

1 think it saves him time. 2 Q All right. So as far as you know at the facilitation, forgive me if I didn't pick this up, Mr. McCargo is there, although in another room perhaps, Ms. Osamuede is there. What other 6 attorneys were there on the other side? 7 A There's Wilson Copeland. 8 Q Okay. 9 A And I believe there were two young attorneys. I 10 believe one worked for McCargo and one worked for -- and they were the attorneys who were in the 11 courtroom during the trial. 12 13 O All right. You spend all day going back and forth 14 on your attorney fees under the whistle-blower, 15 correct? I said we spent about two and a half, three hours. 16 A All right. You feel you've come to loggers heads 17 Q 18 19 A They -- I think they said they might be inclined to pay -- I think they may -- maybe the facilitator 20 21 came back. Four hundred fifty thousand came up. I 22 believe they said we'll pay four hundred fifty 23 thousand for attorney's fees. I sent back the 24 message, I will accept five hundred thousand if we 25 can have a global resolution of appellate rights

25 Q

7

8

9

10

11

12

121

and get it over with. The facilitator came back and said, they say that they can't do that, they don't want to do it because they haven't examined the record yet.

But, you know, that -- it was the same thing as Bowman. I mean, they could have been -they could have been BS'ing me. That could have been a tactic. I didn't know.

Okav. But this --9 0

(Interposing) But that's when I said, you know, 10 A

okay. Then I -- you know --11

(Interposing) After you -- let me see if I can 12 Q

help you. 13

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

14 A I'm sorry.

No. I just want to make sure that I get this 15 Q

right. After you understand that the city will not 16

enter into a global agreement on this case, you 17

ended your loggers heads on your attorney's fees, 18

you give the sealed envelope with your motion for 19

attorney's fees which references details of the 20

Kilpatrick/Beatty text messages, is that right? 21

22 A That's correct.

And you give the direction that only Mr. McCargo's 23 Q

to look at that, is that right? 24

That's correct. And I -- could I add one other 25 A

certain criteria that the statute -- or, not 1 2 statute, but the case law says you have to take these things into consideration. One of them is 3 the difficulty that -- the time consumed.

123

124

and the mayor had not lied since day one through all of these depositions, we would have not had to incur anywhere near a million dollars' worth of attorney time; and, therefore, the judge should take that into consideration and award us the million dollars instead of just, you know, saying okay, I'll give you twenty-five thousand or

The basis of my motion was that if Beatty

13 something.

And you supported your motion with the --14 0

15 A (Interposing) A brief.

with a brief and quotations, which I think you 16 Q

17 called rather extensively --

18 A (Interposing) Very extensive.

-- from the Beatty/Kilpatrick text messages --19 0

(Interposing) Corrects. 20 A

-- which you argued demonstrated they had lied from 21 0

the beginning of the case, is that right? 22

That's correct. 23 A

24 Q All right. As I understand it, it was then

approximately a forty-five minute break? 25

122

thing? 1

2 Q Sure.

3 A Because it's important to me, but maybe not to you.

The facilitator said, "What's in this 4 envelope?" And I said, "I've got irrefutable", is 5 the word I used, "Irrefutable proof that the mayor 6

and Beatty perjured themselves." And he said, "Well, what do you want me to tell them?" And I

8 said. "I don't want you to tell them anything, 9

except I want you to give them the envelope." 10

Okav. 11 Q

7

And he said to me, you know, "You have to careful. 12 A

You don't want to tie -- you don't want to like 13

threaten to release the information if they don't 14

settle." And I said, "I'm not doing that. I only 15

want you to tell them that this is a motion." And 16

he gave it to them, and he coached me on not saying 17

anything else or something. 18

19 O All right. In your motion that you had drafted for

attorney's fees that you gave to Mr. McCargo in 20

21 this envelope, am I correct that your argument was

you were entitled to enhanced fees because you had

23 proof that Beatty and Kilpatrick had perjured

themselves during the trial?

25 A It wasn't that I had proof. You know, there are

That McCargo was reading it. 1 A

McCargo. Then McCargo asked to see you alone? 2 Q

3 A Yes.

11

24

And you go outside? 4 0

That's correct. 5 A

6 Q Where was this?

This was at the -- Charfoos and Charfoos has a mock 7 A

courtroom, mock trial courtroom. 8

Um-hum (affirmatively). 9 Q

And that's where the facilitation was, in that mock 10 A

trial courtroom. And right outside the door is a

big parking lot for Charfoos and Charfoos' cars. 12

And when I -- I do know that McCargo -- he gave the 13

thing to McCargo outside. McCargo stayed outside 14

reading it, didn't -- didn't show it to the other 15

16 attorneys.

17 0 Okav. You --

18 A (Interposing) And then when I walked out, there

was McCargo standing. And I -- and I said to 19

20 you -- or, testified that he looked ashen.

And McCargo told you, "I had no idea of this"? 21 Q

22 A That's exactly what he meant -- I mean, that's what

he said. I don't know whether he was saying I had 23

no idea you got these text messages, or he meant ${\tt I}$

had no idea my clients were lying about their 25

22

125 dollar attorney fee and forget everything else, we relationship. I didn't discuss that with him. 1 just said, "I had no idea." And then he -- he 2 were done talking. 2 But what you know is, prior to your discussion with 3 Q sheepishly, a few seconds later said, "Have you 3 McCargo in the parking lot, refusal to negotiate a filed this?" And that's when I said, "No." global settlement by the defendants, correct? All right. He then calls -- he told you that he 5 0 6 A 6 called the mayor and got him on his phone at the After your discussion with McCargo in the parking 7 Q airport, is that right? lot, and he contacts the mayor, willingness to He said --8 A negotiate now a global settlement, is that correct? 9 Q (Interposing) From where doesn't matter. 10 A He said to me -- I want to be as correct as I can. 10 A He said, "Can you stay here a while while I try to 11 Q All right. And the corporation counsel of the City 11 get ahold of the mayor" -- or -- or, "Will you stay of Detroit then in fact does come to participate, 12 12 here a while while I make a phone call?" And I is that correct? 13 14 A Yes. said, "Sure." And, you know, another fifteen 14 minutes went by. And the facilitator came back All right. 15 Q 15 into the room and said, "He got ahold of the mayor Now. I -- now. I don't mean to make this difficult, 16 A but I don't know whether McCargo got permission 17 at the airport, and the mayor has approved 17 negotiating for a global resolution. And the city 1.8 from the corporation counsel too. You made it 1.8 sound like the mayor said go ahead and negotiate a 19 attorney" -- this gentleman... 19 20 global settlement and this Mr. Johnson was coming 20 Q The corporation counsel John Johnson? down to assist in that. It may have been Mr. Yes. "Is coming down. And we can have -- we can 21 21 A Johnson who said okay, it's all right to do a resume negotiations when he gets here." 22 22 23 global. I don't know that. All I know is the 23 Q Okay. So after McCargo -facilitator came to me and said, good news. He got 24 24 MS. HA: (Interposing) Mr. Stewart, the mayor at the airport, and they're willing to 25 I'm just going to place the same objections that I 25

| | 126 |
|------|---|
| 1 | had stated on the record for Mr. Fink's same |
| 2 | questions that are being asked, but differently, to |
| 3 | Mr. Stefani. |
| 4 | MR. STEWART: So noted. |
| 5 Q | (Continuing by Mr. Stewart) So after McCargo calls |
| 6 | the mayor, you're informed there's going to be a |
| 7 | global settlement, and the corporation counsel of |
| 8 | the City of Detroit is coming down to these |
| 9 | negotiations? |
| 10 A | I'm informed I was informed they would be |
| 11 | willing to negotiate a complete resolution or |
| 12 | global settlement. Because that's what I had been |
| 13 | asking for. They didn't say we're going to settle. |
| 14 | They said we will discuss a complete |
| 15 Q | (Interposing) And they had rejected that prior in |
| 16 | the dep in the facilitation? They had rejected |
| 17 | a global settlement approach or negotiation? |
| 18 A | Yes, they did. |
| 19 Q | All right. |
| 20 A | And as I said, whether it was a tactic or not I |
| 21 | didn't get the impression it was a tactic. I got |
| 22 | the impression it was over with. |
| 23 Q | Okay. |
| 24 A | We weren't you know, if I didn't take the |

forty-five -- or, four hundred fifty thousand

| | 128 |
|------|---|
| 1 | negotiate a global resolution, and Johnson's coming |
| 2 | down, or the whatever it is, the corporation |
| 3 | counsel is coming down. |
| 4 Q | The parties then what was the amount, if you |
| 5 | recall then, approximately, of your verdict with |
| 6 | interest? |
| 7 A | Oh, it was it was 7.9 million. There was no |
| 8 | question about that. |
| 9 | MR. FINK: Is that 7.9? I'm sorry, |
| 10 | what did you say? |
| 11 A | 7.9. |
| 12 | MR. FINK: Okay. |
| 13 Q | (Continuing by Mr. Stewart) 7.9? |
| 14 A | And we didn't even negotiate that. |
| 15 Q | That included the interest and |
| 16 A | (Interposing) No attorney's fees, but interest. |
| 17 Q | That was the total dollar value of your |
| 18 | settlement I'm sorry, of your verdict at that |
| 19 | time? |
| 20 A | That's correct. |
| 21 Q | 7.9 million? |
| 22 A | Yeah. |
| 23 Q | Did that include four hundred thousand dollars for |
| 24 | the one of your clients? I forget which one. |
| 25 A | No. |
| 1 | |

131 129 1 A No. She signed the release. So the total value was, if I'm correct, and you 1 Q correct me if I'm wrong, 7.9 million, plus four 2 0 The release. Was she represented by anyone, to 2 your knowledge, in all of this? 3 hundred thousand for your other client? Oh, no, no. She wasn't at the facilitation. She Well, total value of what? You mean --4 A 4 A was at none of the meetings. Whether she consulted 5 (Interposing) Of what that verdict was worth at 5 Q with an attorney, I have no idea. 6 6 that time. 7 Q All right. Well, I didn't have a verdict for four hundred 7 A thousand for the third client. That hadn't gone to 8 A Although I did note that Morgan -- More -- what's 8 his name, Morganroth? 9 trial yet. 10 MR. FINK: Mike Morganroth. I see. All right. 10 Q So I -- that's all I had in my hand, was 7.9 11 MR. STEWART: Morganroth? 11 A 12 MR. FINK: Yeah. million. And nobody argued that. 1.2 13 A He volunteered to the newspaper, which kind of All right. 13 0 pissed me off, he said, "Well, this thing really 14 Nobody said I'll give you 7.6. 14 A 15 won't go up on appeal. They'll probably accept All right. That's what you had --15 Q three million dollars." Now I find out he's 16 16 A (Interposing) Yes. 17 representing Beatty. In other words, he tells the -- the 7.9 in hand at the time? 17 Q 18 world what he thinks I'll settle for, and then now 18 A Subject to an appeal. 19 he's representing Beatty. I understand. So coming back to the day of October 19 Q 20 Q (Continuing by Mr. Stewart) Okay. 17th then, after Mr. Johnson has arrived, you and 20 21 A But if he's a friend of yours -- I know he's a the other side negotiate what becomes -- I sort of 21 forget the exhibit here, the agreement of October 22 great lawyer, but it rubbed me the wrong way. 22 MR. FINK: No friend of mine. 17th, which is Exhibit 11, is that correct? 23 23 24 MR. STEWART: That's all off the Yes. Exhibit 11 is the typed version of the oral 24 A 25 25 agreement we arrived at during the facilitation. record.

25

| | 130 |
|------|--|
| | |
| 1 | Oral agreement, and then my yellow sketchy out |
| 2 | sketched-out portion. |
| 3 Q | All right. |
| 4 A | Yellow pad. |
| 5 Q | This is the agreement you worked out after Mr. |
| 6 | Johnson arrived at the facilitation? |
| 7 A | That that's correct, yeah. |
| 8 Q | Okay. |
| 9 A | I don't know if he stayed there the whole time |
| 10 | though. |
| 11 Q | But this agreement wasn't done |
| 12 A | (Interposing) Absolutely not. |
| 13 Q | prior to |
| 14 A | (Interposing) No. |
| 15 Q | you giving Mr. McCargo the envelope? You were |
| 16 | at loggers heads then, weren't you? |
| 17 A | Yes. |
| 18 Q | Okay. So this is the agreement that got worked out |
| 19 | after McCargo had the envelope? |
| 20 A | Yes. |
| 21 | MS. HA: Asked and answered. |
| 22 Q | (Continuing by Mr. Stewart) Okay, good. Now, you |
| 23 | indicated Christine Beatty signed one of these |
| 24 | agreements. We'll say the second agreement I'll |

| 1 A | 'Cause there was no way in hell we were going to |
|-----|--|
| 2 | settle for that. |
| 3 Q | (Continuing by Mr. Stewart) All right. |
| 4 A | Actually, the city ended up getting I am as |
| 5 | confident as I sit here, and I've been practicing |
| 6 | law for thirty-five years, if not thirty-six, we |
| 7 | would have ended up with a 12 million dollar at |
| 8 | the rate we're getting a thousand dollars, |
| 9 | pretty close to a thousand dollars a day interest. |
| 10 | And we would have got attorney's fees of a million |
| 11 | dollars. Because once the text messages became |
| 12 | part of the record, they would have gone into the |
| 13 | appeal, and we would have ended up with 12 million |
| 14 | dollars. So I personally think sometimes I |
| 15 | think I settled for too little in terms of |
| 16 | attorney's fees, 'cause we only took a hundred |
| 17 | thousand dollars. And that was really expenses. |
| 18 | We had a hundred thousand dollars in expenses. |
| 19 | But in any event, I thought they |
| 20 | negotiated a good deal, and and we were all in |
| 21 | agreement with it. |
| 22 | MR. STEWART: Okay. Thank you. I |
| 23 | don't have anymore questions. Thanks very much. |
| 24 | MS. HA: Okay. Can we take a |

132

25

call it, right?

five-minute break?

| | 133 | | 135 |
|-----|--|------|--|
| 1 A | Sure. | 1 | MS. McPHAIL: (Interposing) Do you |
| 2 | (WHEREUPON A BRIEF PAUSE WAS HAD IN | 2 | understand? |
| 3 | THE PROCEEDINGS.) | 3 | MR. FINK: missing. No, I don't. |
| 4 | MS. HA: Thank you. | 4 | I don't understand what I'm missing here, because |
| 5 | (WHEREUPON THE COURT REPORTER MARKED | 5 | we can agree that what the documents are that |
| 6 | DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 18.) | 6 | Mr. Stefani produced today. They're also |
| 7 | MS. HA: Just so that the record is | 7 | MS. McPHAIL: (Interposing) Um-hum |
| 8 | clear, I'm going to ask the court reporter to also | 8 | (affirmatively), yeah, but we hadn't yet, so |
| 9 | submit and retain a second copy of less than what | 9 | MR. FINK: What's that? |
| 10 | is the exhibit. It's the documents that were | 10 | MS. McPHAIL: We hadn't yet, so |
| 11 | produced and received by the attorneys of City of | 11 | that's why I was making sure. |
| 12 | Detroit, which has been initialed by Ms. McPhail | 12 | MR. FINK: Well, why don't you I |
| 13 | and numbered. We're going to ask that we're not | 13 | mean, we'll look at the official exhibits, and |
| 14 | going to give those records to Mr. Stefani, but | 14 | we'll all agree those |
| 15 | we're going to give those records to the court | 15 A | (Interposing) Well, she's saying that you may not |
| 16 | reporter to be delivered to the judge. I know that | 16 | have introduced all the documents that I gave you. |
| 17 | it may be repetitive, but we want to make clear | 17 | MR. FINK: Oh, I did. I labeled |
| 18 | that what was produced is initialed and signed off | 18 | every single documents that you gave me. |
| 19 | by the City of Detroit. And I don't see any | 19 | MS. HA: Except we were missing some |
| 20 | objection. | 20 | exhibit numbers. Remember we skipped a couple |
| 21 | MR. FINK: What well, I'm not sure | 21 | of |
| 22 | I understand. It has the court reporter's sticker | 22 | MR. FINK: (Interposing) No. The |
| 23 | on it, and those are the only official documents | 23 | only ones you were missing were my documents, and |
| 24 | that are going to the judge. | 24 | that's 6 and 7. 6 is the Free Press story. I |
| 25 | MS. HA: Right. | 25 | didn't introduce it, because we were beyond that. |

| | 134 | | |
|----|---|----|-------------------------------|
| 1 | MS. McPHAIL: Can I say can I tell | 1 | And 7 was the Judge's order |
| 2 | you why? | 2 | didn't bother introducing ei |
| 3 | MR. FINK: Yeah, okay, please. | 3 | already gone past it. |
| 4 | MS. McPHAIL: Okay. None of the | 4 | MS. MCPHAIL: |
| 5 | records that Mr. Stefani handed us when we walked | 5 | really about you or anything |
| 6 | into the room are Bates stamped. There are | 6 | Honestly, it's about what Mr |
| 7 | thirty-three pages that was in that, right? Okay. | 7 | when we walked into the room |
| 8 | MR. FINK: I don't know. I | 8 | used, and some of which you |
| 9 | haven't | 9 | MR. FINK: I u |
| 10 | MS. McPHAIL: (Interposing) Just | 10 | gave me, every one. |
| 11 | work with me. | 11 | MS. MCPHAIL: |
| 12 | MR. FINK: All right. | 12 | MR. FINK: And |
| 13 | MS. McPHAIL: There's thirty-three | 13 | through 16. |
| 14 | pages | 14 | MS. MCPHAIL: |
| 15 | MR. FINK: (Interposing) I'll assume | 15 | used, there's thirty-three pa |
| 16 | you're right. | 16 | 8 through 16. |
| 17 | MS. McPHAIL: right, in the file. | 17 | MR. FINK: I de |
| 18 | If next week someone wanted to say that there was a | 18 | them. |
| 19 | document in that file other than those thirty-three | 19 | MS. MCPHAIL: |
| 20 | pages, there's no evidence of what he handed us; | 20 | did, this so we would know. |
| 21 | except that they are numbered now, and initialed. | 21 | MR. FINK: How |
| 22 | So when we walk out of here, we have a record of | 22 | there are? |
| 23 | what was given to us today. That's the only | 23 | MS. HA: Wait. |
| 24 | reason. | 24 | including the one that he ju |
| 25 | MR. FINK: I don't know what I'm | 25 | MS. MCPHAIL: N |
| 1 | | 11 | |

for deposition, which I ither, because we'd No. But it isn't g you've produced. r. Stefani handed us m; some of which you didn't. used every one that he Okay. d they're numbered 8 Right. Whatever you pages between documents don't know. I'll count Okay. That's why I w many do you think . There's thirty-five ust produced.

No. Just what was in

```
139
                                                        137
        the file folder when you walked in.
                                                                 1
                                                                                      MR. FINK: No.
 1
                                                                        I mean, they're here, but they're not worth it.
 2
                      MS. HA: Oh, I see.
                                                                 2 A
                                                                 3
                                                                                      MR. FINK: No.
 3
                      MS. McPHAIL: The one you just
 4
        produced is not in here.
                                                                 4
                                                                                      MS. MCPHAIL: No.
                                                                 5 A
                      MS. HA: Okay.
                                                                        In my opinion.
                                                                 6
 6
                      MS. McPHAIL: You can ask questions
                                                                                      MS. McPHAIL: Okay. Go on.
                                                                 7
                                                                                      FXAMTNATTON
 7
        about that. It will be part of the record.
                      MS. HA: Okay.
                                                                 8 BY MS. HA
 8
                      MS. MCPHAIL: But the file folder he
                                                                 9 Q
                                                                        Okay. Mr. Stefani, did you notify the defendants'
 9
        handed us, had thirty-thee pages in it, that's all.
                                                                10
                                                                        attorneys of all of the subpoenas that were issued
10
11
                      MR. FINK: All right, yeah, okay.
                                                                11
                                                                        in Brown/Nelthrope versus City of Detroit and Mayor
        Mr. Zuckerman suggested, and I think it's a good
                                                                12
                                                                        Kwame Kilpatrick, and Harris versus City of Detroit
12
13
        suggestion, why don't we match them up after? Ask
                                                                13
                                                                        and Mayor Kwame Kilpatrick case -- cases?
                                                                        Yes. To the best of my knowledge we not only
14
        the questions. Let's finish that up, and we'll
                                                                14 A
        match up the exhibits, and we'll stipulate that
                                                                15
                                                                        notified them, but we served them with a copy.
15
16
        these are the exhibits. You don't have to take a
                                                                16
                                                                        Now, I didn't serve the copy on this one. The one
                                                                17
                                                                        that actually resulted in the records, I didn't
        lot of time --
17
                      MS. McPHAIL: (Interposing) I'm not
                                                                18
                                                                        give them till that night in my office. But I
18
                                                                19
                                                                        served each of them with a copy, and we've done a
        asking her to label them or anything, just keep
19
                                                                20
20
                                                                        Proof of Service on it.
                                                                21 Q
        And then if somebody got an exhibit that somebody
                                                                        Okav.
21 A
                                                                22
        else didn't get, I can assure you that it was --
                                                                                      MR. FINK: Let me just make an
22
                                                                        objection on the record, and you proceed, and Mr.
                                                                23
23
        it's an oversight.
                                                                24
                                                                        Stefani will answer as he wishes.
24
                      MS. MCPHAIL: Right.
                                                                25
                                                                                 But this is outside the scope of the
25 A
        Because I just said make three copies of
```

```
140
                                                                       judge's order which related to the Confidentiality
 1
        everything. My copy, which --
                                                                1
                                                                2
                                                                       Agreement and documents requested by the Free
 2
                     MS. McPHAIL: (Interposing) Yeah.
                                                                       Press. It's also outside -- it's irrelevant. It
                                                                3
 3
        And I wouldn't think you would have done it on
                                                                       has no relevance whatsoever to the Free Press'
        purpose. It's just, you know, oversights happen.
 4
                                                                       lawsuit, which is the issue here, which is over the
 5 A
        You know, to further complicate this so that none
                                                                5
        of us get home in time, I will tell you that I did
                                                                6
                                                                        confidential settlement documents.
 6
        not produce a whole bunch of e-mails that were
                                                                                      MS. HA: You know, what, Mr. --
 7
        exchanged between Ms. Osamuede and me and McCargo.
                                                                8
                                                                                     MR. FINK: (Interposing) So now
 8
        You know, I have a stack with some duplication of,
                                                                9
                                                                       you're getting into things that happened with the
                                                               10
                                                                       Brown and Nelthrope trial and subpoenas, and -- but
10
        you know, a half inch, three-quarters of an inch
        thick. I went through them all last night, and I
                                                               11
                                                                       whatever.
11
                                                                                      MS. HA: You know what, Mr. Fink, I
12
        didn't -- you know, you're welcome to those if you
                                                               12
                                                                       want to thank you for that, because I completely
13
        want, but I didn't produce them.
                                                               13
                                                                        forgot. And I believe I did mention this earlier,
                     MR. FINK: I have thirty-three.
                                                               14
14
                                                               15
                                                                       but I wanted to move and strike this entire
15
                     MS. McPHAIL: Right. That's right.
                                                                       deposition testimony. Because as Mr. Fink so
                     MR. FINK: I thought you said
                                                               16
16
                                                                       noted, this deposition testimony is absolutely
                                                               17
17
        thirty-two.
                                                                       irrelevant to the scope of the Michigan Freedom of
                                                               18
18
                     MS. McPHAIL: No. Thirty-three
                                                                       Information Act. The Michigan Freedom of
                                                               19
19
        pages.
                     MR. FINK: I have thirty-three pages.
                                                               20
                                                                       Information Act only requires production of records
20
                                                                       that is in the possession of the City of Detroit.
                                                               21
        And you can count them yourself, if you like.
21
                                                               22
                                                                       And Mr. Stefani would have no knowledge as to what
                     MS. McPHAIL: No. I believe you. I
22
                                                               23
                                                                        record the City of Detroit possessed.
23
        just want to make sure.
                                                               24
                                                                                     MR. FINK: So noted.
        So we're all in agreement I won't produce those
24 A
                                                               25
                                                                                     MS. HA: Thank you.
25
        e-mails?
```

| | 141 | | 143 |
|-----|---|------|---|
| 1 Q | (Continuing by Ms. Ha) Mr. Stefani, did you, or | 1 | being partly the result of me talking to the press |
| 2 | your staff or associate review or share the SkyTel | 2 | and saying that there was a Confidentiality |
| 3 | records with the Free Press or anyone else? | 3 | Agreement. And if you look at the second or, |
| 4 | MR. FINK: I'll object on the same | 4 | the the second Confidentiality Agreement, it |
| 5 | basis that I've just made an objection. | 5 | specifically says that if I am contacted by the |
| 6 | MS. HA: So noted. | 6 | press, I am allowed to answer that all the parties |
| 7 | MR. FINK: Outside of the let me | 7 | entered into a Confidentiality Agreement, and we |
| 8 | say it. It is outside of the scope of the judge's | 8 | settled this case by accepting less than we thought |
| 9 | order. It is outside it is irrelevant to the | 9 | we were entitled to. |
| 10 | cause of action of the Free Press, and it's also | 10 Q | (Continuing by Ms. Ha) Mr. Stefani, going back to |
| 11 | invasive of a privilege that the Free Press has | 11 | Exhibit Number 11, which I believe is the October |
| 12 | under the First Amendment and Michigan Law with | 12 | 17, 2007 |
| 13 | regard to sources. To allow a I assume you | 13 A | (Interposing) Yes. |
| 14 | believe potential source to either take himself out | 14 Q | record. |
| 15 | of consideration as a source, would tend to narrow | 15 A | Tentative. |
| 16 | the field of people who might be a source. And so | 16 Q | It's a tentative agreement. |
| 17 | on that basis, and all of those bases, I'm | 17 A | It says Settlement Agreement. |
| 18 | objecting. | 18 Q | Prior to October 17th, did you give or review or |
| 19 | And I am telling Mr. Stefani certainly if | 19 | share the SkyTel records with anyone else? |
| 20 | he wishes to agree with that objection, he has his | 20 | MR. FINK: Asked and answered. Same |
| 21 | right to do so, and we can argue that in front of | 21 | question. |
| 22 | the judge as well. | 22 | MS. McPHAIL: He didn't answer. |
| 23 | MS. HA: I think Mr. Stefani would | 23 | MR. FINK: Same objections. |
| 24 | like to clear his name. Mr. Stefani | 24 | MS. HA: So noted. |
| 25 | MR. FINK: (Interposing) I don't | 25 A | Yeah. I am going to refuse to answer that |
| L | | L | |

| | 142 |
|------|---|
| 1 | think Mr. Stefani's name is in issue. I think |
| 2 | there are a lot of other names that are in issue, |
| 3 | but not Mr. Stefani's. |
| 4 | But it's |
| 5 | MS. McPHAIL: (Interposing) |
| 6 | Whatever. Let's go on. |
| 7 | MR. FINK: it's his right to agree |
| 8 | or not to agree. |
| 9 Q | (Continuing by Ms. Ha) Mr. Stefani, once again, |
| 10 | did you, or your staff or associate, review or |
| 11 | share the SkyTel records with the Free Press or |
| 12 | anyone else? |
| 13 | MR. FINK: You noted my objection, |
| 14 | and all of the three grounds. |
| 15 A | You know, Mr. Fink makes a good point. And that |
| 16 | is, if I were to say absolutely not, I didn't share |
| 17 | any records, I would clear myself of suspicion, but |
| 18 | I would narrow the field of other possible sources |
| 19 | of information that the Free Press might have used. |
| 20 | So I'm going to answer that question to only as |
| 21 | follows: I I followed to the letter both the |
| 22 | October 17th agreement and the November 1st |
| 23 | agreement. |
| 24 | And in that regard, I would like to add |

that the judge made mention about this deposition

| ļ | _ | 4000 , 1000 |
|----------------------|------|---|
| | 2 | point, and that is if I did I know you're all |
| | 3 | shaking your heads saying ahh, we figured it out. |
| - | 4 | But the point is that by if I were to say no to |
| | 5 | that question, it would make it easier for the city |
| | 6 | to identify the source, because there'd be one less |
| | 7 | suspect. So I'm I will answer if the judge |
| | 8 | orders me to answer it. But in view of Mr. Fink's |
| | 9 | objection, I'm going to decline to answer it. |
| | 10 Q | (Continuing by Ms. Ha) Did you at any time provide |
| | 11 | a copy of the SkyTel record to counsel for the City |
| | 12 | of Detroit? |
| - | 13 | MR. FINK: Same objection. |
| - | 14 | MS. MCPHAIL: No. |
| | 15 | MR. FINK: Well, he's answered it. |
| | 16 | He answered it. |
| | 17 | MS. HA: Well, your objection is |
| | 18 | noted, Mr. Fink. |
| Annual Property lies | 19 A | Absolutely not. |
| | 20 Q | (Continuing by Ms. Ha) Do you have a copy of the |
| _ | 21 | SkyTel record now? |
| | 22 A | I just told you, I didn't breach this agreement. |
| The second second | 23 | And the agreement called me to turn over those |
| | 24 | records. I turned over the the agreement says I |
| | | |

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{am}}$ to turn over the printout, or whatever it says,

question, because I think Mr. Fink makes a good

145 copies made by me. I turned over the original and 1 any copies that I made. And they have everything. 2 I don't have anything. Somebody has it. When I 3 say they, I don't know. I presume Mitchell's got it or the mayor and Beatty have it. Did you ever receive the SkyTel records from anyone 6 Q else, other than pursuant to the subpoenas you issued or your firm issued? 8 9 A Do you have any idea how Free Press got the SkyTel 10 O record? 11 MR. FINK: Same objection that I've 12 made before. The objections being that it's 13 outside the scope of the judge's order, it's 14 irrelevant to the cause of action, and it's 15 invasive of the Detroit Free Press' privilege. 16 MS. HA: Noted. 17 18 A Yeah, I have an idea. (Continuing by Ms. Ha) Who do you think gave the 19 Q 20 SkyTel records to the Free Press? MR. FINK: Same objection. 21 (Continuing by Ms. Ha) What's your idea? 22 Q And I'm not going to answer, because as I told you, 23 A Mr. Fink raises a good point. I mean, he -- by 24

clearing myself, I could be implicating somebody

Well, we were trading e-mails back and forth, 1 A negotiating little changes to the language. When 2 it was finalized, Mr. McCargo had the mayor and 3 Christine Beatty sign it. And when we closed --4 oh, wait a minute. Let me see a minute. No. When we had the closing on December 5th, I received one 6 7 or two copies of this agreement with the mayor and Beatty's signature. And we executed probably 8 9 about -- I don't know, maybe five or six of them. And Mr. McCargo took everything except the two that 10 11 I took. Now, we have since made copies for this 12 deposition, but we haven't made any copies -- since December 5th and this deposition, we haven't made 14 15 any copies to those agreements of Exhibit 13. And the only purpose we made them today, is to give 16 17 them to the attorneys here at this deposition. 18 Q To your knowledge, other than yourself and your firm, who else has a copy of this agreement, to 19 20 your knowledge? 21 A Confidentiality Agreement? Absolutely nobody. I didn't even give this -- I don't believe I gave 22 23 this to Brown and Nelthrope. I told them that I executed something, but -- a Confidentiality 24 25 Agreement which was very similar to the language

146 else. And I -- I personally believe whoever did 1 furnish this information to the Free Press, did the 2 3 city a service, because it did disclose obvious mistruths. And this whole thing could have been 4 avoided if Christine Beatty had taken my phone call 5 before there was any lawsuit, just talked to me 6 7 about the mistake they made with Gary Brown. She said, "No. It's a one-day news story." And so, 8 9 therefore, I think whoever did it should get an atta-boy. But I'm not going to tell you who I 10 think it was. 11 As to the private agreement which is Exhibit Number 12 0 13, who signed the agreements? 13 You know, I signed on behalf of Brown, Nelthrope 14 A and Harris. Then I signed on behalf of the firm. 15 And Mr. Kilpatrick -- or, Mayor Kilpatrick and 16 Christine Beatty signed the agreements. It's their 17 handwriting. I mean, I presume it's their 18 handwriting. I took the representation of Mr. 19 McCargo that it was their handwriting, and I 20 21 believe it to be both Beatty and Kil -- or, Mayor 22 Kilpatrick's signatures. 23 Q How many copies of the agreements were made by you or your firm, still talking about Exhibit Number 24 25 13?

148 in -- in the October 17th agreement, but I don't 1 2 even think I gave them these, this document. Because we took the obligation to keep this 3 confidential seriously, very seriously. Because, you know, nobody wanted to pay back any money to 5 the city. I mean, that money is owed -- that was 6 7 owed to my clients based on a jury verdict and the court rules. And that is -- you know, we didn't 8 9 want to see them go through years of problems and then have to end up regurgitating or rebating if 10 11 you will some of the money to the city. To your knowledge, was any city official, employee 12 Q or attorney given a copy of Exhibit 13? 13 City attorney, employee --14 A (Interposing) Or city official. 15 Q 16 A No. no. 17 Q Okav 18 A The only copies are the two that I took, and the four or five that McCargo took. And he made it 19 20 clear that they were not to get this. I mean, at one time while we were signing this, I passed it 21 22 toward Ms. Osamuede. And he says, no, no, that's between -- that stays with McCargo. 23 24 Q Okay. Mr. Stefani, you had indicated earlier that

you have some idea as to who gave the SkyTel

25

151 149 records to the Free Press. Just for the record, 1 anybody. 1 2 MS. MCPHAIL: You absolutely did. 2 you do understand that unless I'm grossly 3 MR. FINK: I have a right and an misunderstanding, you are not a representative of 3 obligation to raise my client's privilege, and Mr. 4 the Detroit Free Press, is that correct? 4 5 Stefani --5 A Heck no. 6 MS. McPHAIL: (Interposing) I know. 6 Q You're not being paid by the -- you're not in any 7 ways legal counsel to Detroit Free Press, or you And if your client were the deponent, that would be don't have a contract with Detroit Free Press for 8 perfect, but he's not. Mr. Stefani doesn't have a any services? 9 right to protect your privilege. 10 MR. FINK: Well, I'm glad you --No. The Detroit Free Press hires lawyers from 10 A MS. McPHAIL: (Interposing) It's 11 places like Clark Kline, and Bodman Longley, and 11 further evidence of a conspiracy between the Free Dykema, and Mr. Herschel's firm. They don't hire 12 12 13 Stefani and Stefani. 13 Press, Mr. Stefani, and some other folks that we 14 all know about. 14 Q Okay. So you are not an agent or a representative of the Detroit Free Press, correct? 15 MR. FINK: Well, we know that there's 15 a conspiracy that was revealed here today. 16 A Absolutely not in any way. 16 Then I'd like to know why you're asserting the 17 MS. MCPHAIL: Right. And it involves 17 0 privilege on behalf of the Free Press. 18 you. 18 19 MR. FINK: And it doesn't involve me. MR. FINK: He's not. I am. 19 20 MS. MCPHAIL: Yes, it's does. 20 MS. McPHAIL: She's not asking you, 21 MR. FINK: It involves the folks on 21 Mr. Fink. your side of the table --22 MR. FINK: I don't care. I'm a --22 MS. McPHAIL: (Interposing) It all right. Objection to the form of the question. 23 23 MS. MCPHAIL: All right. 24 24 involves you. MR. FINK: -- and others, including 25 MR. FINK: I am the one who is 25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9 Q

10 A

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

17 Q

19 A

asserting that particular portion of the privilege. 1 2 I was also pointing out, for the record, that this is beyond the scope of Judge Colombo's 3 order of this deposition, which dealt with the 4 subject matter of the Free Press' FOIA request. 5 It is also outside of the scope of the lawsuit. It is not relevant in any way, shape or form to the Free Press' lawsuit relating to the 8 9 city's withholding of confidential settlement documents which, of course, we got today in this 10 11 deposition. So it's not relevant, it's outside the 12 scope of the order, and I added it also is invasive 13 of the Free Press' privilege. We have the right to 14 assert that. There is a lot of -- you're shaking 15 your head, Ms. McPhail. I've done it. I just did 16 it in a case involving --17 MS. McPHAIL: (Interposing) I know. 18 You're the authority on everything. But Mr. 19 20 Stefani doesn't have the right to assert a privilege for you, and you coached him not to 21 answer the question. That's what you did a few 22 minutes ago. So just for the record --23 MR. FINK: (Interposing) Well, I'm 24 shocked that you would accuse me of coaching 25

the mayor. MS. McPHAIL: I'm surprised that Mr. Stefani would participate in this. Frankly I didn't think he was at all involved with you, but clearly he is. MR. FINK: So you say, Ms. McPhail. MS. McPHAIL: We'll see. Go ahead. (Continuing by Ms. Ha) Mr. Stefani --(Interposing) I can answer your question. I told you that if I were to deny being a source -- or, I mean -- I'm sorry. If I were to tell you who I suspected gave these documents, it would narrow the field down. And I firmly believe that whoever did this, did a service. Well, they certainly did a service to --(Interposing) That wasn't the question I asked. MR. FINK: Let him finish answering. You just asked me why I didn't answer it though. And, I mean, he objected. I don't have to follow his instructions. I could answer if I wanted to. But I sincerely believe I will want to protect whoever did this, because I think they did a service. And I think like shame on you for worrying about that stuff. You ought to be worried

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

24

25

21 Q

23 A

153

about the wrongdoing that the mayor -- you know, 1 2 maybe the city didn't do any wrongdoing, but you certainly defended him vigorously, and he was lying 3 since day one in his deposition. 4 (Continuing by Ms. Ha) To your knowledge, has 5 0 there ever been an order of any -- order of any 6 court determining the status of the SkyTel records? 7 MR. FINK: If you understand it. I 8 9 don't. (Continuing by Ms. Ha) For example, was there any 10 Q 11 court determination pertaining to the -- either the privileged contents or the protection of the actual 12 text messages? Has any court ruled on that issue? 13 MR. FINK: Object to the form of the 14 question. I don't even understand what the 15 16 question is. MS. HA: Well, you don't have to 17 understand it. Mr. Stefani has to understand the 18 19 question. MR. FINK: Yeah, but I have to 20 protect the record and make an objection. 21 The judge, when I filed my -- when I served SkyTel 22 A

a subpoena the first time, and the city came in and

subpoena, and the city objected again, the judge

objected, and the city -- I filed a second

155 blanks are filled in, you know, Gary Brown and Harold Nelthrope versus Kwame Kilpatrick and the City of Detroit. It's directed to SkyTel, keeper of the records. And it's dated October 5th, 2007, ten a.m. And -- but it's not signed. It looks like it was prepared by Frank Rivers, my associate or partner. And I don't know whether -- I don't know whether this is the subpoena that was sent to SkyTel. or -- and it could have been. Without a signature, I doubt if they would have accepted it. Let's see. What does it say here? It's got a date of 1-30-2008, a fax from Lewis and Munday. So I don't know what it is. It could be a draft of a -- or, it's possible that my assistant Roquia was on her toes and sent out the subpoena with the -- and then I told Frank to send it out, and he started doing it and found out that it was the second -- that there had already been one sent out. So that's the best I can answer the question. I really don't know what this is. Sorry.

Did your firm, or you or Mr. Rivers, issue a

No. Wait a second. I misspoke to you. This

subpoenaed wasn't issued October -- if I said the

issue date was Friday, October 5th, I'm mistaken.

subpoena to SkyTel on October 5th, 2007?

154 ruled that they were proper discovery. And prior 1 2 to that time, in the prior suit with the Free Press, I'm not -- I'm not sure you're aware of it, 3 but, you know, in the same Brown and Nelthrope 5 suit, the judge ruled that discovery information was not going to be suppressed, and the public had 6 7 a right to know 'cause it's a whistle-blower. So if you apply that same reasoning to these SkyTel 8 records, I would interpret it that the same logic 9 applies. 10 However, no specific order has ever 11 been -- that specifically addresses the SkyTel 12 records has been issued. 13 14 Q (Continuing by Ms. Ha) Okay. I hope that answers your question. 15 A It does. I'm going to show you what I'm going to 16 Q mark as Exhibit 19. And I apologize, but I only 17 have one copy, so I'm going to show the attorneys 18 19 first, and then... (WHEREUPON THE COURT REPORTER MARKED 20 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 19.) 21 22 A Now, what is your question, ma'am? 23 Q (Continuing by Ms. Ha) The question is, can you identify what that is, Exhibit Number 19? 24

Well, obviously it's a form of a subpoena. And the

That's the production date. The issue day is 1 September 28th, '07. So that the day it was 2 supposed to be signed by Rivers and sent out, would 3 have been September 28th, '07. And they -- and 4 SkyTel was ordered to return the documents on Friday, October 5th, 2007, at ten a.m. 6 And your question was did we ever send it? 7 8 Q Yes. 9 A I'm sorry. Would you repeat the question? Did you send that -- did you send that subpoena, a 10 0 11 copy of which you see, to SkyTel, Exhibit Number 12 I don't know. I don't know. This -- this could be 13 A 14 an unsigned copy. In other words, we may have made -- we may have made photocopies of the 15 subpoena for purposes of serving the other side and 16 for our records, prior to Frank signing it. And 17 then when he signed it, the photocopies that were 18 made didn't show his signature, because he only 19 signed the one that went to SkyTel. That would be 20 21 my quess. But I really don't know, and I'm not 22 sure. You had indicated earlier that SkyTel was to 23.0 forward the text messages to Judge Callahan only. 24 25 Can you indicate where on that subpoena that

156

25 A

23

24

159 157 Exhibit Number 18, and --1 1 indicates that? MR. FINK: There already is an 18 if It doesn't. The -- I told you that Judge Callahan 2 2 A 3 I am not mistaken. wrote in 2004, after the city filed their second 3 MS. HA: I didn't enter it. motion to suppress, my second subpoena, the judge 4 5 A Well, she -said, "Well, I'll tell you what, have the records MS. HA: (Interposing) I did a sent to me." And this subpoena, which was issued 6 6 7 on 9-28-07, after the trial, tells them to send it Herschel Fink. MR. FINK: No. I thought there was 8 to Stefani and Stefani. 8 9 another one. Okay. 9 0 Now, you had indicated that the trial was over on 10 MS. HA: Okay. September 11th. A jury rendered a verdict on 10 September 11th. Why did you subpoena the SkyTel 11 MR. FINK: I thought I had one. I 11 12 stand corrected. 12 text records? MR. FINK: Asked by me and answered 13 Q (Continuing by Ms. Ha) Okay. Exhibit Number 18 is 13 the letter that you produced dated October 4, 2007. 14 by Mr. Stefani. He can answer it yet again. 14 Well, I subpoenaed the records during the trial. 15 Now, can you tell me why the shade is darker on 15 A 16 October 4, 2007? 16 And we were told that we couldn't get those records 17 A Oh, that's -- that's my yellow highlighting. because of some corporate reorganization or 17 something. And then through investigation, I 18 Q Okav. 18 See, my copy of it is highlighted in yellow, 19 A learned that the records were available, so I sent 19 20 because -- I've got a copy here somewhere, and -out another subpoena, because there was still going 20 well, it doesn't show yellow either. But the 21 to be post trial motions. I mean, I firmly 21 original one had yellow. And the original one 22 believe -- I mean, no question in my mind that the 22 actually had the overnight expressed envelope mayor lied through his teeth, and so did Beatty. 23 23 24 attached to it. And those were turned over to Mr. 24 And I knew there were going to be post trial 25 Mitchell. So if you don't believe me, that I 25 motions, because you probably saw the mayor's

158 speech on TV where he blamed the make-up of the 1 jury, and he was blown away by the results. So I 2 3 expected them to file motions for new trial, motions for directed -- or, verdict JNOV. And I wanted to obtain the records, especially after I 5 had found out that the jury was deprived of these records really through the chicanery of somebody 7 from the city who told SkyTel not to honor the 8 subpoena until the judge ruled, and then never 9 brought the motion up for hearing. So I felt 10 like -- and, you know, I felt like I had been 11 tricked. I felt like it was dishonest. And I 12 wanted to see what was in those records for post 13 14 trial motions. (Continuing by Ms. Ha) When did you first receive 15 O and see the SkyTel records? 16 17 MR. FINK: Asked and answered. He even produced the cover letter. Do you remember 18 19 that. Ms. Ha? MS. HA: It hasn't been entered. 20 21 MR. FINK: No. But you have them. 22 A Yeah, that's --23 MR. FINK: (Interposing) And he testified to it. 24 (Continuing by Ms. Ha) Okay. I'm going to enter 25.0

CN08117

highlighted it in yellow, it certainly wasn't 1 changed. It wasn't whited out, if that's what you 2 3 think. Now, it says October 4th. I'm not sure 4 whether we got it on the 5th, or the 6th, but it 5 was a day or two after it, because obviously we 7 couldn't get it the same day he over-nighted it to 8 9 Q And for the record, would you identify what Exhibit 10 11 A Yeah. 18 is a letter to me, dated October 4th, 2007, from a Stephen M. Oshinsky, Director, Systems 12 Engineering, SkyTel; Subject: Case Number 13 14 03-317557-NZ. And do you want me to read it, or is that 15 16 good enough? 17 Q That's good enough. 18 A Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Stefani. 19 o 20 Mr. Stephen M. Oshinsky, was he the gentleman that you were referring to who used to 21 22 work for the --23 A (Interposing) No. Okay. Would it be fair to state, Mr. Stefani, that 24 Q

you had the SkyTel text messages before the October

(248) 737-6691

160

20

21

22

23

24

25

161 records, when we were here on the 17th. And I have 17, 2007 facilitation? 1 1 2 this safe back here that used to be in my father's 2 A Yes. 3 office. And it's kind of old and rickety, but I why did it take you so long -- well, assuming you 3 0 opened it up and I said, "They are right here." had the SkyTel text messages on October 5th, and 4 5 And I showed them the Fed-Ex envelope. the facilitation was on the 17th, that's twelve 6 And when I turned over the e-mails -- or, days, almost two weeks. Why did it take you so 6 7 I turned over the -- when we turned the escrow -long to share that part of -- or, the SkyTel text 7 I'm sorry. When I turned the CDs and all the other messages with -- I'm sorry, strike that. 8 8 There had been -- from October -- assuming 9 9 10 that you had the SkyTel text messages on October 10 5th, 2007, you clearly knew that there was... 11 11 12 MR. FINK: Perjury? 12 (Continuing by Ms. Ha) You indicated that you 13 Q 13 Q 14 A served the subpoena on September 28th on the city, 14 is that correct, for the SkyTel records? 15 15 What? What did you say? 16 16 A 17 I'm sorry. You indicated that you served the 17 Q subpoena on the 28th for the SkyTel records, is 18 18

19 that correct? 28th of? 20 A 21 Q September. No, I didn't say that. I said I gave them a copy 22 A of the subpoena at that night we sat in here on the 23 17th and hacked out the proposed or tentative

Settlement Agreement. I served them each with a

documents I told you I turned over to the escrow agent, I don't think I gave them an envelope. I -in other words, I believe I left this out. When I say this, I'm talking about the envelope and --(Interposing) The second page of Exhibit 18? And the first page of Exhibit 18. I believe I left that out. And McCargo said, "You know that document, that envelope you showed us with the thing? We probably ought to get that, too." And this was after I turned everything over to them. And I said, "Oh, yeah. I think it's still in my safe." And I either gave it to Mitchell or -- I think I sent it to Mitchell. But, yeah, I'm almost -- I'm pretty sure I gave it to Mitchell. Now, the envelope I might have just thrown away. But I know the -- wanting these two pages of Exhibit 17 or 18 -- 18, I know I sent to Mitchell,

162 copy of the subpoena. 1 When you sent it to SkyTel, Exhibit Number 18, did 2 0 you serve the subpoena to the City of Detroit or its attorneys at the same time? The first subpoena that we sent to -- now, the 5 A first subpoena in 2007, September, 2007, during the 6 trial, you know, I believe --8 0 (Interposing) After the trial. 9 A No. The first subpoena went to SkyTel during the trial. And that's when we were told the records 10 weren't available. I believe I -- my staff sent 11 them a copy of that, but I'm not certain. 12 The second subpoena, the one that you're 13 showing me today, which is dated September 28th, 14 '07. I didn't serve the city with a copy until that 15 October 17th facilitation. 16 Okay. Do you have all of the envelopes from SkyTel 17 Q in which the records were contained? Well, there was only one envelope. It was an 19 A overnight. It was a -- like what do you call it? 20 21 Like you know what I'm talking about. 22 MR. ZUCKERMAN: Fed-Ex? 23 A Fed-Ex. That's what it was, Fed-Ex. And I believe that went to Mitchell, because I know I showed it 24

to the attorneys. They said where are these

because McCargo said, "Hey, you know that envelope 1 you showed us in your safe that night? We probably 2 3 ought to get that too." 4 0 On September 11, 2007, the day when a jury verdict 5 came in for the Brown case, were you present? 6 A Oh, yeah. 7 Q Did you ask the judge about the SkyTel records on 8 September 11th? Did I ask him about the SkyTel records? 9 A 10 Q 11 A No. I thought -- you know, my recollection is that he told us to re-subpoena those records a couple of 12 13 days before the trial was over. (WHEREUPON RICHARD ZUCKERMAN, ESQ., 14 LEFT THE DEPOSITION ROOM.) 15 So I don't remember discussing it on the last day, 16 A 17 but it's possible. You know, there was a lot going 18 You mean in open court, of course? That's 19 20 the only place I talked to him about it. (Continuing by Ms. Ha) What happened to the 21 Q records of -- that Judge Callahan had? You didn't 22 ask him about those records, the SkyTel records? 23 MR. FINK: It's been asked and 24

164

25

25

25

answered.

1 A No. MR. FINK: He's testified about this 2

3 already.

8

21 Q

23 A

22

24

25

5

No. What I said, and I understand it's confusing, 4 A while Christine Beatty was on the witness stand, I thought the judge had the records. I didn't know 6 anything about this someone from the city calling 7 SkyTel and saying don't send the records. I

thought the judge had the records.

9 When I got done cross-examining Beatty, I 10 asked her specific questions: Did you send to the 11 mayor or receive from the mayor a message 12 13 discussing Gary Brown, termination? No. Did you -- so, you know, I asked a whole series of 14 questions thinking the judge had it. When she 15 got -- when she was done, I then asked the judge 16 where are those records. He told me he thought I 17 had them. I said, "Well, why would I have them? 18 They were to be sent to you?" And we were both 19 kind of befuddled. 20 (Continuing by Ms. Ha) Do you remember the judge

to adjourn that date because he was going to be out 1 of town, and the judge said no, I want you in here. 2

And Mitchell was in the back of the courtroom, but

he didn't participate in the settlement. I mean,

he didn't put anything on the record.

How do you know what Mr. Mitchell looks like, if

you've only heard of him? 7

Well, do you want to know the honest truth? 8 A

Sure. Don't we want the honest truth? 9 Q

Until I saw the picture in the paper, I didn't know 10 A

what -- I mean, I met -- years ago Mitch -- when I 11

moved out of an office in Troy, Mitchell moved into 12

it. And Brown knew him. And I asked Brown, "Who's 13 Mitchell?" And he said, "Mitchell's that guy that 14

rented your office in Troy." And I still couldn't 15

picture him. But when I saw the picture in the 16

Free Press either yesterday or today, I recognized 17

him. But I didn't recognize him in the courtroom 18

that day. Brown did though. He said, "Did you see 19

Mitchell sitting in the back?" And I said, "No." 20

Okay. Was there a point when the judge asked Mr. 21 Q

Brown and Mr. Nelthrope to come into the -- to come 22

23 into his chambers --

24 A (Interposing) He asked --

25 Q (Interposing) -- that day?

166

had the records. And the judge said, "I don't have 1

saying that he couldn't find the records?

Yes, you're absolutely correct. I don't believe

rather vercif -- rather adamantly that the judge

that was the last day. But Mr. McCargo argued

- them, or if I did, I lost them, re-subpoena them." 2
- And it was in the process of re-subpoenaing them I 3
- found out SkyTel never sent them. The judge 4
 - couldn't have had the records, because they told me
- we've never sent them, because we got a call from 6
- 7
- Do you recall the day when you put the settlement 8 Q
- on the record with Judge Callahan?
- 10 A
- would it be fair to say that was December 11, 2007? 11 0
- You know, I don't remember. 12 A
- 13 Q
- But if you -- if you represent that, I'll take your 14 A
- word for that. 15
- Thank you. Who was present at the settlement that 16 Q
- was before -- who was present at that -- that day 17
- when you presented the settlement to Judge
- callahan? 19
- Gary Brown, Harold Nelthrope, me, I believe -- I 20 A
- know Ms. Osamuede, and I'm -- and I know McCargo 21
- was present, and I believe, but I'm not certain, 22
- that Mr. Copeland was present. 23
- 24 Q
- But he may not have been, because I think he wanted 25 A

I think he asked Brown, Nelthrope and me to come 1 A

into the chambers. 2

3 Q Okay. What -- what was said in chambers?

He really asked both men what they were going to do 4 A

in the future, what their plans were, and wished 5

them -- that they would get on with their lives or 6

something like that, right. You know, put this

behind you, get on with your life. 8

9 Q Anything else?

Oh, he asked -- that's right. Most of the rest of 10 A

the conversation, Judge Callahan has a -- quite an 11

12 interesting family of adopted children. He has,

you know, like two or three African American 13

children, and I think I believe an oriental child. 14

And he was telling us where they go to school and 15 16

what they do. And that was it.

MS. HA: Okay. For the record --

MR. FINK: (Interposing) Asian.

MS. HA: Asian. Thank you, Mr. Fink. 19

MR. FINK: That's fine.

What's the difference? I mean, wait a minute. 21 A

MS. HA: Oriental is supposed to be a

23 derogatory term.

24 A Oh, I'm sorry.

17

18

20

22

MS. HA: Yeah, that's okay. 25

1 A No. I'm sorry. I wouldn't do that in front of2 Judge Callahan then. I'm glad you warned me.

3 Jesus, oriental is derogatory?

- 4 Q (Continuing by Ms. Ha) Did your clients ever
- 5 discuss with you what was said in chambers?
- 6 A I was with them.
- 7 Q Oh, I'm sorry.
- 8 A I sat right with them.
- 9 Q I forgot.

15

Do you also recall that Judge Callahan had asked Mr. Elrick from the Free Press to wait and to come back after the judge speaks to you and Mr.

- 13 Brown and Mr. Nelthrope?
- 14 A You know, I don't recall the judge asking Elrick.
 - But I think Elrick tried to get in to see the
- 16 judge, and he -- and he told Elrick or Schaefer to
- 17 wait until we were done. I don't know whether he
- 18 initiated that contact or they initiated it. I
- just remember him saying something like, "well,
- 20 just have a seat. I'm going to be with these guys
- 21 for a few minutes."
- 22 Q Okay. Were you in chambers when the judge talked
- 23 to Mr. Elrick?
- 24 A Oh, no.
- 25 Q Do you have any reason to know what is in the City

- 1 A (Interposing) It's not a draft as you said before.
- 2 It is a contract, signed and sealed and delivered.
- 3 Q No, no. I'm talking about --
- 4 A (Interposing) But it has --
- 5 Q (Interposing) -- Exhibit 11.
- 6 A Oh, I'm sorry.
- 7 MR. FINK: Wait a second.
- 8 A I'm sorry. No, this is a signed, sealed, and
- 9 delivered contract. However, it has a condition
- 10 precedent in it, which means that the obligations
- of the parties -- well, you know what a condition
- 12 precedent is. It had to be approved by the city
- 13 council. And if they didn't approve it, and the
- 14 mayor didn't approve it, then it ceased to exist.
- And I think we even have a phrase in here that says
- 16 if it's not approved -- "As a condition precedent
- 17 to this Agreement becoming operative", becoming
- 18 operative, "the monetary terms of this settlement
- 19 must be approved by Gary Brown, and Harold
- 20 Nelthrope, and Walter Harris, Mayor Kwame
- 21 Kilpatrick, and the City of Detroit. Brown and
- 22 Nelthrope shall have 24 hours to approve it." And
- 23 they did.
- 24 Q They approved this?
- 25 A Absolutely. Well, the terms of it, yes. I sent

170

- of Detroit's files?
- 2 A On what, ma'am?
- 3 O On the Brown/Nelthrope, the cases that you
- 4 represented, the cases that you represented, the
- 5 Brown/Nelthrope and Harris case.
- 6 A I've never seen the files, but I presume that when
- 7 I've sent e-mails to Ms. Osamuede, she probably
- 8 either kept an electronic copy of it, and when the
- 9 documents -- when the final -- when we had the
- 10 closing on December 5th, she took a stack of
- 11 documents too. But I -- I think I already made
- 12 that clear, that she did not, in my presence, have
- 13 this so-called Confidentiality Agreement. Because
- 14 that's the one I was going to pass to her, and
- McCargo said, "No, that doesn't go for her
- 16 signature. That stays with me." And he took it
- 17 back.
- 18 So other than thinking that those
- documents that she took out of that conference are in that file, I'd have no other way of knowing it.
- 21 I surely didn't see the file.
- 22 Q Okay. Now, going back to the October 17, 2007
- 23 record, which is Exhibit Number 11?
- 24 A Yes
- 25 Q Would you agree with me that this is a --

1 this to them.

2

And then, "Brown and Nelthrope shall have

3 24 hours to approve. Walter Harris shall have 10

4 days to approve this Agreement in writing, and the

City shall have 10 days to approve it in writing.

6 The City -- the City shall have 45 days from the

7 date hereof to obtain the approval of the City

8 Council, and notify Stefani and Stefani of such

9 approval in writing. In addition, the City and the

10 Mayor shall have 21 days after approval of the City

11 Council to deliver the settlement check..." "In

12 the event of a failure to pay" -- I'm sorry. "In

the event of a failure of any party to meet the

time deadlines set forth in this Paragraph 8, this

15 Agreement shall be null and void."

16 So it's really semantics. This was a

17 contract. But by its own terms, it didn't become

operative or require performance until this
condition precedent was met. It was never met, and

20 then it became null and void.

21 Q Thank you. You testified earlier, going back to --

22 taking you back to the facilitation day, you had

23 indicated that it dawned on somebody that the city

24 wouldn't want to produce the tentative agreement.25 A The tentative agreement, the one I just referred

```
173
                                                                    entitled to a million dollars of attorney's fees.
       to, paragraph -- I mean, the one I just read to
                                                             1
 1
                                                                               Lines 2 through 7
        you. It's entitled Settlement Agreement. It has a
 2
                                                                               stricken
                                                                                                from
3
        confidentiality provision in it. But the title is
                                                                                                          Robert
        Settlement Agreement. And we've been referring to
                                                                      ecord
                                                                                                Hon.
                                                                                        instruction
        it as tentative agreement, although from a legal
                                                                    Colombo's
 5
        standpoint I just explained to you that it is a
 6
                                                                    7
        binding contract subject to a condition precedent,
                                                                    "Just show it to McCargo." He's the -- he's the
       which never -- so it never became -- the parties to
 8
                                                                    one who, even though he's co-council with Ms.
        it were not required to perform their obligations
 9
                                                                    Osamuede, he's -- he took the lead. He was the
        under that agreement, because it was not -- the
                                                            10
10
                                                                    lead lawyer.
                                                            11
        condition precedent never arose.
11
                                                                                  (WHEREUPON A BRIEF PAUSE WAS HAD IN
                     MR. FINK: For clarity, that's
                                                            12
12
                                                                                  THE PROCEEDINGS, AND ELLEN HA, ESQ.,
                                                            13
       Exhibit 11.
13
                                                                                  AND VALERIE COLBERT-OSAMUEDE, ESQ.,
                                                            14
14
                    MS. HA: All right.
                                                            15
                                                                                  LEFT THE DEPOSITION ROOM.)
        (Continuing by Ms. Ha) Who did you talk to about
15 Q
                                                            16
                                                                                  MS. MCPHAIL: Let me just say for the
       the agreement? How do you know that it dawned on
16
                                                                    purposes of expediting the rest of this, I just
                                                            17
17
        somebody at the --
                                                            18
                                                                    have a few questions, and everyone's agreed that {f I}
        (Interposing) Oh, oh, oh, oh, oh.
18 A
                                                            19
                                                                    can ask them so we can all go home.
19 Q
       Isn't that your assumption that it dawned on
                                                                                  MR. FINK: Agreed.
                                                            20
20
                                                                                  MS. McPHAIL: Because our attorney
                                                            21
21 A
       Yes.
                                                                    had to leave the room, she's not feeling well.
                                                            22
22 Q
       Okay.
                                                            23
                                                                                  EXAMINATION
                    MR. FINK: Is there more to your
23
                                                            24 BY MS. MCPHAIL:
24
       answer than ves?
                                                            25 Q
                                                                    Mr. Stefani, you participated in the drafting of
25
                    MS. McPHAIL: Excuse me, but you're
```

| | 174 | | 176 |
|------|---|------|--|
| 1 | not asking the questions. She's asking the | 1 | the Confidentiality Agreement, didn't you? |
| 2 | questions right now. | 2 | MR. FINK: Exhibit 11? |
| 3 | MR. FINK: Well, it seemed like he | 3 | MS. McPHAIL: Yeah. |
| 4 | didn't finish his answer. | 4 | MR. FINK: Okay. |
| 5 | MS. McPHAIL: No, no. It's | 5 A | Yes. |
| 6 | inappropriate. | 6 Q | (Continuing by Ms. McPhail) Okay. And |
| 7 | Go on, ask your questions. | 7 A | (Interposing) You mean a Settlement Agreement, |
| 8 Q | (Continuing by Ms. Ha) Why did you ask the | 8 | Exhibit |
| 9 | facilitator to show the text message or, the | 9 Q | Oh, no. I'm sorry. |
| 10 | motion which you indicated had the explicit details | 10 | MR. FINK: No, no, no. |
| 11 | of the text message to Mr. McCargo? | 11 | MS. McPHAIL: That's the wrong |
| 12 | MR. FINK: This was also testified | 12 | exhibit. I'm talking about the Confidentiality |
| 13 | to. | 13 | Agreement that we're all |
| 14 | MS. McPHAIL: Okay, but | 14 | MR. FINK: (Interposing) The last |
| 15 | MS. HA: (Interposing) He didn't say | 15 | one? |
| 16 | why he asked the facilitator to facilitator to | 16 | MR. STEWART: Yes. Refer to the |
| 17 | just show to it Mr. McCargo. And I believe | 17 | exhibit number, please? |
| 18 | MR. FINK: (Interposing) Yes, he | 18 | MS. McPHAIL: What's the exhibit |
| 19 | did. | 19 | number? |
| 20 | MS. HA: I believe he also said he | 20 | MR. FINK: Exhibit 11. |
| 21 | didn't want the facilitator to look at it, but he | 21 | MS. McPHAIL: There it is. That |
| 22 | wanted it to deliver it to Mr. McCargo. | 22 | exhibit. |
| 23 Q | (Continuing by Ms. Ha) What was your intention, | 23 A | No, no. This is supplement to the escrow. |
| 24 | Mr. Stefani? | 24 | MR. STEWART: What number is it, |
| 25 A | well, I think these records showed that we were | 25 | please? What number are you referring to? |

| 1 | | | |
|------|---|------|--------------|
| | 177 | | |
| 1 | MR. FINK: 11. | 1 Q | Okay. Do yo |
| 2 A | I think they're all right here. Let's just find | 2 | how the Free |
| 3 | them so we can | 3 | |
| 4 | MS. McPHAIL: (Interposing) Yeah. | 4 | |
| 5 | Because I didn't get copies that were labeled. | 5 | asked that. |
| 6 | MR. FINK: No. You know what, I'm | 6 | |
| 7 | sorry. I'm screwing this up. It's actually 13. | 7 | |
| 8 | MS. McPHAIL: This (indicating). | 8 | asked that. |
| 9 | MR. FINK: 13. That's 13. It's 13. | 9 Q | (Continuing |
| 10 | MS. McPHAIL: Okay. This is 13. | 10 | that after t |
| 11 | Number 13. All right. | 11 | subpoena the |
| 12 Q | (Continuing by Ms. McPhail) Number 13, you | 12 | that? |
| 13 | participated in that? | 13 A | No. It was |
| 14 A | Absolutely. | 14 Q | Before the j |
| 15 Q | And it involves your clients' records? You didn't | 15 A | Oh, absolute |
| 16 | want they didn't want their records produced | 16 Q | Okay. But n |
| 17 | either, correct? | 17 | you subpoena |
| 18 A | That's correct. | 18 A | (Interposing |
| 19 Q | Okay. So could you describe just briefly, not a | 19 Q | When you sub |
| 20 | long one, your clients' concerns regarding the | 20 A | (Interposing |
| 21 | release of their own records? | 21 Q | that wasn |
| 22 A | Well, they contained personal matters, and they | 22 A | No, no, no. |
| 23 | didn't want that out in public. | 23 Q | Okay. I'm a |
| 24 Q | Okay. So, you know, that was their reason for the | 24 | Stefani, it' |
| 25 | agreement. | 25 | You said tha |
| l . | | 11 | |

| | 179 |
|------|--|
| 1 Q | Okay. Do you know or have any information as to |
| 2 | how the Free Press got SkyTel records? |
| 3 | MR. FINK: Wait a minute. |
| 4 | MS. McPHAIL: Oh, I'm sorry. She |
| 5 | asked that. |
| 6 | MR. FINK: Yeah, we did that. |
| 7 | MS. McPHAIL: Yeah. I'm sorry. She |
| 8 | asked that. |
| 9 Q | (Continuing by Ms. McPhail) Okay. You testified |
| 10 | that after the jury verdict, the judge told you to |
| 11 | subpoena the SkyTel records, suggested that you do |
| 12 | that? |
| 13 A | No. It was before the jury verdict. |
| 14 Q | Before the jury verdict? |
| 15 A | Oh, absolutely. |
| 16 Q | Okay. But not after? After the jury verdict, when |
| 17 | you subpoenaed them |
| 18 A | (Interposing) No, I don't believe so. |
| 19 Q | When you subpoenaed them the second time |
| 20 A | (Interposing) No. |
| 21 Q | that wasn't the judge telling you to do that? |
| 22 A | No, no, no. |
| 23 Q | Okay. I'm almost done. Okay. And one thing, Mr. |
| 24 | Stefani, it's just a little confusion on my part. |
| 25 | You said that the judge ruled in your favor as to |

| | 178 |
|------|---|
| 1 A | Yeah. |
| 2 Q | Okay. |
| 3 A | Yeah. |
| 4 Q | And you said you believe the mayor gave Christine |
| 5 | Beatty twelve thousand dollars. Why do you believe |
| 6 | that? |
| 7 A | Because my confidential source told me that Beatty |
| 8 | told him Beatty told the mortgage broker or, |
| 9 | the banker for Fifth/Third Bank, that she would get |
| 10 | the twelve thousand dollars from the mayor. And |
| 11 | the guy said to her, "But it's got to be a gift. |
| 12 | You can't borrow a down payment." And she said, |
| 13 | "It will be a gift." And he said, "Well, no one |
| 14 | will believe it's a gift from the mayor. They'll |
| 15 | think it's a loan." |
| 16 Q | Okay. I remember all of that. No offense. Stop. |
| 17 A | I'm sorry. I'm just explaining it. |
| 18 Q | I don't need you to explain it again. |
| 19 A | Yeah. |
| 20 Q | But what I'm asking you here is, who was your |
| 21 | source? Who told you? |
| 22 A | Oh, my God, I can't I wouldn't you tell that if |
| 23 | I knew. |
| 24 Q | Okay. So you're declining to answer that question? |

| 1 | the release of the SkyTel records. And we don't |
|------|--|
| 2 | have anything but these two orders, which grant in |
| 3 | part the Mayor Kilpatrick's motions to quash the |
| 4 | subpoenas for the SkyTel records. Do you have an |
| 5 | order in which the judge, Judge Callahan that is, |
| 6 | ruled in your favor in terms of release of the |
| 7 | SkyTel records? |
| 8 A | Yes. I don't know what you have there. That isn't |
| 9 | something I produced, is it? |
| 10 Q | Well, these are this is I don't know whether |
| 11 | you produced it or not, frankly. |
| 12 | (WHEREUPON VALERIE COLBERT-OSAMUEDE, |
| 13 | ESQ., RE-ENTERED THE DEPOSITION |
| 14 | ROOM.) |
| 15 Q | (Continuing by Ms. McPhail) These are the two |
| 16 | orders that the court ordered granting Mayor |
| 17 | Kilpatrick's emergency motions, you know, to quash |
| 18 | the |
| 19 A | (Interposing) Yeah. But if you read those, those |
| 20 | are drafted by the city. And the and the title |
| 21 | of them is very misleading. If you read the order, |
| 22 | it says, "We grant the emergency motion in part, |
| 23 | but we order the SkyTel records" |
| 24 Q | (Interposing) Produced to the court. |
| 25 A | Well, the second motion did that. I don't believe |

180

25 A

Absolutely.

| | 181 | | 183 |
|------|--|------|---|
| 1 | the first motion did that. | 1 | me show them to you. |
| 2 Q | But they never ordered them produced to you? That | 2 | MR. STEWART: Mark them as exhibits, |
| 3 | is, the judge never ordered SkyTel to produce the | 3 | please? |
| 4 | records to you? | 4 | MS. McPHAIL: Yeah, okay. Let's mark |
| 5 A | Well I would say the first time, the subpoena that | 5 | the first "Order Granting, In Part, Defendant Mayor |
| 6 | I sent out the first time, instructed SkyTel to | 6 | Kwame Kilpatrick's Emergency Motion To Quash |
| 7 | produce the records for me. And the judge refused | 7 | Subpoena For SkyTel Messaging Pager And For A |
| 8 | to quash that subpoena. And so while he didn't | 8 | Protective Order." That's this one. I know it's a |
| 9 | specifically order the first time that they go to | 9 | lot of records. You probably may not remember. |
| 10 | me, it was clear that by quash by refusing to | 10 | But I'm trying to refresh your recollection on |
| 11 | quash the subpoena, that the subpoena ordered the | 11 | this. |
| 12 | records to go to me, as any discovery would | 12 A | Excuse me. Yeah. I want to make sure we're even |
| 13 | normally do. | 13 | talking about the same case here, because, |
| 14 Q | And when did that happen? Was that before are | 14 | "Granting In Part Defendant" |
| 15 | you talking about the first subpoena you issued? | 15 | (WHEREUPON THE COURT REPORTER MARKED |
| 16 A | Yes. | 16 | DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBERS 20 AND 21, |
| 17 Q | The one before not the September 28th subpoena? | 17 | RESPECTIVELY.) |
| 18 A | No. | 18 A | Yes. This this order's dated August 26th. And |
| 19 Q | The one before that? | 19 | it's it's the judge doesn't quash the subpoena, |
| 20 A | There were actually like I believe there were | 20 | but he directs that SkyTel be told to send the |
| 21 | three. | 21 | documents to him. |
| 22 Q | Oh. I thought you said two. | 22 Q | (Continuing by Ms. McPhail) Where in that |
| 23 A | In September or October of 2004, I sent out a | 23 | document, which is Exhibit Number 20, does it say |
| 24 | subpoena. The city came in and did an emergency | 24 | that SkyTel should send them to you? |
| 25 | motion to quash. The judge refused to grant their | 25 A | It doesn't. I in Exhibit 20? No. It says, "IT |
| | | | |

| | 182 | | 184 |
|------|--|------|---|
| 1 | motion. | 1 | IS ORDERED that Defendant's Motion be granted, in |
| 2 | (WHEREUPON ELLEN HA, ESQ., | 2 | part, records" I'm sorry, "the records sought by |
| 3 | RE-ENTERED THE DEPOSITION ROOM.) | 3 | Plaintiffs' subpoena dated August 18th, 2004 and |
| 4 A | I sent out another subpoena. | 4 | directed to MCI Subpoena Compliance, care of SkyTel |
| 5 Q | (Continuing by Ms. McPhail) Did you get the | 5 | Messaging Pager, Attention Bill Marsden", and then |
| 6 | records then? | 6 | an address, Northwest Washington, DC, "in lieu of |
| 7 A | No, no, | 7 | the subpoena direction in in lieu of the |
| 8 Q | In 2004? | 8 | subpoena direction, shall be filed with this Court |
| 9 A | No, no, I didn't. | 9 | for an in-camera inspection pursuant to the |
| 10 Q | Okay. All right. | 10 | governmental deliberative process privilege; and IT |
| 11 A | And then I sent out another one, and the city came | 11 | IS ORDERED that the contents of the records filed |
| 12 | in with another one. And that's the I believe | 12 | with this Court in-camera, pursuant to this Order |
| 13 | that's the order that you're referring to in front | 13 | shall be released only upon the further order of |
| 14 | of you, is the order that was issued by the judge | 14 | this Court, at the time of trial upon a |
| 15 | or signed by the judge as a result of that second | 15 | determination by this Court that the release of |
| 16 | subpoena. And then | 16 | specific information contained therein is |
| 17 Q | (Interposing) So basically you're interpreting. | 17 | appropriate for release." |
| 18 | The city drafted these. So what you're saying is | 18 Q | Thank you. Okay. So it doesn't say that the |
| 19 | that the orders relative to the production of the | 19 | records should be produced to you? |
| 20 | SkyTel records, you view as favorable to you, | 20 A | No. |
| 21 | although they're captioned emergency granting in | 21 Q | In fact, by the very nature of the order, which is |
| 22 | part defendants | 22 | that the records shall be produced in-camera, they |
| 23 A | (Interposing) Well, I think you're I think | 23 | are not to be produced to you pursuant to that |
| 24 | there's only one order that | 24 | Exhibit 20, right? |
| 25 Q | (Interposing) There were two. Here they are. Let | 25 A | Yes. |
| 1 | | 1 1 | |

183

184

CN08117

| , | 185 | | 187 |
|------|---|----|--|
| 1 Q | Okay. So let me ask you to take a look at Exhibit | 1 | here, Mr. Stefani, is that because you didn't |
| 2 | 21, which is an "Amended Order Granting, In Part, | 2 | notify the city of your September 27th subpoena |
| 3 | Defendant Mayor Kwame Kilpatrick's Emergency Motion | 3 | until October 17th, the city was deprived of the |
| 4 | To Quash Subpoena For SkyTel Messaging Pager And | 4 | ability to come in and seek I'm sorry. |
| 5 | For A Protective Order." If you'd take a look at | 5 | MS. McPHAIL: When I'm done, you want |
| 6 | this, please? | 6 | to object? |
| 7 A | Thank you. | 7 | MR. FINK: No. I'm just telling |
| 8 | Okay. This is dated September 27th. | 8 | Mr |
| 9 | MR. FINK: Do you want to see these, | 9 | MS. McPHAIL: Okay. |
| 10 | or are we do we want to see these? | 10 | MR. FINK: I'm just waving and |
| 11 | MR. STEWART: (Shaking head | 11 | encouraging Mr. Stefani not to answer |
| 12 | negatively). | 12 | MS. McPHAIL: (Interposing) Not to |
| 13 | MR. FINK: No. Okay. | 13 | answer? |
| 14 | MS. McPHAIL: I mean, technically I | 14 | MR. FINK: until I put my |
| 15 | guess I should hand them to you, but, you know | 15 | objection to the question. |
| 16 | MR. STEWART: That's all right. | 16 | MS. McPHAIL: But you don't represent |
| 17 A | This order seems to be verbatim with the order | 17 | Mr. Stefani, do you? |
| 18 | dated September 26th. It looks like it's | 18 | MR. FINK: I have a right to put on |
| 19 | identical. | 19 | an objection. |
| 20 Q | (Continuing by Ms. McPhail) Okay. So there's | 20 | MS. McPHAIL: I didn't say that. I'm |
| 21 | nothing in that order, that is Exhibit 21, that | 21 | just trying to make sure. And I'm going to wait |
| 22 | suggests that the records should be sent to you? | 22 | for your objection, as soon as I can finish my |
| 23 A | No. | 23 | question. |
| 24 Q | Is there any other order, of which you are aware of | 24 | Where were we? Would you read it back? |
| 25 | the court, that either removes the records from | 25 | (WHEREUPON THE COURT REPORTER |
| | | | |

| | | 186 |
|----|---|---|
| 1 | | their in-camera seal, or allows the records to be |
| 2 | | produced to you? |
| 3 | Α | The other than the verbal order the judge issued |
| 4 | | from the bench on two occasions I believe during |
| 5 | | the trial. He said re-subpoena the records. |
| 6 | Q | Okay. |
| 7 | Α | And he says, maybe we'll get them in time. And he |
| 8 | | didn't he didn't say have them sent to you. He |
| 9 | | didn't have them he didn't say have them sent to |
| 10 | | me. |
| 11 | | And if you ask me why I had them sent to |
| 12 | | me, is because I wanted to avoid the shenanigans |
| 13 | | that the city had pulled to keep to keep them |
| 14 | | confidential. If I had sent them to the judge |
| 15 | | again, the judge has got a lot of cases pending. |
| 16 | | And I would have no way of knowing whether SkyTel |
| 17 | | sent them to him. And a simple phone call saying, |
| 18 | | hey, that matter's over with, the trial's over |
| 19 | | with, don't bother, would have ended it. |
| 20 | | Do you want some Pepsi? |
| 21 | | MS. HA: Oh, no, thank you. |
| 22 | Α | I don't know whether your stomach's upset, but |
| 23 | | this |
| 24 | | MS. HA: Thanks. |
| 25 | Q | (Continuing by Ms. McPhail) Yeah. The concern |

| | | | |
|------|--|--|--|
| 1 | READ BACK AS FOLLOWS: "Q Yeah. The | | |
| 2 | concern here, Mr. Stefani, is that | | |
| 3 | because you didn't notify the city of | | |
| 4 | your September 27th subpoena until | | |
| 5 | October 17th, the city was deprived | | |
| 6 | of the ability to come in and seek | | |
| 7 | I'm sorry.") | | |
| 8 Q | (Continuing by Ms. McPhail) And to seek a | | |
| 9 | protective order. And the court rules I'm | | |
| 10 | almost done here. | | |
| 11 | MR. FINK: Okay. | | |
| 12 Q | (Continuing by Ms. McPhail) The court rules do | | |
| 13 | require that you serve the other parties at the | | |
| 14 | same time that you serve the deponent with the | | |
| 15 | subpoena, correct? | | |
| 16 | MR. FINK: Well, let me make my | | |
| 17 | objection to the predicate of your question. | | |
| 18 | MS. McPHAIL: Okay. | | |
| 19 | MR. FINK: So-called question. | | |
| 20 | MS. McPHAIL: Well, now there you go. | | |
| 21 | You were doing fine until you got to that. | | |
| 22 | MR. FINK: It's not really All | | |
| 23 | right. My objection is to the form of your | | |
| 24 | question. It isn't really a question. It's also | | |
| 25 | argumentive, and it doesn't ask a simple question. | | |
| | | | |

| | 189 | | 191 |
|------|---|------|---|
| 1 | It is a statement on your part. And it's better | 1 | we'll get an evidence expert to testify. |
| 2 | addressed to the court than to a witness. | 2 | MS. McPHAIL: Well, you asked for a |
| 3 | MS. McPHAIL: Thank you so much. | 3 | bunch of opinions. |
| 4 | MR. FINK: You're welcome. | 4 | MR. FINK: Not a legal conclusion. |
| 5 Q | (Continuing by Ms. McPhail) Mr. Stefani, as I | 5 | MS. McPHAIL: Yeah, you sure did. |
| 6 | said | 6 | MR. FINK: You think? |
| 7 A | (Interposing) He's right. You did say the | 7 | MS. McPHAIL: Um-hum (affirmatively). |
| 8 | concerns, as opposed to asking a question. | 8 A | I don't know what what the rules I mean, |
| 9 Q | Right. | 9 | seventeen days after you serve a subpoena is sort |
| 10 A | You were making | 10 | of like a search warrant. I know you're very |
| 11 Q | (Interposing) Right, absolutely. | 11 | familiar with search warrant law. Probable cause |
| 12 A | I mean, I don't know what I don't know. | 12 | can be stale twenty-four hours after it's served, |
| 13 Q | But I did ask a question at the end. | 13 | after the warrant is issued, and sometimes it can |
| 14 A | Oh, I'm sorry. | 14 | be fresh probable cause two years later. It |
| 15 Q | I did ask a question at the end. | 15 | depends on the circumstances. I don't know what |
| 16 A | I'm sorry. I didn't catch it. | 16 Q | (Continuing by Ms. McPhail) What does that have to |
| 17 Q | I was prefacing the question | 17 | do with this? |
| 18 A | (Interposing) I didn't catch it. | 18 A | Well, what is reasonable for some search warrants |
| 19 Q | with some information that Mr. Fink didn't want | 19 | is not reasonable for others. And what's |
| 20 | me to say. But I said | 20 | reasonable for some subpoenas, may not be |
| 21 A | (Interposing) Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead. | 21 | reasonable for others. I don't know. I don't know |
| 22 Q | And then I asked the question. | 22 | the answer to the question. |
| 23 | MR. FINK: I want you to say | 23 Q | Okay. Thanks. That's all I have. |
| 24 | whatever's on your mind. | 24 | And I want to make sure you we're going |
| 25 | MS. McPHAIL: No. Only to the extent | 25 | to give you back everything you gave us, documents, |
| | | | |

| | 190 | | 192 |
|------|---|---------|---|
| 1 | it's relevant. | 1 | labeled some exhibits and some the other set |
| 2 | MR. FINK: Well, obviously. | 2 | of documents that you copied for me, Mr. Stefani, |
| 3 Q | (Continuing by Ms. McPhail) Mr. Stefani? | 3 | before. Remember I asked you to make a copy of the |
| 4 A | Yes, ma'am. | 4 | ones that I had numbers on? |
| 5 Q | So you're aware, aren't you, that the court rules | 5 A | Yeah. |
| 6 | require you to send a subpoena to all parties at | 6 Q | And you did that, and we're giving them all back to |
| 7 | the time that you send it to the deponent, the | 7 | you? |
| 8 | intended deponent? | 8 A | Oh, thank you. |
| 9 A | You know, I'd have to look at the rules. You may | 9 Q | So we don't have anything, right? |
| 10 | very well be right, but I I don't know whether | 10 A | I was told last night by somebody that I'm your |
| 11 | the rules well, I got it right here. You know, | 11 | attorney. |
| 12 | I have the rules here if you want to tell me. I | 12 | MS. McPHAIL: My attorney? |
| 13 | don't require I don't know whether it has to be | 13 A | That's what I was told. |
| 14 | sent simultaneously or not. I don't know the | 14 | MS. McPHAIL: Am I getting money? |
| 15 | answer to that. I know they have to be served a | 15 A | No. |
| 16 | copy. | 16 | MS. McPHAIL: Oh, well, then. |
| 17 Q | Okay. And do you believe that serving a copy | 17 | MR. FINK: I have one question that I |
| 18 | nineteen days after you serve it on the deponent is | 18 | have waited patiently to ask. |
| 19 | acceptable under the rules? | 19 A | Okay. |
| 20 | MR. FINK: Objection. It calls for | 20 | RE-EXAMINATION |
| 21 | you to give a legal conclusion. I don't know | 21 BY N | ar. fink: |
| 22 | MS. McPHAIL: (Interposing) Like | 22 Q | Ms. Ha asked you, Mr. Stefani, with regard to |
| 23 | your other questions about on your opinion. | 23 | Exhibit 13, the "Confidentiality Agreement" of |
| 24 | MR. FINK: I don't know if you're | 24 | November 1, 2007. She asked you did were any |
| 25 | qualified as an expert. Maybe we'll get a maybe | 25 | city officials given a copy of Exhibit 13. Were |

| | 193 | | 195 |
|---------|---|-----|--|
| 1 | two city officials obviously given a copy of | 1 | substantive. |
| 2 | Exhibit 13? | 2 | It's just that I want everybody to know |
| 3 | MS. McPHAIL: What are you pointing | 3 | that I've got the e-mails, and you've all agreed |
| 4 | him to, Mr. Fink? | 4 | that I didn't have to produce those, because |
| 5 A | Christine Beatty and Kwame Kilpatrick's signatures. | 5 | they're just voluminous, and not |
| 6 | MR. FINK: Thank you. That's all. | 6 | MS. McPHAIL: (Interposing) Oh, |
| 7 | MS. McPHAIL: Does that mean they got | 7 | okay. |
| 8 | a copy? | 8 A | Secondly, I wanted to say that under the |
| 9 | MR. FINK: They signed it. | 9 | Confidentiality Agreement, I was well, I already |
| 10 | MS. McPHAIL: Does that mean they got | 10 | said that. I was instructed to say to reveal |
| 11 | a copy? | 11 | the fact that there was a Confidentiality |
| 12 | MR. FINK: Hell yes. | 12 | Agreement. I didn't breach any trust by doing |
| 13 | RE-EXAMINATION | 13 | that. |
| 14 BY I | MS. MCPHAIL: | 14 | And the third thing we've already |
| 15 Q | Are you saying that, Mr. Stefani, that you saw them | 15 | discussed, I would like to get the transcript, |
| 16 | get a copy? | 16 | according to the new rules, when it's released, to |
| 17 A | I'm not saying that. | 17 | make sure you didn't make your second mistake in |
| 18 | MR. FINK: No. I'm still asking the | 18 | thirty years, okay? |
| 19 | questions. | 19 | MS. McPHAIL: Thank you so much. |
| 20 | MS. McPHAIL: Okay. | 20 | MR. FINK: Well, we're done. |
| 21 A | I do don't forget, though, I have a very short | 21 | * * * |
| 22 | statement that I want to put on the record. | 22 | (WHEREUPON THE PROCEEDINGS IN |
| 23 | MR. FINK: Go ahead. I'm done. | 23 | THIS MATTER WERE CONCLUDED AT |
| 24 A | So don't walk out of here without letting me do | 24 | 6:52 P.M.) |
| 25 | that. | 25 | |
| L | | | |

```
194
                                                                   1 STATE OF MICHIGAN
 1
                      MR. FINK: I am done.
                                                                   2 COUNTY OF ST. CLAIR )
        And the statement is --
2 A
                                                                                    CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC
 3
                      MR. FINK:
                                  (Interposing) Unless Mr.
                                                                              I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that
 4
        Stewart has...
                                                                   5 the witness, whose attached deposition was taken
                      MR. STEWART: I have nothing further.
                                                                   6 before me in the above-entitled matter, was by me
 6
        Thank you, Mr. Stefani.
                                                                   7 first duly sworn to testify to the truth; that the
7 A
        All right. Thank you.
                                                                   8 testimony contained herein was by me reduced to
                      MS. MCPHAIL: Mr. Stefani, with all
 8
                                                                   9 writing in the presence of the witness by means of
        due respect, I mean, I'm not sure that this is the
9
                                                                  10 Stenography; afterwards transcribed on computer;
10
        place for you to make a statement.
                                                                  11 and that the deposition is a true and complete
                      MR. FINK: I have no objection to it.
11
                                                                  12 transcript of the testimony given by the witness.
12
        Go ahead.
                                                                               I further certify that I am not connected
                      MR. STEWART: I have no objection.
                                                                  13
13
                                                                  14 by blood or marriage with any of the parties, their
14
                      MS. MCPHAIL: Okay. But I do.
                                                                  15 attorneys or agents; that I am not an employee of
                      MR. FINK: Okay. Well, objection
15
                                                                  16 either of them; and that I am not interested,
16
        noted.
                      MS. McPHAIL: I mean, we don't even
                                                                  17 directly or indirectly, in the matter of
17
                                                                  18 controversy.
        know what you're going to say.
18
                                                                               IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
                      MR. STEWART: Well, objection noted.
                                                                  19
19
                                                                  20 my hand and affixed my notarial seal at Riley, in
        You go ahead and make your statement.
20
                                                                  21 the County of St. Clair, State of Michigan, this
21
                      MS. McPHAIL: I mean, we don't want
                                                                  22 30th day of January, 2008.
22
        you to start talking --
                                                                                            andac
        Well, it has nothing to do -- no, it's not
                                                                  23
23 A
                                                                                                         R-2238
Clair County,
res: 5-23-2011
                                                                                      Candace C. Noblett R-Notary Public, St. Cla
My Commission Expires:
                                                                  24
        substantive, believe me. And even if you object,
24
                                                                  25
        I'm going to put it on the record. But it's not
25
```

| | • | | | | | |
|-----|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|
| Γ | | 143:18, 148:1, | 313 2:3, 2:12, | a.m 4:3, 155:5, | agent 30:11, 31:4, | 86:15, 93:5, |
| | 0 | 161:5, 161:24, 162:16, 163:1, | 2:17 350 2:7 | 156:6 ability 21:25, | 47:21, 149:14, 163:10 | 111:1, 127:19, 152:8, 189:21, |
| | 02 117:14, 117:17 | 187:3, 188:5 | 3:45 27:5, 66:7 | 22:9. 88:11. | agents 43:20, | 193:23, 194:12, |
| ŀ | 03 117:14, 117:17 | 18 3:14, 133:6, | | 187:4, 188:6 | 196:15 | 194:20 |
| | 03-317557-NZ | 159:1, 159:2, | 4 | able 7:17, 10:6, 16:3, 16:4, 18:25, | agree 24:15, 40:3, | ahh 144:3 ahold 125:12, |
| | 160:14 07 29:10, 156:2, | 159:13, 160:10, 160:11, 162:2, | 4 3:3, 3:13, | 19:2, 19:3, 21:5, | 62:7, 96:13, 135:5, 135:14, | 125:16 |
| ļ | 156 4 162 15 | 163:13, 163:14, 163:25, 163:25 | 28:13, 28:19, | 21:11, 22:2, 22:8, | 141:20, 142:7, | airport 84:10, |
| - | 08-100214-CZ 1:8 | 163:25, 163:25 | 28:23, 28:24, 29:2, 29:4, 31:8, | 26:25, 29:4, 87:1, 114:24 | 142:8, 170:25 agreed 39:13, | 125:7, 125:17, 127:25 |
| - 1 | | 183 3:17 18th 184:3 | 29:2, 29:4, 31:8, | above-entitled | 89:1, 175:18, | al 105:20, 105:21 |
| | 1 | 19 3:15, 63:22, | 31:22, 32:19, 46:19, 92:20, | 196:6 | 175:20, 195:3 | allegedly 8:8 |
| - 1 | 1 3:13, 4:6, | 63:23, 63:25, | 159:14, 159:16 | absence 57:11 | agreement 7:18, 13:25, 28:14, | allocate 85:21 allocated 87:8 |
| | 1 3:13, 4:6, 29:10, 30:19, | 75:11, 154:17, 154:21, 154:24, | 45 172:6 465-7400 2:3 | absolutely 5:18, 32:4, 74:6, 83:13, | 29:2, 29:5, 29:9, | allow 23:8, 57:10, |
| - 1 | 54:8, 75:18, | 156:12 | 48104 2:7 | 90:7. 95:9. 105:2. | 30:16, 30:22, | 107:4, 141:13 |
| - | 75:19, 76:22, 90:16, 103:10, | 193 3:7, 3:8 19th 12:16, 13:17, | 48226 2:2, 2:11, | 130:12, 140:17, | 31:12, 31:18, | allowed 24:1, 25:13, 25:24, |
| | 106:6, 106:8, | 61:20, 75:17 | 2:17 4th 86:11, 86:12, | 142:16, 144:19, 147:21, 149:16, | 32:1, 32:5, 32:7, 32:8, 32:12, | 143:6 |
| - 1 | 106:9, 192:24 1-30-2008 155:12 | 1:00 1:17 | 109:24, 110:4, | 151:2, 165:23, 171:25, 177:14, | 32:12, 32:20, 33:1, 33:3, 33:7, | allows 19:10, |
| - 1 | 10 58:12, 60:7, | 1:50 4:3 | 160:4, 160:11 | 171:25, 177:14, 178:25, 179:15, | 33:1, 33:3, 33:7, 33:13, 33:16, | 186:1 alluded 56:15 |
| | 60:9, 63:23, | 1st 48:24, 54:23, 58:25, 87:6, | | 189:11 | 33:20, 38:23, | alone 124:2 |
| | 75:22, 75:25, 172:3, 172:5 | 142:22 | 5 | abuse 45:13 | 45:18, 46:19, | already 23:25, |
| Ì | 109 3:4 | | 5 28:13, 28:21, | accept 42:1, 42:16, 80:9, | 47:2, 47:4, 47:7, 47:13, 48:3, 48:4, | 40:8, 67:1, 68:8, 70:21, 70:24, |
| | 10th 110:7 | 2 | 28:23, 28:23, | 99:25, 120:24, | 49:13, 49:21, | 71:11, 74:1, /4:4, |
| | 11 31:19, 31:21, | 2 14:8, 27:21, | 30:14, 30:25, 31:4, 31:8, 31:19, | 131:15 | 51:23, 52:2, 52:7, | 88:21, 93:15, |
| | 33:13, 58:15, 58:16, 59:3, | 87:5, 92:20, 175:2 | 32:18, 32:19, | acceptable 190:19 acceptance 58:11. | 53:24, 54:20, 55:13, 55:17, | 136:3, 155:18, 159:2, 165:3 |
| | 60:12, 61:5, | 2.306 7:1, 16:8 | 46:19, 56:3, | 58:23, 76:4, 83:16 | 55:20, 55:25, | 159:2, 165:3, 170:11, 195:9, |
| | 61:22, 63:15, | 2.409 70:9 2.410 70:9 | 95:13, 106:7 5-23-2011 196:24 | accepted 155:10 | 56:3, 56:7, 56:16, 57:3, 57:5, 57:13, | 195:14 |
| | 63:25, 75:9, 76:14, 79:16, | 2.41 1 34:20 | 512 1:19 | accepting 143:8 accompanied 107:2 | 57:3, 57:5, 57:13, | although 11:22, 73:21, 75:20, |
| | 87:24, 88:15, | 2.411(B)(5 36:19 20 3:17, 183:16, | 5th 54:25, 58:8, | accomplish 54:22 | 57:23, 57:23, 57:24, 58:14, | 120:4, 131:8, |
| | 88:18, 88:24, 90:4, 129:23, | 20 3:17, 183:16, 183:23, 183:25, | 65:8, 65:9, 79:1, 86:14, 86:20, | according 195:16 | 58:16, 58:17, | 173:5, 182:21 |
| | 129:24, 143:11, | 184:24 | 102:8, 102:9, | account 12:8, 13:9, 91:5, 94:16, | 58:20, 58:22, 58:24, 59:4, 61:3, | amenable 39:10 amended 32:15, |
| | 164:4, 166:11, | 2002 61:13, 106:7, | 103:13, 103:22, | 109:17, 116:24, | 61:6, 61:22, | 185:2 |
| | 1/0:23, 1/1:5, | 106:8, 106:8 2 003 61:14, 106:9, | 109:10, 109:24, | 117:1 | 62:12, 62:15, | amendment 70:8, |
| | 173:13, 176:2, 176:20, 177:1 | 106:9 | 110:4, 117:21, 147:6, 147:14, | accounts 87:20 accrued 10:23, | 62:17, 62:17, 63:16, 64:18, | 141:12 American 168:13 |
| | 1101 18:21 | 2004 111:12, 113:15, 116:12, | 155:4, 155:22, 155:25, 156:6, | 11:1 | 65:3, 70:18, | among 77:15, 85:21 |
| | 1126 2:16 11th 110:10, | 157:3, 181:23, | 155:25, 156:6, 160:5, 161:4, | accuse 150:25 | 72:23. 75:10. | amount 11:1 |
| | 111:2, 118:2, | 182:8, 184:3 | 161:11, 170:10 | Act 12:17, 12:18, 13:16, 43:19, | 76:15, 76:21, 77:14, 77:15. | amount 11:1, 11:15, 11:18, |
| - | 157:10, 157:11, | 2005 106:6, 106:6 2007 12:16, 13:18, | | 48:6, 60:21, | 77:14, 77:15, 77:25, 78:9, | 42:16, 87:19, |
| 1 | 164:8 12 76:10, 88:20, | 14:9. 27:21. | 6 | 61:19, 62:13, | 78:17. 79:17. | 128:4 |
| 1 | 89:20, 89:21, | 28:11, 35:13, | 6 53:7, 135:24, | 62:22, 63:21, 75:11, 90:11, | 80:3, 80:18, 81:2, 85:20, 86:18, | amounted 117:17 amounts 96:12 |
| | 89:20, 89:21, 90:7, 90:7, 90:8, | 43:3, 47:3, 51:24, 52:5, 57:19, | 135:24 | 140:19, 140:20 | 87:25, 88:6, | ANN 2:7 |
| | 90:9, 132:7, 132:13 | 58:16.58:25. | 6.4 10:23 6.5 10:23 | action 6:15, 26:3, | 88:16, 88:17, | annotate 20:24 |
| | 12th 56:1, 110:6, | 59:5, 70:2, 75:17, | 628-4243 2:17 | 141:10, 145:15 actions 35:23, | 88:19, 90:2, 90:10, 90:15, | anonymous 107:19, 107:23, 108:2 |
| | 110:7 | 76:22, 86:11, 86:12, 87:6, | 660 2:11 | 36:23, 36:24, | 92:14, 92:17, | answered 71:2, |
| | 13 14:9, 27:21, 76:19, 76:24, | 87:25, 99:4, | 6:52 195:24 6th 103:23, | 67:22 | 92:14, 92:17, 96:2, 96:14, 97:6, 99:12, 100:6, | 99:6, 100:13, |
| - | 77:13, 77:14, | 101:3, 104:13, | 117:21, 160:5 | acts 8:8 actual 153:12 | 100:6, 121:17, | 130:21, 143:20, 144:15, 144:16, |
| | 78:16, 79:15, | 110:2, 143:12, 155:4, 155:22, | | adamantly 165:25 | 129:22, 129:25, | 157:13, 158:17, |
| | 79:24, 89:17, 90:14, 90:14, | 156:6, 159:14, | 7 | add 62:18, 121:25, | 130:1, 130:5, 130:11, 130:18, | 164:25 answering 45:15, |
| - | 92:16, 146:13, | 159:16, 160:12, | 7 135:24, 136:1, | 142:24 added 10:2, 150:13 | 130:24. 132:21. | 152:18 |
| | 146:25. 147:15. | 161:1, 161:11, 162:6, 162:6, | 175:2 | addition 19:5. | 138:24, 140:2, 142:22, 142:23, | answers 63:10, |
| | 148:13, 177:7, 177:9, 177:9, 177:9, 177:10, | 164:4, 166:11, | 7.5 11:11 7.6 129:14 | 21:1, 27:24, 172:9 additional 11:14, | 142:22, 142:23, 143:3, 143:4 | 112:16, 154:15 anymore 27:1, |
| | 177:9, 177:10, | 170:22, 192:24 2008 1:16, 4:2, | 7.9 11:2, 11:11, | 43:14. 43:22. | 143:3, 143:4, 143:7, 143:16, | 132:23 |
| | 177:11, 177:12, 192:23, 192:25, | 196:22 | 128:7, 128:9, | 46:2, 47:11, 82:10 | 143:1/, 144:22, | anyway 44:14, |
| | 193:2 | 21 3:17, 172:10, | 128:11, 128:13, | address 73:7, 116:17, 116:19, | 144:23, 144:24, 146:12, 147:7, | 87:11 apologize 29:15, |
| | 193:2 133 3:14 | 183:16, 185:2, 185:21 | 128:21, 129:2, 129:11, 129:17 | 184:6 | 147:19, 147:21, 147:25, 148:1, | 73:1, 98:15, |
| | 139 3:5 14 56:8, 56:10 | 213-3624 2:8 | 734 2:8 | addressed 189:2 | 147:25, 148:1, | 154:17 |
| | 15 56:8, 56:10, | 2290 2:2 | 7th 28:7, 28:11 | addresses 154:12 adjectives 62:6 | 161:25, 170:13, 171:17, 172:4, | apparently 8:13, 23:9, 58:19, |
| | 57:6 | 23 57:19 237-3016 2:12 | 8 | adjourn 167:1 | 172:15, 172:24, | 70:16. 70:19 |
| | 154 3:15 16 85:10, 136:13, | 24 171:22, 172:3 | | adopted 168:12 | 172:25, 173:2, | appeal 118:5, 118:25, 119:1, |
| | 136:16 | 25th 43:6 | 8 53:5, 53:18, | advance 53:13 advances 87:15 | 173:4, 173:5, 173:10, 173:16, | 129:18, 131:15, |
| | 1650 2:11 | 26th 183:18, 185:18 | 54:3, 55:4, 103:6, 103:10, 104:10, | adverbs 62:6 | 173:10, 173:16, 176:1, 176:7, | 132:13 |
| | 17 3:13, 4:7, 35:13, 47:3. | 27 60:8, 60:20. | 136:12, 136:16, | advise 13:18, 13:19, 13:21, | 176:13, 177:25, 192:23, 195:9, | appealed 112:7 appeals 10:24, |
| | 35:13, 47:3, 49:16, 49:18, | 63:24, 65:6, /5:25 | 1/2:14 | 13:19, 13:21, 14:5, 20:18 | 192:23, 195:9, 195:12 | 112:8 |
| | 49:21, 52:4, | 27th | 8.4 9:18, 9:22, 9:24, 10:14, 10:20 | advised 13:6, | agreements 17:23, | appear 29:23 |
| | 58:16, 59:4, 60:20, 61:5, | 28th 8:12, 156:2, | 8:15 97:4 | /0.14 | 24:25, 31:9, | appearance 8:13, 26:14 |
| | 63:15, 75:24, | 156:4, 161:14, | 8:30 97:2 | Affairs 107:15 Affidavit 13:9 | 31:11, 31:13, 32:13, 32:24, | APPEARANCES 2:1 |
| | 63:15, 75:24, 86:23, 87:3, 87:4, | 161:18, 161:20, 162:14, 181:17 | | affirmatively 5:1, | 43:2, 48:19, | appeared 83:5, |
| | 87:5, 87:25, 143:12, 161:1, | | 9 | 65:1, 76:7, | 62:19, 88:18, 89:1, 89:6, 92:4, | 100:24 Appearing 2:5, |
| | 163:25, 1/0:22 | 3 | 9 57:16, 58:7, | 116:14, 124:9, 135:8, 191:7 | 95:22, 99:16. | 2:9, 2:14, 2:18 |
| | 175 3:6 | | 76:1, 76:2, 76:3, | affixed 196:20 | 95:22, 99:16, 109:8, 130:24, | appears 8:4, 30:6, |
| | 17th 43:3, 51:24, 56:16, 57:25, | 3 28:9, 31:22, 31:23, 43:9, | 76:11, 77:2 9-11 110:13 | African 168:13 afternoon 20:22, | 146:13, 146:17, 146:23, 147:15 | 53:18 appellate 39:12, |
| | 58:18, 58:22, | 75:15, 87:5, 90:22, 90:23 | 9-28-07 157:7 | 27:2, 50:10, 66:24 | anead 12:11. | 39:21, 120:25 |
| | 62:11. 75:9. | 90:22, 90:23 30 1:16, 4:2 | 99.9 99:11 | afterwards 24:9, | 40:14, 40:25, 41:14, 41:17, | applicable 62:22 |
| | 78:11, 80:5, 81:2, 96:4, 98:20, 99:4, | 300 2:7 | Λ | 196:10 against 6:23. | 41:14, 41:1/, 46:25, 65:12 | applied 35:5, 35:25 |
| | 117:20, 119:5, | 30th 196:22 | Α | against 6:23, 7:24, 9:18, 32:11, | 46:25, 65:12, 74:22, 74:22, | applies 35:16, |
| | 119:14, 129:20, 129:23, 142:22, | 31 106:6, 106:8, 106:9 | a%dd 69:11 | 39:2 | 79:13, 81:16, | 37:12, 67:21, |
| L | 163,63, 176,66, | | (IN 0 A C C C C I A T | FC (0.40) 7 | | Pages 1 to 196 |

```
Brown 7:22, 10:21, 30:16, 30:19, 43:23, 47:18, 52:8, 58:3, 77:2, 79:4, 79:19, 88:15, 105:20, 107:25, 112:14, 140:10, 146:7, 146:14, 147:23, 154:4, 155:1, 164:5, 165:13, 166:20, 167:13, 167:13, 167:13, 167:12, 168:1, 169:13, 171:19,
                                                                                            11:10, 19:18,

19:19, 19:20,

19:22, 29:22,

30:4, 30:8, 35:14,

61:8, 101:13,

115:12, 115:13,

119:10, 120:14,

123:9, 125:19,

127:1. 131:6.
                                                                                                                                                                               became 24:23,
132:11, 172:20,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               123:2, 123:22,
139:13, 143:8,
150:17, 160:13,
164:5, 170:5,
183:13
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       23:7, 25:12,
25:13, 25:20,
26:9, 26:11,
26:13, 26:18,
27:15, 27:24,
28:2, 28:6, 28:8,
28:11, 28:16,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 25:12
     154:10
 apply 37:13,
37:15, 94:3, 154:8
appoints 53:22
                                                                                                                                                                                   173:8
                                                                                                                                                                              become 172:17
becomes 25:2,
 appreciate 27:16
approach 126:17
appropriate 73:5,
                                                                                                                                                                                 27:4, 77:24,
129:21
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            cases 28:1,
139:13, 170:3,
170:4, 186:15
cashier's 94:18
 86:5, 184:17

99:23, 103:2,

172:7, 172:9,

172:10
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         29:22, 30:7,
                                                                                                                                                                               becoming 171:17,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        30:11, 31:5,
39:20, 43:7,
43:19, 43:23,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 39:2,
                                                                                              127:1, 131:6,
148:13, 148:14,
175:21, 192:11,
                                                                                                                                                                                  171:17
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             catch 189:16,
                                                                                                                                                                                                    107:6
                                                                                                                                                                              befuddled 165:20
begin 4:21, 4:23,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                189:18
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       43:24, 44:6,

44:20, 45:3, 46:1,

46:11, 46:23,

47:1, 47:10,

47:16, 47:19,

47:20, 47:21,

48:2, 48:7, 48:21,

55:21, 57:19,

58:5, 59:12,

61:11, 61:17,

64:1, 64:3, 66:10,

69:14, 73:25,

75:2, 76:13,

79:20, 80:6,

84:19, 86:7,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             categories
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              85:22
                                                                                        192:12

attorney's 11:4,

39:6, 39:11,

39:16, 39:19,

41:20, 41:22,

42:2, 80:12, 82:1,

82:2, 82:10, 83:6,

118:16, 119:8,

119:13, 119:21,

119:25, 120:23,

121:18, 121:20,

122:20, 128:16,

132:10, 132:16,

175:1
                                                                                              192:12
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           categories 85:22
cause 18:18,
99:16, 132:1,
132:16, 141:10,
145:15, 154:7,
191:11, 191:14
CAYMC 2:16
CD 117:1, 117:2
CDs 163:8
cassed 171:14
  approve
    58:6, 171:13,
171:14, 171:22,
172:3, 172:4,
172:5
                                                                                                                                                                              beginning 80:4,
123:22
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           169:13, 171:19
                                                                                                                                                                              12312
behalf 2:5, 2:9,
2:14, 2:18, 5:9,
10:6, 26:9, 47:16,
48:1, 48:21,
59:11, 59:18,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      171:21, 172:2
Brown's 107:21
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      Brown/nelthrope
  approved 55:21,
     57:18, 64:20,
100:8, 125:17,
171:12, 171:16,
171:19, 171:24
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          39:18, 55:15,
139:11, 170:3,
170:5
                                                                                                                                                                                  59:25, 64:3,
66:10, 77:3, 77:4,
95:15, 104:25,
146:14, 146:15,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            ceased
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            171:14
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     BS 93:7
BS'ing 121:7
buck 93:11
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            cell 108:20
Center 18:22
certain 33:8,
approximately
32:2, 41:21,
123:25, 128:5
April 61:14,
106:9, 107:13
ARBOR 2:7
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     buck 52.25
building 2:2
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               55:5, 56:16,
123:1, 162:12,
166:22
                                                                                              175:1
                                                                                                                                                                                  149:18
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       79:20, 80:6,
84:19, 86:7,
91:20, 95:15,
96:9, 101:19,
106:2, 106:3,
111:12, 111:12,
111:15, 113:20,
113:25, 115:9,
121:16, 125:18,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     83:25, 83:25
bunch 94:20,
138:7, 191:3
burdensome 10
                                                                                                                                                                              behind 168:8
belief 93:21,
                                                                                         attorney/client
                                                                                             68:2
106:9, 107:13

ARBOR 2:7

areas 82:9, 88:12

aren't 7:17, 190:5

argue 48:10, 50:1,

50:2, 71:8, 141:21

argued 111:17,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            certainly
                                                                                                                                                                                  106:19
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           33:6,
                                                                                          attorneys
                                                                                           attorneys 2:1,
2:6, 16:21, 16:22,
16:23, 18:15,
18:23, 19:21,
23:7, 25:12,
40:18, 40:19,
80:6, 89:10,
120:6, 120:9,
120:11, 124:16,
133:11, 139:10,
147:17, 154:18,
162:4, 162:25,
196:15
August 183:18,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              141:19, 152:15,
153:3, 160:1
                                                                                                                                                                              believed 72:15
believes 44:25
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     busy 71:19
BUTZEL 2:6
Bye 27:9, 74:13,
74:14
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           CERTIFICATE 196
certified 94:17
certify 196:4,
196:13
                                                                                                                                                                              berreves 44:23
bench 186:4
best 34:9, 88:11,
89:10, 89:11,
104:24, 139:14,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  196:3
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                121:15, 125:18,
126:8, 127:11,
132:4, 133:11,
133:19, 139:11,
139:12, 140:21,
140:23, 144:5,
144:11, 146:3,
148:6, 148:14,
148:15, 153:2,
153:23, 153:24,
153:25, 155:3,
157:3, 158:8,
161:14, 162:3,
162:15, 165:7,
166:7, 169:25,
171:12, 171:21,
172:5, 172:6,
172:23, 180:20,
172:23, 180:20,
172:23, 180:20,
172:23, 180:20,
172:23, 180:20,
172:23, 180:20,
172:25, 193:1
city's 43:12,
44:16, 46:6,
46:21, 63:18,
79:7, 150:9
civil 118:3
claimed 28:8
claimed 28:8
claiming 71:25
claims 32:10,
32:15, 32:22
Clair 196:24
clarifies 87:21
clarity 173:12
    123:21, 129:12,
165:24
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             34:16,
35:2, 35:12,
45:12, 66:16,
66:25, 69:8, 72:8,
167:23, 168:2,
168:3, 169:5,
169:22
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   34:16,
                                                                                                                                                                                   155:19
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            chambers
                                                                                                                                                                              better 13:14,
50:19, 103:9,
111:21, 111:21,
  argument 16:2,
22:1, 33:14,
33:15, 68:17,
95:10, 122:21
argumentative
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     C)(2)(b 7:1, 16:8 Callahan 83:12,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        105:22, 111:20, 112:2, 112:3, 156:24, 157:2, 164:22, 166:9, 166:19, 169:10, 180:5
                                                                                                                                                                              beyond 135:25,
                                                                                        August 183:18
                                                                                                                                                                                  150.3
     61:25, 62:1
                                                                                                                                                                             bickering 51:9
bill 11:5, 11:7,
184:5
bills 8:20
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             changed
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               88:25, 99:10,
160:2
  argumentive 67:24,
                                                                                            184:3
                                                                                        authority 150:19 authorization
 188:25
arose 173:11
arrangement 92:9
arrive 39:6
arrived 10:14,
72:7, 96:4, 99:11,
129:20, 129:25,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            changes 96:13,
                                                                                              104:21
                                                                                       authorized 11:20,
30:11, 31:4, 54:7
authorizing 104:3
available 113:5,
113:7, 157:19,
162:11
                                                                                                                                                                              binding 64:21,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       Callahan's 116:3,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    Callahan's 116:3, 119:22 calling 7:6, 41:16, 165:7 can't 12:23, 30:24, 34:9, 41:15, 49:14, 50:6, 59:13, 60:6, 80:4, 80:4, 80:23, 99:21, 99:22, 102:18, 121:2, 178:12,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            characterization
59:7, 67:24, 99:3
Charfoos 124:7,
124:7, 124:12,
124:12
                                                                                                                                                                                  173:7
                                                                                                                                                                                 oit 88:22, 96:22, 96:23, 96:25,
     130:6
                                                                                                                                                                                  117:7
article 101:9
ashen 84:1, 124:20
Asian 168:18,
                                                                                                                                                                               blamed
                                                                                                                                                                                                               158:1
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            charter 26:13
check 86:5, 86:8,
94:18, 94:19,
107:4, 172:11
checked 91:25
                                                                                                                                                                              blank 86:22
blanks 155:1
blatant 49:5
                                                                                        AVENUE
                                                                                        avoid 61:17,
106:21, 186:12
avoided 146:5
168:19
asking 11:3,
33:14, 33:24,
34:8, 35:20, 38:5,
44:9, 44:10,
44:19, 46:20,
46:21, 46:24,
50:13, 51:1,
64:16, 126:13,
137:19, 149:20,
169:14, 174:1,
174:1, 178:20,
189:8, 193:18
asks 28:2
     168:19
                                                                                                                                                                             blood 196:14
blown 158:2
                                                                                                                                                                          bottom 178:12
bottom 178:12
bottom 27:132
bottom 27:132
bottom 27:136:2,
                                                                                        award 119:24,
123:10
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            checks 12:1, 12:6,
12:7, 12:12,
85:10, 85:14,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          121:2,
178:22
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      178:12.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 85:15, 85:16,
86:1, 86:3, 86:10,
86:17, 103:14
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        1:22,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      Candace
                                                                                       bang 93:11
bank 57:9, 87:20,
92:25, 94:4, 94:5,
94:9, 94:15,
103:25, 104:1,
178:9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          196:23
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       cannot
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     cannot 5:23,
13:19, 13:21,
19:11, 41:5, 42:6,
47:15, 47:16,
47:19, 54:13,
62:7, 64:3, 81:5
capacity 8:7,
9:12, 25:14,
25:24, 29:22,
43:17
                                                                                                                                                                              bottom 90:23
Bowman 39:3,
118:12, 119:12,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            chicanery 158:7
chief 9:9
child 168:14
                                                                                                                                                                            118:12,
121:6
box 56:11, 56:20,
56:24, 57:1,
57:11, 103:16,
104:7, 104:17
boxes 114:19,
189:8, 193:18
asks 28:2
aspects 86:9
assert 150:15,
150:20
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             chooses
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  42:8, 42:8
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            chose 106:25
chosen 55:2
                                                                                        banker 178:9
bases 141:17
basically 46:22,
69:25, 110:5,
182:17
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             Christine
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                _nristine 61:1
77:6, 77:17,
78:14, 78:18,
92:24, 93:12,
93:21, 106:3,
107:6, 130:23,
146:5, 146:17,
147:4 165:5
  asserting 149:17,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      captioned 57:16, 76:20, 182:21 care 7:14, 149:22, 184:4
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           43:17
                                                                                        Bates 134:6
bear 85:25, 8
Beatty 60:24,
61:12, 77:6,
77:10, 77:17,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   clarifies 87:21

clarity 173:12

Clark 149:11

clause 31:10

clean 85:9

clear 20:2, 20::

28:15, 34:18,

66:14, 81:24,

82:25, 87:21,

89:2, 89:2, 95::

97:25, 133:8,

133:17, 141:24,

142:17, 148:20,

170:12, 181:10

clearing 145:25
                                                                                                                                                                                    114:21
     150:1
                                                                                                                                                                             114:21
Brady 104:4,
105:4, 105:4
breach 38:7,
144:22, 195:12
break 36:2, 36:7,
48:13, 48:17,
51:25, 74:19,
123:25, 132:25
break-down 87:7
  assertion 43:14
                                                                                                                                            85:25
  assist 127:21
  assistant 91:19,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 147:4, 165:5,
178:4, 193:5
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       careful 122:12
155:14
assisting 40:18
associate 141:2,
142:10, 155:6
assume 6:14,
11:25, 17:21,
19:5, 23:21,
112:2, 118:3,
134:15, 141:13
assumed 102:11
assuming 35:16
     155:14
                                                                                             77:24, 78:8,
78:14, 78:18,
82:11, 93:12,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       carefully 45:16,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            chronology 118:2
circuit 1:2, 83:11
circumstances
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           82:14
                                                                                       78:14, 70:12, 82:11, 93:12, 93:12, 94:8, 101:18, 102:2, 106:3, 107:6, 107:16, 107:24, 108:11, 112:9, 122:7, 122:23, 123:5, 130:23, 131:17, 131:19, 145:5, 146:5, 146:17, 146:21, 147:4, 157:23, 165:5, 165:10, 178:5, 178:7, 178:8, 193:5
Beatty's 78:3, 92:18, 92:24, 108:3, 109:16, 147:8
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      carve 68:11
case 8:22, 8:22,
10:21, 10:25,
11:13, 18:1, 18:1,
                                                                                                                                                                             123:25, 132:25
break-down 87:7
brief 14:18,
22:10, 36:12,
51:18, 65:15,
105:12, 105:14,
123:15, 123:16,
133:2, 175:12
briefly 53:2,
177:19
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 191:15
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               95:9,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             cited 35:15
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          cited 35:15

citizen 8:6

city 1:10, 2:10,

2:15, 5:12, 5:18,

6:24, 7:5, 7:25,

8:5, 8:7, 8:9,

8:14, 8:20, 9:8,

9:15, 9:19, 10:17,

11:20, 11:24,

11:25, 12:6, 13:6,

13:14, 13:18,

13:19, 13:20,

14:25, 16:13,

16:19, 16:19,

16:22, 17:9,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          28:17, 29:3, 29:6, 30:6, 30:17, 33:19, 38:22, 39:1, 39:12, 39:14, 39:15, 30:17, 30:18,
 assuming 35:16, 35:16, 35:25, 79:22, 108:10, 161:3, 161:9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     clearing 145:25
clearly 59:2.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    Clearly 59:2,
152:5, 161:11
client 13:22,
55:9, 87:17,
129:3, 129:8,
151:7
client's 151:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          39:14, 39:15, 39:18, 67:9, 70:16, 70:17, 77:25, 80:8, 80:8, 83:19, 84:18, 88:9, 94:23, 94:24, 97:15, 77:25, 80:8, 83:19, 84:24, 97:15, 83:19, 84:24, 97:15, 83:19, 84:24, 97:15, 83:19, 84:24, 97:15, 83:19, 84:24, 97:15, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 84:24, 97:15, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 84:24, 97:15, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 83:19, 
                                                                                                                                                                                  177:19
                                                                                                                                                                              bring 45:11
broader 27:23,
  assumption 173:19
assure 137:22
 atta-boy 146:10
attached 20:10,
159:24, 196:5
                                                                                                                                                                                  96:1
                                                                                                                                                                              broke 83:5, 91:24
broker 178:8
brought 11:1,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     Refer to 131.4
clients 87:16,
88:11, 89:11,
124:25, 128:24,
148:7, 169:4,
177:15, 177:20
 attachment 86:25
attention 15:3,
57:15, 69:1,
112:6, 184:5
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           97:20,
                                                                                                                                                                                 11:11, 16:7,
18:21, 67:1,
111:12, 114:9,
158:10
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 16:22, 17:9,
17:20, 17:23,
18:6, 19:18,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          98:19, 98:21,
118:12, 118:13,
118:19, 118:19,
                                                                                        Beatty/kilpatric
123:19
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     close 18:20, 132:9
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  19:19, 22:21,
  attorney 7:21,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           119:7, 121:17,
```

| 1 | Deposition | of MICHAEL ST | EFAMI OII Janua | ily 30,2000 | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| closed 147:4 | 190:21, 191:4 | context 81:12 | corporation 1:4, | 184:12, 184:14, | 32:21, 33:4, |
| closing 79:2, | condition 58:1, 58:2, 64:18, | continually 40:6, 40:13 | 2:16, 84:19, 125:20, 126:7, 127:11, 127:18, | 184:15, 185:25, 187:25, 188:9, | 40:20, 127:5, 139:9, 182:22 |
| 79:3, 86:19, 102:7, 103:13, 103:17, 147:6, | 171:9. 171:11. | continue 41:12, | 127:11, 127:18, | 188:12, 189:2, 190:5 | defended 153:3 defense 8:24, 18:1 |
| 103:17, 147:6, 170:10 | 171:16, 172:19, 173:7, 173:11 | 41:15, 41:25, 42:6, 42:10 | 128:2 correct 6:16, 8:1, | court's 5:24, 24:20, 69:13, 72:3 | delayed 10:25 |
| clothed 107:7 | conditional 58:21, | CONTINUED 27:13, 74:17 | 8:2, 20:4, 29:7, | 24:20, 69:13, 72:3 courtroom 120:12, | delegation 26:14 deliberative |
| co-council 175:9 co-counsel 60:3, | 79:16, 85:20 Conditions 57:18 | Continuing 7:20. | 29:23, 30:3, 30:20, 30:21, 31:2, 31:7, 31:24, 32:4, 47:13, | 124:8, 124:8, | 111:18, 184:10 deliver 5:11, |
| 96:8, 96:10, | Conduct 38:8 conducted 39:7 | 9:10, 10:9, 13:4, 27:17, 27:19, | 31:2, 31:7, 31:24, 32:4, 47:13 | 124:11, 167:3, 167:18 | 15:11. 15:19. |
| 105:8, 105:9, 105:10 | conducting 17:1 | 29:1, 29:21, 30:14, 31:20, | 53:24, 54:3, 54:4, | cover 28:10, | 15:11, 15:19, 19:8, 103:15, |
| coached 122:17, 150:21 | confer 105:7 conference 14:13, | 30:14, 31:20, 38:16, 40:14, | 53:24, 54:3, 54:4, 54:5, 54:8, 56:8, 56:12, 56:14, | 86:24, 109:13, 116:22, 158:18 | 172:11, 174:22 delivered 20:11, |
| coaching 150:25 | 65:21, 96:15, | 40:25, 41:14, | 56:14, 58:13, 59:20, 60:9, | covered 117:11 covering 68:23, | 69:1, 133:16, 171:2, 171:9 |
| COHN 2:1 Colbert 29:11, | 170:19 conferences 72:21 | 41:17, 41:25, 43:1, 44:13, 46:1, | 60:10. 61:14. | 117:16 | demonstrated |
| 29:18, 30:8, 48:1, | confident 22:9, 132:5 | 46:6, 46:24, | 61:23, 64:1, 76:8, 76:9, 76:10, 77:8, | coverup 48:8, 49:1 67:25 | 123:21 denied 13:24 |
| 48:21, 51:17, 59:11, 59:13 | confidential | 52:12, 52:24, 53:18, 54:15, | 79:22, 81:3, 81:8, | crime 35:17, 68:6, 68:23 | deny 152:11 |
| Colbert's 30:4, 49:2 | 24:25, 28:4, 33:10, 43:14, | 54:19, 59:10, 60:18, 61:4, 62:2, | 82:3, 82:4, 82:5, 83:12, 84:22, | crime/Traud 6/:25 | department 2:10. |
| Colhert-osamuede | 1 46:5. 46:22. | 63:14.63:20. | 90:19. 92:6. | crimes 37:18 criminal 101:13 | 84:12, 113:18, 115:1 |
| 2:13, 4:9, 14:3, 14:15, 14:23 | 56:12, 56:21, 62:25, 78:6, | 64:5, 64:15, 74:18, 75:3, | 97:17, 98:24, 104:12, 108:13, | criteria 123:1 | depends 191:15 |
| 14:15, 14:23, 14:25, 16:20, 20:1, 20:7, 20:13, | 79:21, 111:19, | 75:20. 77:23. | 111:5. 115:23. | cross-examining 165:10 | deponent 1:15, 151:7, 188:14, |
| 20:1, 20:7, 20:13, 25:10, 25:23, | 1 150:9. 1/8:/. | 78:16, 78:22, 80:2, 81:8, 81:16, | - 121:22. 121:25. 1 | cut 42:9, 45:4, | 190:7, 190:8, |
| 25:10, 25:23, 26:10, 29:16, | 186:14 confidentiality | 81:23, 82:20, 83:10, 83:18, | 122:21, 123:23, 124:5, 125:10, | 45:7 | 190:18 depose 10:7 |
| 29:19, 64:13, 65:18, 65:20, 66:7, 87:6, 110:9, | 24:1, 32:25, 33:3, | 84:13. 85:8. | 127:5. 127:9. | D | depose 10:7 deposit 56:11, 56:20 103:16 |
| 66:7, 87:6, 110:9, 110:12, 175:14, | 33:/, 33:16, 33:20, 37:10. | 86:21, 87:23, 89:16, 90:13, | 127:13, 128:20, 129:1, 129:2, 129:23, 130:7, 149:4, 149:15, 161:15, 161:19, 165:23, 177:17, | damage 85:23 | 56:20, 103:16, 104:17 |
| 180:12 | 33:20, 37:10, 38:23, 47:2, 47:8, | 92:21, 93:5, | 129:23, 130:7, | darker 159:15 | deposition 3:12, 3:14, 3:15, 3:16, |
| Coleman 18:21 collect 11:23, | 47:12, 48:20, 49:5, 53:24, 55:12, 55:13, | 95:11, 98:17, 100:12, 102:3, 102:24, 103:7, | 161:15, 161:19, | date 1:16, 32:11, 32:12, 33:2, | 4:6, 5:5, 5:5, |
| 23:5 collegial 7:17 | 55:12, 55:13, 62:16, 62:19 | 105*18 106*15 | 165:23, 177:17, 177:18, 188:15 | 49:15. 49:15. | 6:1, 6:1, 6:5, 6:12, 6:16, 7:8, |
| Colombo 1:9, 7:6, | 62:16, 62:19, 76:21, 77:14, | 108:9, 108:17, | corrected 159:12 | 93:20, 102:16, 102:17, 102:18, | 12:15, 14:4, 15:2, 17:1, 17:9, 20:23, |
| 14:21, 14:22, 14:23, 15:13, | 77:25, 78:17, 79:15, 80:2, | 110:1, 110:15, 126:5, 128:13, | correctly 56:18 Corrects 123:20 | 104:16, 104:22, 107:22, 117:21, | 21:15, 21:21, |
| 15:16, 17:10, | 80:18.89:3. | 126:5, 128:13, 130:22, 131:20, | couldn't 42:17, 56:24, 103:19, | 155:12, 155:25, 156:1, 167:1, | 22:24, 23:3, 23:23, 24:2, |
| 17:17, 18:8, 18:13, 19:17, | 90:15, 90:20, 92:4, 92:17, | 132:3, 141:1, 142:9, 143:10, | 103:22, 104:20, | 172:7 | 24:10, 24:16, 24:19, 25:19, |
| 18:13, 19:17, 20:5, 20:9, 20:20, 21:9, 21:22, 22:7, | 140:1, 143:2, | 144:10, 144:20, 145:19, 145:22, | 157:16, 160:7, 165:22, 166:5, | date's 117:22 dated 13:17, 14:9, | 24:19, 25:19, 26:8, 41:13, |
| 22:14, 23:4, | 147:21, 147:24, 170:13, 173:3, | 15719. 15515. | 167:15 | 27:21, 28:10, | 43:11, 43:13, |
| 23:14, 23:25, | 170:13, 173:3, 176:1, 176:12, | 153:10, 154:14, 154:23, 158:15, | council 11:20, 55:21, 57:19, | 29:10, 48:23, | 49:23, 50:11, 51:8, 53:2, 63:3, 63:5, 63:7, 64:14, |
| 24:14, 24:21, 25:8, 25:16, 26:1, | 192:23, 195:9, | 158:25, 159:13, 161:13, 164:21, | 76:13, 76:17, | 51:24, 58:25, 60:8, 61:20, | 63:5, 63:7, 64:14, 65:19, 66:13 |
| 26:16, 26:21, 26:24, 27:9, 34:5, | 195:11 confirm 19:4, 31:1, 31:5, 31:8, | 165:21. 169:4. | 172:11 | 63:24, 75:24, 75:25, 86:11, 86:12, 87:6, 90:2, | 65:19, 66:13, 69:17, 81:7, |
| 34:16, 34:17, 35:1, 43:5, 65:23, | 31:1, 31:5, 31:8, 31:24 | 173:15, 174:8, 174:23, 176:6, | counsel 2:15, 2:16, 5:7, 7:5, | 86:12, 87:6, 90:2, | 85:18, 133:6, 136:1, 140:16, |
| 65:24, 66:3, 66:6, | confirmed 107:24 | 177:12, 179:9, 180:15, 182:5, | 2:16, 5:7, 7:5, 8:14, 8:15, 13:20, 26:7, 26:11, | 155:4, 159:14, | 136:1, 140:16, 140:17, 142:25, 147:13, 147:14, |
| 66:21, 67:16, 69:19, 70:23, | confused 49:11 confusing 165:4 | 180:15, 182:5, 183:22, 185:20, | ZO:12, Z/:Z, | 183:18. 184:3. | 147:17, 150:4, |
| 71:1, /1:5, /1:13, | confusion 179:24 | 183:22, 185:20, 186:25, 188:8, 188:12, 189:5, | 84:19, 125:20, 126:7, 127:11, | 185:8, 185:18 dates 28:15, | 150:11, 153:4, 154:21, 164:15, |
| 72:18, 73:2, 73:4, 73:17, 74:3, 74:13 | connection 20:23, | 190:3, 191:16 | 127:10, 120:3, | 106:16, 106:18, | 175:15, 180:13, |
| Colombo's 150:3 combination 9:23 | 43:6, 50:11 consent 7:2, 16:9 | contract 58:1, 58:1, 149:8, | 144:11, 149:7 count 136:17, | 108:4 dawned 62:13, | 182:3, 183:16, 196:5, 196:11 |
| comes 69:25 | consequently 74:8 | 171:2, 171:9, | 138:21 | 172:23, 173:16, | depositions 19:1, 74:24, 123:7 |
| coming 99:21, 125:21, 126:8, | consider 28:3, 71:15, 71:15 | 172:17, 173:7 contracts 89:4 | County 1:2, 196:2, 196:21, 196:24 | 173:19 DC 184:6 | deprived 158:6, |
| 127:20, 128:1, | consideration | contradict 22:3 contradictory | couple 4:24, 4:24, | deadlines 172:14 | 187:3, 188:5 derogatory 168:23, |
| 128:3, 129:19 commander 108:1 | 123:3, 123:10, 141:15 | 112:19 | 15:17, 38:19, 56:5, 87:18, | deal 100:5, 119:13, 132:20 dealt 70:14, | 169:3 |
| COMMENCED 4:8 comment 13:13 | considered 79:20, 88:14 | contrary 71:3 controversy 196:18 | 135:20, 164:12 course 22:25, 29:9, 38:11, 39:8, | dealt 70:14, 116:11, 150:4 | des 91:3 describe 177:19 |
| Commission 196:24 | considering 70:7 | conversation | 29:9, 38:11, 39:8, | December 28:6, | describes 77:15 designate 103:21 |
| committed 8:8, | consistent 8:17, 9:2, 9:5 | 168:11 conversations | 52:17, 63:8, 67:25, 101:11, | 28:11, 30:25, 31:3, 54:25, 56:2, | designated 19:18, |
| committee 70:7 Complaint 28:17 | conspiracy 151:12, 151:16 | 101:16 coordinator 13:21 | 107:16, 107:17, 150:10, 164:19 | 58:8, 65:8, 79:1, 86:11, 86:12, | 61:8 designation 55:10, |
| complete 48:18, | constant 16:12 | Copeland 31:3, | court 1:2, 4:5, | 86:14, 86:20, | 103:4 designee 55:2, |
| 74:5, 126:11, 126:14, 196:11 | constitutional 98:11 | 40:16, 40:18, 59:15, 59:16, | 5:11, 14:12, 14:14, 14:16, | 102:7, 102:9, 103:13, 104:11, | 55:23, 55:24, |
| completely 34:14, | consult 19:21 | 79:6, 80:14, | 15:6, 15:8, 15:10, | 147:6, 147:14, | 56:1, 56:6 Despite 110:22, |
| 140:13 Compliance 184:4 | consulted 131:5 consumed 123:4 | 81:20, 82:22, 95:15, 96:20, | 15:11, 15:18, 18:14, 19:7, 19:9, 19:15, 20:5, 20:8, | 166:11, 170:10 decide 37:11, | 110:25 |
| complicate 138:5 | contact 101:4, | 96:21, 98:24, 120:7, 166:23 | 19:15, 20:5, 20:8, 20:19, 23:11, | 41:6, 48:10 decided 61:17 | destroy |
| component 100:15 computer 91:14, | 169:18 contacted 113:9, | Copeland's 79:3. | 25:6, 26:15, | decision 8:23, | 48:22 |
| 91:17, 91:19, 109:16, 196:10 | 143:5 contacts 127:8 | 95:17, 95:19 copied 105:19, | 34:17, 35:15, 35:19, 35:25, | 25:11, 73:8, 97:24, 98:20 | destruction 90:25, 97:22 |
| computers 91:21 | contain 21:2, | 105:23, 192:2 copies 21:1, | 35:25, 36:5, 36:6, | decline 144:9 | detail 9:15 details 121:20, |
| con 80:13 concern 67:4, | 32:19 contained 31:9, | 22:20, 23:2, 23:6, | 37:12, 38:16, 43:11, 66:19, | declined 41:1 declining 178:24 | 174:10 |
| 186:25, 188:2 | 162:18, 177:22, 184:16, 196:8 | 27:14, 28:22, 52:13, 61:10, | 68:6, 72:14, 73:1, 83:11, 84:6, | deductions 86:6 defeat 48:5, 91:15 | determination 68:5, 68:16, |
| concerned 25:18, 50:4, 67:5, 74:8 | contains 31:11, | 88:3. 88:6. 91:4. | 86:24, 105:20. | defendant 1:12. | 68:22, 72:24, |
| concerning 92:23 concerns 177:20, | 32:7 contempt 19:14 | 137:25, 145:1, 145:2, 146:23, | 133:5, 133:8, 133:15, 133:22, | 2:14, 2:18, 32:9, 86:2, 183:5. | 73:11, 153:11, 184:15 |
| 189:8 | contended 93:20 | 145:2, 146:23, 147:7, 147:12, 147:13, 147:15, | 148:8, 153:7, 153:11, 153:13, | 86:2, 183:5, 183:14, 185:3 | determine 35:18 |
| CONCLUDED 27:12, 74:16, 195:23 | contending 106:22 contents 52:4, | 148:18, 1//:5 | 154:20, 164:19, | Defendant's 184:1 defendants 30:5, | determining 153:7 Detroit 1:3, 1:10, |
| conclusion 28:1, 34:4, 34:7, | 153:12, 184:11 contesting 114:7 | corporate 113:6, 157:17 | 180:16, 180:24, 183:15, 184:8, | 30:5, 30:8, 32:9, 32:11, 32:14, | 2:2, 2:9, 2:10, 2:11, 2:15, 2:17, |
| | | | | L | Pages 1 to 196 |
| CN08117 | GRUS | KIN & ASSOCIAT | TES (248) 7: |) -009 I | , ugco 1 to 100 |

| · · | _ | | | | 05.5 06.15 00.7 |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| 5:7, 5:8, 5:12, | 32:15, 32:21, | | 163:9, 176:23 | 76:14, 76:19, | 85:5, 96:15, 99:7, |
| 5:19, 6:14, 6:23, | 33:18 | E | especially 158:5 | 76:24, 77:13, 78:10, 78:16, | 100:11, 101:16, 118:16, 119:4, |
| 6:24, 6:24, 7:6, | disputing 13:15 | | Esq 1:15, 4:10, 14:4, 22:23, | 79:24, 85:10, | 119:7. 119:12. |
| 7:25, 8:7, 8:9, 8:14, 9:9, 9:16, | doc 52:6 document 14:1, | e-mails 138:7, | 64:13. 81:6. | 86:23. 87:3. | 119:7, 119:12, 119:20, 120:3, |
| 12:7, 12:16, 13:6, | 27:22, 32:18, | 138:25, 147:1, 163:6, 170:7, | 64:13, 81:6, 85:17, 164:14, | 87:24, 88:15, | 174:10. 126:16. |
| 13:14, 13:17, | 35:3. 35:11. | 195:3 | 175:13, 175:14, | 88:18, 88:20, | 129:25, 130:6, 131:4, 161:1, |
| 13:18, 13:19. | 44:77. 45:74. | earlier 95:14, | 180:13, 182:2 | 88:24, 89:17, 89:20, 89:21, | 131:4, 161:1, |
| 13:20. 14:25. | 45:25, 46:2, 46:5, 47:23, 51:5, | earlier 95:14, 105:19, 113:10, | essentially 79:14 | 89:20, 89:21, | 161:5, 162:16, 172:22 |
| 16:1. 16:22. 17:9. | 47:23, 51:5, | 118:1, 118:/, | esteemed 105:9, | 92:16, 95:13, 103:6, 103:10, | facilitation/med |
| 17:21, 18:7, | 51:23, 52:1, 52:2, 52:5, 53:5, 53:21, | 140:14, 148:24, | 105:10 estimate 117:3 | 104:10, 129:22, | 66:18, 68:12, 69:9 |
| 25:12, 25:18, | 54:21, 55:13, | 156:23, 172:21 | et 105:20, 105:21 | 129:23, 129:24, | facilitations |
| 25:20, 26:9, 26:11, 26:13, | 57:21, 58:7, 64:1. | easier 144:5 East 1:19 | evade 61:18 | 129:23, 129:24, 133:6, 133:10, | 70:11, 119:13 |
| 26:18, 30:7. | 57:21, 58:7, 64:1, 67:2, 67:2, 67:14, | effect 24:7 | evaluation 67:8, | 135:20, 137:21, | facilitator 80:10, |
| 30:11, 31:5, 39:2, | 77:1, 78:10, | effective 76:22 | 67:9, 70:17 | 143:11, 146:12, | 83:2, 83:21, 83:23, 85:7, |
| 43:13, 43:19, | 78:14, 78:19, | eight 11:12, | evening 96:6, | 146:24, 147:15, 148:13, 154:17, | 96:16, 97:5, 97:8, |
| 43:23, 43:24, | 80:23, 82:3, | 11:15, 11:25, | 103:18 event 14:8, 41:1, | 154:21, 154:24, | 97:16, 98:23, |
| 47:16, 47:19, | 83:10, 83:15, 83:21, 88:3, | 45:23, 99:22, | 65:11, 73:17, | 156:11, 159:1, | 99:6, 99:9, 99:10, |
| 47:20, 47:21, 48:2, 58:5, 59:12, | 100:22, 102:18, | 99:23, 99:25, 100:1 | 94:20. 132:19. | 159:13, 160:9, | 99:14, 118:22, |
| 61:12, 61:18, | 103:10, 134:19, | | 172:12, 172:13 | 162:2, 163:13, | 119:25, 120:20, |
| 63:21, 64:4, | 148:2, 163:16, | 22:17, 34:6, 57:2, | eventually 57:9 | 163:14, 163:25, | 121:1, 122:4, 125:15, 127:24, |
| 66:10, 73:25, | 183:23 | 67:15. 78:4. | everybody 66:1, | 170:23, 171:5, 173:13, 176:2, | 174.9 174:16. |
| 75:10, 84:20, | documents 5:4, 12:2, 12:19, 13:7, | 94:17, 103:19, | 100:7, 195:2 everyone 13:1, | 176:8, 176:12, | 174:9, 174:16, 174:16, 174:21 |
| 95:16, 96:9, 101:2, 101:9, | 15:20, 16:2, 20:3, | 136:2, 141:14, 153:11, 159:21, | ar 3a 3m 44 | 170.17 170.10 | facts 68:14, 68:19 |
| 106:2, 106:4, | 20:22, 21:12, | 163:20, 167:17, | 28:22, 51:21, | 176:20, 176:22, | failed 34:15 |
| 113:20, 126:8, | 21:14, 22:3, | 170:8, 177:17. | 52:9, 63:15, 79:22 | 183:16, 183:23, | failure 172:12, |
| 127:12, 133:12, | 22:15. 23:3. | 170:8, 177:17, 185:25, 196:16 | 15:21, 27:14, 28:22, 51:21, 52:9, 63:15, 79:22 everyone's 175:18 everything 25:7. | 105:20, 104:24, | 172:13 fair 6:10, 17:24, |
| 133:19, 139:11, | 24:16, 24:17, | electronic 170:8 | everything 25:7, 95:1, 103:16, | 185:1, 185:21, 192:23, 192:25, | 160:24. 166:11 |
| 139:12, 140:21, | 24:16, 24:17, 27:25, 28:2, 28:7, 28:12, 32:19, | Eleven 1:19 | 112:16. 118:1. | 193:2 | 160:24, 166:11 fairly 70:6 |
| 140:23, 144:12, 145:16, 149:4. | 33:9, 43:15. | Ellen 2:12, 17:18, 23:18, 66:9, | 127:1, 138:1, | exhibits 18:16, | raith /2:13 |
| 145:16, 149:4, 149:7, 149:8, | 33:9, 43:15, 43:15, 43:16, | 175:13. 182:2 | 145:2, 147:10, | 19:6, 19:8, 22:21, | false 10:1, 44:25, |
| 149:10, 149:15, 155:3, 162:3, | 45:22, 40:22, | Elrick 169:11, | 150:19, 163:18, | 28:16, 52:13, 102:13, 135:13, | 45:3, 45:6, 45:15, 47:12 |
| 155:3, 162:3, | 47:11, 50:10, 52:14, 53:1, | Elrick 169:11, 169:14, 169:15, | 191:25 evidence 23:2, | 137:15 137:16 | falsehood 49:5 |
| 171:21 Detroit's 69:14, | 53:16 54:25 | 169:16, 169:23 emergency 111:12, | 28:9, 97:23, | 137:15, 137:16, 183:2, 192:1 | familiar 70:6, |
| 170:1 | 53:16, 54:25, 55:5, 55:8, 55:15, | 111:16, 180:17, | 134:20, 151:12, | exist 43:15, | 191:11 |
| developed 100:18 | 55:18. 55:22. | 180:22, 181:24, | 191:1 | 116:9, 116:13, | family 92:13, |
| device 15:7 | 56:22, 64:22, 64:23, 68:15, | 182:21, 183:6, | exact 32:3 | 171:14 | 168:12 fashion 49:23 |
| difference 168:21 | 64:23, 68:15, | 185:3 | exactly 67:17, 69:21, 71:21, | existing 88:6 expect 18:20, | father's 163:2 |
| differently 126:2 difficult 127:16 | 73:13, 79:2, 79:12, 79:13, | emotional 85:23 | 71:23, 105:24, | 51:10, 71:18 | favor 98:12, |
| difficulty 123:4 | 79:19. 80:20. | employee 148:12, 148:14, 196:15 | 124:22 | expectation 23:4 | 111:14, 179:25, |
| difficulty 123:4 diligent 27:18 | 86:13, 89:7, 92:22, 93:9, | employees 90:18, | EXAMINATION 3:3, | expected 158:3 | 180:6 |
| direct 38:2, | 92:22, 93:9, | 90:25, 92:4, 92:8 | 3:4, 3:5, 3:6, | expedite 15:18, 43:8, 44:17 | favorable 182:20 fax 155:12 |
| 38:12, 63:16 | 95:22, 104:17, 104:23, 109:11, | encompass 24:12, | 4:15, 109:5, 139:7, 175:23 | expediting 175:17 | Fed-Ex 162:22, |
| directed 13:10, 155:3, 158:4, | 133:10, 133:23, | 33:13, 79:19 encompassed 33:12 | examined 113:24, | expenses 9:22, | 162:23, 162:23, |
| 184:4 | 135:5, 135:16, | encompassing | 121:3 | 10:2, 87:17, | 163:5 |
| directing 38:3, | 135:18, 135:23, | 109:15 | example 119:12, | 132:17, 132:18 expensive 106:22 | federal 86:6 fee 11:4, 11:10, |
| 111:25 | 136:15, 140:2, | encouraging 187:11 | 153:10 examples 82:12 | expert 38:10, | 83:6. 127:1 |
| direction 116:3, | 140:6, 150:10, 152:13, 156:5, | ended 11:9, 45:22, | except 17:25. | 190:25, 191:1 | 83:6, 127:1 feel 89:11, 120:17 |
| 121:23, 184:7, 184:8 | 163:9, 170:9, | 84:17, 110:5, 116:4, 121:18, | except 17:25, 32:16, 48:4, 91:4, | Expires 196:24 | feeling 74:3, |
| directly 13:5. | 170:11, 170:19, | 132:4, 132:7, | 122:10, 134:21, | explain //.23, | 175:22 |
| 18:17, 22:4, 48:7, | 183:21, 191:25, | | 135:19, 147:10 | 178:18 explained 17:16, | fees 35:14, 39:6, 39:11, 39:16, |
| 112:1, 196:17 | 192:2 | ends 111:2, 118:2 | exception 35:17, 37:12, 68:1, 68:1, 68:12, 68:24 | 173:6 | 39:19, 41:20, |
| Director 160:12 | dollar 8:21, 9:18, 9:21, 10:11, 11:4, | Engineering 160:13 | 68:12, 68:24 | explaining 98:4, | 41:22. 42:2. |
| directs 183:20 disbursements | 11:10, 96:12, | enter 24:6, 88:16, | excerpt 82:6, 82:8 | 110111 | 80:12, 82:1, 82:2, 82:10, 84:17, |
| 87:18 | 127:1, 128:17, | 121:17, 158:25, | excerpted 82:9 | explicit 174:10 | 82:10, 84:1/, |
| disclose 23:23, | 132:7 dollars 9:24, | 159:4 | exchange 97:24 | expressed 159:23 expressly 28:1, | 118:16, 119:8, 119:10, 119:13, |
| 70:13, 146:3 | dollars 9:24, 10:1, 10:8, 10:15, | entered 14:4, | exchanged 138:8 excuse 14:10, | 38:10, 72:10 | 119:21, 119:25, |
| disclosed 6:2, 70:12 | 11:8. 41:21. | 25:4, 26:13, 61:21, 61:22, | 45:10, 49:10. | 38:10, 72:10 extensive 82:12, | 120:14. 120:23. |
| disclosure 43:18 | 42:13, 84:18. | 77:13. 78:13 | 45:10, 49:10, 51:6, 106:7, 173:25, 183:12 | 92:7, 123:18 extensively 82:8, | 121:18. 121:20. |
| discounting 11:9, | 42:13, 84:18, 93:22, 94:5, 94:7, 123:8, 123:11, | 77:13, 78:13, 88:17, 88:21, | 173:25, 183:12 | extensively 82:8, | 122:20, 122:22, 128:16, 132:10, |
| 84:17 | 123:8, 123:11, | 89:21, 143:/, | execute 43:20, 63:15 | 82:8, 123:17 extent 67:21, | 132:16. 175:1 |
| discovery 43:8, 44:17, 106:19, | 128:23, 131:16, 132:8, 132:9, | 158:20 | executed 32:13. | 189:25 | 132:16, 175:1 felt 72:19, 73:11, |
| 106:20. 154:1. | 132:11. 132:14. | entire 17:25, 31:12, 31:18. | 33:1, 52:7, 57:24, | extremely 84:25 | 158:10, 158:11, |
| 106:20, 154:1, 154:5, 181:12 | 132:11, 132:14, 132:17, 132:18, 175:1, 178:5, | 31:12, 31:18, 32:7, 32:19, | 147:8, 147:24 | | 158:12 field 141:16, |
| discuss 24:16. | 175:1, 178:5, | 39:21, 41:19, 48:8, 95:5, 140:15 | executive 9:9 exhibit 3:12, | F | 142:18. 152:14 |
| 71:10, 110:16, 112:14, 112:15, | 178:10 door 83:22, 107:5, | 48:8, 95:5, 140:15 entitled 47:5, | 3:14, 3:15. 3:16. | fac 83:3, 85:3, | 142:18, 152:14 fifteen 125:14 |
| 125:14, 112:13, 125:14, | 108:3, 124:11 | 47:7, 51:23, | 3:14, 3:15, 3:16, 4:6, 12:24, 14:8, | 97:8 | Fifth/third 92:25, |
| 169:5 | doubt 18:19, | 55:13, 57:23, | 23:11, 27:20, 28:8, 28:10, 28:19, 28:21, | face 35:19 facilitate 99:20 | 178:9 fifty 120:21 |
| discussed 24:9, | 155:10 | 122:22, 143:9, 173:2, 175:1 | 28:8, 28:10, 28:10, 28:21 | | fifty 120:21, 120:22, 126:25 |
| 52:3, 195:15 | draft 52:2, 78:19, 155:14, 171:1 | 173:2, 175:1 | 29:1, 30:14 | facilitated 39:14 facilitating | 120:22, 126:25 figure 10:11, |
| discussing 164:16, 165:13 | drafted 91:11, | envelope 97:11, 99:18, 100:23, | 29:1, 30:14, 31:19, 31:22, | 39:11, 39:21 | 50:7, 87:12 |
| discussion 7:17, | 96:13, 99:8, | 121:19, 122:5, | 46:19, 52:25, 53:7, 54:2, 55:3, | facilitation | figured 144:3 |
| 24:8, 52:22, | 122:19, 180:20, | 122:10, 122:21, | 53:/, 54:2, 55:3, | 34:10, 34:19, | figures 87:13, 87:14 |
| 69:24, 70:5, | 182:18 | 130:15. 130:19. | 56:3, 56:8, 56:10, 57:6, 57:16, | 35:13, 35:24, | file 43:11, 48:18, |
| 72:20, 88:8, 127:3, 127:7 | drafting 100:3, 175:25 | 159:23, 162:19, 163:5, 163:10, | 58:12, 58:15, | 39:3, 39:7, 39:8, 39:15, 39:22, | 81:22, 83:11, |
| discussions 7:15, | drawn 85:14 | 163:12 163:16 | 58:16, 58:19, | 40:3, 40:10, | 105:20. 105:22. |
| 34:17, 70:1, | due 4:23, 5:13, | 163:12, 163:16, 163:23, 164:1 | 59:3, 60:7, 60:9, | 40:24, 41:24, | 113:25, 134:17, 134:19, 137:1, |
| 107:21, 118:4, | 98:9, 194:9 | envelopes 162:17 | 60:12, 61:5, 61:22, 63:15, | 42:5, 45:18, | 137:9, 158:3 |
| 119:15, 119:19 | duly 4:12, 26:12, 196:7 | escrow 55:6, | 63:23 63:25 | 45:19, 45:21, 52:4, 66:13, | 137:9, 158:3, 170:20, 170:21 |
| dishonest 158:12 dispose 22:15 | duplication 138:9 | 55:17, 55:18, 55:19, 55:20 | 63:23, 63:25, 75:9, 75:14, | 68:10. 69:16. | filed 8:12, 8:13, |
| disposition 112:4, | duties 27:18 | 55:19, 55:20, 55:23, 55:25, | /5:18, /5:19, | 70:1 70:15. | 26:15, 43:7, 43:8, 60:21, 84:5, 84:6, |
| 112:5 | Dykema 149:12 | 56:7, 57:3, 57:5, 57:12, 65:2, 91:5, | 75:22, 75:24, 75:25, 76:2, 76:3, | 1 73:14. /3:23. | 60:21, 84:5, 84:6, 111:15, 112:3, |
| dispute 33:3 disputes 32:10, | | 57:12, 65:2, 91:5, | 76:10, 76:11, | 74:2, 74:10, 83:2, 83:4, 83:5, 84:24, | 112:4, 113:21, |
| | i . | 93:17, 163:7, | , , , , , , , , | ; UJ.7, UJ.J, UM.Z4, | |

| • | • | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| 114:3, 114:5, | 75:3, 75:19, | 157:21 | 105:21, 141:21, | 5:22, 6:4, 6:8, | hairs 103:12, 104:8 |
| 114:3, 114:5, 125:4, 153:22, | 75:20, 77:20, | fits 101:25 five 23:2, 40:19, | 169:1, 182:13 fully 55:21 | 6:11, 6:18, 6:22, 7:5, 10:4, 13:12, | half 10:25, |
| 153:24, 157:3, | 77:23, 78:16, 78:22, 80:2, 81:8, | 41:21, 42:13, | fully-executed | 14:5, 16:16, 16:25, 17:18, | 120:16, 138:10 |
| 184:8, 184:11 files 170:1, 170:6 | 81:16. 81:23. | 80:9, 117:15, 120:24, 147:9, | 59:4, 61:5 | 16:25, 17:18, | hammered 97:6 |
| fill 86:21 | 82:20, 83:10, | 120:24, 147:9, | furnish 24:17, 80:11, 146:2 | 17:19, 23:15, | handed 83:3, 83:4, 83:21, 134:5, |
| filled 155:1 final 57:12, 170:9 | 83:18, 84:13, 85:8, 86:21, 87:4, | 148:19 five-minute 132:25 | furnished 22:21 | 23:18, 23:18, 25:4, 25:5, 26:23, | 134:20, 136:6, |
| finalized 147:3 | 87:23. 89:16. | Flint 96:16 | future 85:22, | 27:10, 30:2, | 137:10 |
| finally 52:5 | 90:3, 90:6, 90:9, | focused 112:6 | 85:23, 168:5 | 30:12, 30:13, | handing 28:18 handle 19:18, |
| financing 92:24 | 90:13. 92:20. | FOIA 13:21, 14:1, 14:24, 150:5 | | 31:14, 33:23, 34:3, 34:6, 34:11, | 21:18 |
| fine 9:13, 19:9, | 92:21, 93:5, 95:6, 95:11, 97:21, | folder 137:1, | G | 34:23, 36:1, 36:6, 36:9, 36:14, | handled 112:8 |
| 19:22, 29:16, 29:20, 34:1, 59:8, | 98:2, 98:3, 98:7, | 137:9 | gaggle 16:13 | 36:9, 36:14, | handling 19:22 |
| 93:14, 95:8, | 98:10, 98:15, | folks 49:25, | Gary 112:14, | 36:16, 36:19, 37:5, 37:17, | handshake 100:6 handwriting |
| 101:12, 168:20, | 98:17, 99:5, 100:12, 102:3, | 151:13, 151:21 follow 24:20 | 146:7, 155:1, 165:13, 166:20, | 37:20, 37:23, | 146:18, 146:19, |
| 188:21 finish 40:15, | 102:16, 102:21, | follow 24:20, 113:16, 152:20 | 171:19 | 37:20, 37:23, 38:3, 38:5, 38:13, 39:4, 39:9, 39:24, | 146:20 |
| 64:15, 137:14, | 102:24. 103:7. | followed 24:22, | gave 23:6, 23:7, | 39:4, 39:9, 39:24, | happen 5:15, 5:23, 18:17, 138:4, |
| 152:18, 174:4, | 105:7, 105:10, | 64:19, 88:23, 109:12, 142:21 | 34+20.50:17. | 40:2, 40:4, 40:11, 40:21, 40:24. | 181:14 |
| 187:22 finished 103:17 | 105:14, 105:18, 106:15, 108:9, | follows 4:14, | 72:9, 80:20, 85:9, 93:21, 94:5, 94:6, | 40:21, 40:24, 41:4, 41:8, 41:12, | happened 19:3, |
| Fink 2:3, 3:3, | 108:17, 108:22, | 14:20, 27:13, | 94:6, 94:13, | 41:23, 42:3, | 35:23, 67:6, |
| 3:7, 4:16, 4:23, | 108:25, 109:14, | 65:17, 74:17, | 94:6, 94:13, 94:17, 97:11, | 42:10, 42:15, | 67:11, 69:21, 70:21, 70:24, |
| 5:1, 5:3, 5:8, | 109:18, 110:11, 110:14, 110:15, | 142:21, 188:1 forget 127:1, | 99:18, 104:6, 109:11, 116:18, | 42:20, 42:23, 42:25, 44:2, 44:6, | 71:9, 72:4, 72:5, |
| 5:14, 5:16, 5:20, | 118:7, 128:9, | 128:24, 129:22, | 119:11, 122:17, | 44:8, 44:12, | 72:11, 72:17, |
| 6:3, 6:13, 6:25, 7:8, 7:9, 7:13, | 128:12, 131:10, | 193:21 | 122:20, 124:13, | 44:19, 44:22, | 73:15, 88:2, 94:25, 116:16, |
| 7:18, 7:20, 9:10, | 131:12, 131:23, | forgive 120:3 forgot 79:7, | 135:16, 135:18, | 45:2, 45:6, 45:20, 46:3, 46:11, | 140:9, 164:21 |
| 10:9, 13:2, 13:4, 14:7, 14:10, | 133:21, 134:3, 134:8, 134:12, | 114:14, 140:14, | 136:10, 145:19, 147:22, 148:2, | 46:13, 46:18, | 140:9, 164:21 happy 51:12, 93:16 |
| 14:11, 15:8. | 134:15, 134:25, | 169:9 | 148:25, 152:13, 1 | 47:14, 47:22, | narm_ /3:12 |
| 15:14, 15:15, | 135:3, 135:9, | formal 95:12 | 161:22. 163:10. | 47:24, 48:12, 49:19, 50:13 | Harold 155:2, 166:20, 171:19 |
| 15:17, 16:25, 17:16, 17:21, | 135:12, 135:17, 135:22, 136:9, | formulate 45:17 forth 79:14, 87:7, | 163:20, 163:22, 178:4, 191:25 | 49:19, 50:13, 51:1, 51:4, 51:20, | Harris 7:23, |
| 18:10, 19:10, | 136:12, 136:17, | 96:11, 120:13, | gee 116:8 | 53:6, 53:11, | 10:21, 11:13, |
| 19:12, 19:16, | 136 21 137 11 | 147:1, 172:14 forty-five 82:15, | general 2:15, 8:15, 26:7, 28:14, | 53:14, 54:9, 54:12, 54:17 | 29:3, 29:6, 29:9, 43:24, 47:17, |
| 20:14, 20:18, 20:21, 21:18, | 138:14, 138:16, 138:20, 139:1, | 83:20, 123:25, | 6:15, 26:/, 28:14, 29:2, 29:5, 30:16 | 54:12, 54:17, 57:22, 59:6, 59:9, 60:15, 60:25, | 52:9. 58:3. 77:2. |
| 1 21:20. 21:23. | 139:3, 139:22, | 126:25 | 29:2, 29:5, 30:16, 34:24, 57:24 | 60:15, 60:25, | 79:5, 88:15, |
| 22:17, 22:12, 22:17, 22:22, 23:17, 23:16, 36:15 | 140:8, 140:12, | forward 38:15, | gentleman 5:14, | 61:24, 63:2, 63:5, 63:9, 63:13, | 94:23, 94:24, 94:24, 107:1. |
| 22:17, 22:22, 23:8, 23:16, 26:5, | 140:16, 140:24, 141:4, 141:7, | 112:2, 156:24 foundation 10:4, | 125:19, 160:21 gets 125:22 | 63:19, 64:2, 64:8, | 94:24, 107:1, 139:12, 146:15, |
| 26:20. 26:22. | 141:25, 142:7, | 33:23. 54:12. | aift 94:10, 94:11, | 66:9, 66:9, 66:25, 67:2, 67:18, 68:8, | 170:5, 171:20, |
| 26:20, 26:22, 27:8, 27:17, 29:1, | 142:13, 142:15, | 61:1, 61:24, 64:2, 78:1, 78:20, 80:1, | 94:13, 94:14, | 67:2, 67:18, 66:6, 68:25 69:4 69:7 | 172:3 hasn't 51:14, |
| 29:14, 29:17, 29:21, 30:9, | 143:20, 143:23, 144:1 144:13 | 81:4. 83:17. | 178:11, 178:13, 178:14 | 70:21, 70:25, | 51:15, 73:22, |
| 30:14. 31:16. | 144:1, 144:13, 144:15, 144:18, | 81:4, 83:17, 84:23, 108:14, | aiven 18:16. | 68:25, 69:4, 69:7, 70:21, 70:25, 71:3, 71:12, 71:23, 72:9, 73:3, 73:19, 74:12 | 158:20 |
| 30:14, 31:16, 31:20, 34:1, 34:6, | 145:12, 145:21, | 108:19 frame 107:13, | 35:12. 72:6. 89:7. | 71:23, 72:9, 73:3, 73:19, 74:12, | hate 103:12 haven't 84:6, |
| 34:14, 34:16, 34:20, 34:23, | 145:24, 149:19, 149:21, 149:22, | 115:25 | 90:10, 134:23, 148:13, 192:25, | 75:14, 77:18, | 121:3, 134:9, |
| 36:4, 36:8, 36:10, | 149:25, 150:24, | Frank 59:25, 60:1, | 193:1, 196:12 | 77:22, 78:1, | 147:13, 147:14 |
| 36:15, 36:18, 37:3, 37:7, 37:18, | 151:3, 151:10, | 155:6, 155:16, | gives /:1, 16:8, | 78:20, 79:25, 81:4, 81:14, | having 40:2, 40:9, 90:18, 114:18 |
| | 151:15, 151:19, 151:21, 151:25, | 156:17 frankly 71:18, | 75:7 giving 130:15, | 81:18, 82:18, | he's 7:15, 7:16, |
| 37:22, 37:25, 38:4, 38:9, 38:14, | 152:6, 152:18, | 152:3, 180:11 | 192:6 | 82:24. 83:17. | 23:25, 24:3, |
| 38:16, 40:1, 40:6, | 152:6, 152:18, 153:8, 153:14, | fraud 35:17, 68:5, | glad 8:10, 38:9, | 84:4, 84:23, 87:3, 89:14 89:18 | 33:24, 44:10, 58:21, 58:23, |
| 40:12, 40:14, 40:25, 41:2, 41:6, | 153:20, 157:13, 158:17, 158:21, | 68:23 frauds 37:19 | 75:4, 151:10, 169:2 | 89:14, 89:18, 89:20, 89:25, 90:8, 90:12, | 58:24, 76:11, |
| 41:11, 41:14, | 158:23, 159:2, | Free 1:3, 5:7, 5:9, 8:11, 8:18, | glitches 104:20 | 90:8, 90:12, | 101:12, 131:16, |
| 41:17, 41:25, | 159:7, 159:8, | 5:9, 8:11, 8:18, | global 80:7, | 92:19, 93:3, 95:3, 95:8, 97:18, | 131:19, 131:21, 131:21, 144:15, |
| 42:6, 42:19, 42:21, 42:25, | 159:11, 161:12, 164:24 165:2 | 12:16, 13:17, 15:4, 18:24, 22:5, | 84:16, 118:10, | 97:21, 98:1, 98:6, 98:8, 99:2, 101:8, | 149:19, 151:8, 165:2, 175:8, |
| 43:1. 44:4, 44:8, | 164:24, 165:2, 168:18, 168:19, | 25:19, 27:15, | 118:15, 118:18, 118:23, 120:25, | 98:8, 99:2, 101:8, | 165:2, 175:8, |
| 44:13, 44:21, 44:24, 45:4, 45:7, | 168:20, 171:7, | 28:5, 28:17, | 121:17. 125:18. | 106:14, 106:17, 108:14, 108:19, | 175:8, 175:9, 175:10, 189:7 |
| 44:24, 45:4, 45:7, | 173:12, 173:23, 174:3, 174:12, | 43:13, 48:6, 60:21, 61:18, | 126:7, 126:12, 126:17, 127:5, | 125:24, 130:21, | headache 75:7 |
| 46:1, 46:4, 46:6, 46:12, 46:15, | | 63:21, 75:10, | 127:9, 127:20. | 132:24, 133:4, 133:7, 133:25, | heads 120:17, |
| 46:20, 46:24, | 174:18, 175:20, 176:2, 176:4, | 101:2, 101:9, | 127:9, 127:20, 127:23, 128:1 | 133:7, 133:25, 135:19, 136:23, | 121:18, 130:16, 144:3 |
| 47:22, 48:1, 48:16, 49:8, 49:9, | 176:10, 176:14, 176:20, 177:1, | 135:24, 140:2, 140:4, 141:3, | God 178:22 goes 28:1 | 137:2, 137:5, | hear 66:5, 68:16 |
| 49:16, 49:22, | 177:6, 177:9, | 141:10, 141:11, | gone 97:5, 129:8, | 137:8, 139:8, | heard 15:14, |
| 49:16, 49:22, 50:9, 50:14, | 179:3. 179:6. | 142:11, 142:19, | 132:12, 136:3 | 140:7, 140:12, 140:25, 141:1, | 108:20, 167:7 hearing 43:5, |
| 50:17, 50:21, 50:24, 51:3, | 185:9, 185:13, 187:7, 187:10 | 145:10, 145:16, 145:20, 146:2, | government 111:18 governmental | 141:6, 141:23, | 111:13, 114:10, |
| 51:12. 51:16. | 187:7, 187:10, 187:14, 187:18, | 149:1, 149:4, 149:7, 149:8, | 184:10 | 142:9. 143:10. | 114:22, 115:22, |
| 51:25, 52:11, | 188:11, 188:16, | 149:7, 149:8, | grant 180:2, | 143:24, 144:10, 144:17, 144:20, | 158:10 hearsay 81:15, |
| 52:12. 52:24. | 188:19, 188:22, 189:4, 189:19, | 149:10, 149:15, 149:18, 150:5, | 180:22, 181:25 granted 184:1 | 145:17, 145:19, | 93:4, 95:4, 101:10 |
| 53:8, 53:12, 53:15, 53:18, | 189:23, 190:2, | 150:8, 150:14, 151:12, 154:2, | granting 180:16, | 145:17, 145:19, 145:22, 152:9, | heart 89:12 |
| 54:10.54:15. | 189:23, 190:2, 190:20, 190:24, | 151:12, 154:2, | 182:21, 183:5, | 153:5, 153:10, 153:17, 154:14, | Heck 149:5 held 43:5, 55:5 |
| 54:19, 59:8, | 191:4, 191:6, 192:17, 192:21, | 167:17, 169:11, 179:2 | 183:14, 185:2 grossly 149:2 | 154:23. 158:15. | hell 132:1, 193:12 |
| 59:10, 60:18, 61:4, 62:1, 62:2, | 193:4, 193:6, | Freedom 12:17, | grounds 118:24, | 158:19, 158:20, 158:25, 159:4, | Hello 14:21, |
| 63:4, 63:8, 63:12, | 193:4, 193:6, 193:9, 193:12, | 12:18, 12:24, | 118:25, 142:14 | 158:25, 159:4, 159:6, 159:10 | 65:22, 65:23 helped 94:1 |
| 63:13, 63:14, 63:20, 64:5, | 193:18, 193:23, 194:1, 194:3, | 13:16, 43:18, 48:6, 60:21, | guarantees 5:15, 5:18 | 159:6, 159:10, 159:13, 161:13, | here's 8:15, 8:16, |
| 64:15. 65:12. | 194:11, 194:15, | 61:18, 62:12, | auess 18:18. | 164:21, 165:21, | 18:13, 74:3 |
| 66:4, 66:8, 66:15, | 195:20 | 62:21, 63:20, | 40:19, 80:16, 90:23, 106:13, | 168:17, 168:19, 168:22, 168:25, | hereby |
| 66:20, 66:22, | Fink's 5:16, 5:19, 69:13, 74:1, 99:3, | 75:11, 90:11, 140:18, 140:19 | 90:23, 10b:13, 108:9 119:5 | 169:4, 173:14, | hereof 172:7 |
| 66:22, 67:9, 67:19, 68:11, | 101:9, 126:1, | fresh 191:14 | 108:9, 119:5, 156:21, 185:15 | 169:4, 173:14, 173:15, 174:8, | hereunto 196:19 |
| 68:13, 69:2, 69:3, | 144:8 | Friday 35:3, 43:6, | guessing 110:6 | 174:15, 174:20, 174:23, 175:13, | Herschel 2:3, 15:15, 66:22, |
| 69:6, 69:12, 69:18, 70:12, | fire 107:25, 108:1 firm 77:4, 145:8, | 49:4, 66:24, 68:9, 69:1, 71:19, | guys 169:20 | 182:2, 186:21, | 159:7 |
| 71:17, 72:2, 73:1, | 146:15, 146:24, | 86:25, 155:25, | Н | 186:24, 192:22 hacked 161:24 | Herschel's 149:12 hey 89:5, 113:13, |
| [/3:9, /3:21, [| 147:19, 149:12, 155:21 | 156:6 front 37:8, 47:6, | | hadn't 129:8, | 164:1, 186:18 |
| 74:11, 74:14, 74:18, 74:25, | firmly 152:14, | 68:15, 95:7, | Ha 2:12, 3:5, 4:18, 4:22, 5:2, | 135:8, 135:10 | hiccup 57:14 |
| , | , | <u> </u> | 7.10, 7.22, 3.2, | <u> </u> | D 1 to 106 |

| • | | 00 | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| highlight 20:24 | include 128:23 | 30:2, 34:11, 36:4, | invite 57:15 | 111:19, 111:23, | Kwame 7:25, 11:17, 18:5, 26:7, 29:22, |
| highlighted 159:19, 160:1 | included 128:15 including 36:22, | 37:3, 37:5, 37:17, 37:18, 37:22, | involve 151:19 involved 43:21, | 112:1, 112:2, 112:17, 112:25, 113:14, 113:17, | 30:3, 30:10, |
| highlighting | 56:13, 56:22, 136:24, 151:25 | 38:14, 39:4, 39:9, | 46:23, 47:1. | 113:14, 113:17, | 30:3, 30:10, 48:24, 54:6, |
| 159:17 | 136:24, 151:25 | 39:24, 40:1, | 47:10, 48:7, 84:20, 92:6, 92:7, | 113:23, 114:1, 114:2, 114:4, | 57:17, 59:11, 60:8, 77:6, 77:16, |
| himself 5:10, 84:24, 141:14 | incorporate 79:15 incriminating | 40:21, 40:23, 41:2, 41:4, 41:8, | 97:17, 102:5, | 114:8, 115:20, | /8:1/. LU5:21. |
| hire 149:12 | 97:23 | 42:3, 42:15, | 152:4 | 116:3. 119:22. | 139:12, 139:13, |
| hired 101:23 | incur 123:8 | 42:21, 42:23, | involvement 95:20, | 123:9. 133:16. | 155:2, 171:20, |
| hires 149:10 | indeed 27:20 | 44:4, 44:14, 44:22, 45:4, | 101:6, 103:8 involves 101:1, | 133:24, 141:22, 142:25, 144:7, | 183:6, 185:3, 193:5 |
| hold 19:24, 23:8, 54:8 | indicate 156:25 indicated 5:3, | 45:20, 46:4, 46:8, | 151:17, 151:21, | 150:3, 153:22, | 233.3 |
| HON 1:9 | 5:8, 7:14, 16:25, | 46:13, 47:24, 49:7, 50:9, 50:14, | 151:17, 151:21, 151:24, 177:15 | 150:3, 153:22, 153:25, 154:5, | L |
| honest 167:8, | 17:2, 69:8, 69:12, | 49:7, 50:9, 50:14, | involving 39:2, 150:17 | 156:24, 157:2, 157:4, 158:9, | |
| 167:9 honestly 50:4, | 116:2, 130:23, 148:24, 156:23. | 50:24, 51:3, 53:6, 54:10, 54:17. | irrefutable 122:5, | 164:7, 164:22, | label 137:19 labeled 28:3, |
| 136:6 | 148:24, 156:23, 157:9, 161:13, | 54:10, 54:17, 57:5, 59:8, 59:24, | 122:6 | 165:6, 165:9, | 28:13, 135:17, |
| HONIGMAN 2:1 | 161:17, 172:23, | 60:15, 60:17, | irrelevancy 106:17 | 165:15, 165:16, | 177:5. 192:1 |
| honor 15:15, | 174:10 indicates 5:25, | 60:25, 63:2, 63:12, 64:8, | irrelevant 140:3, 140:18, 141:9, | 165:21, 165:25, 166:1, 166:4, | lack 103:9 language 33:12, |
| 16:21, 17:11, 17:18, 18:10, | 157:1 | 64:17.64:23. | 145:15 | 166:9. 166:18. | 46:10, 79:18, |
| 19:16. 20:14. | indicating 28:24, | 65:6, 6/:19, 69:3, | isn't 35:17, | 167:2, 167:21, | 105:23, 147:2, |
| 21:25, 22:19, 23:15, 23:17, | 177:8 indirectly 9:17, | 69:19, 71:13, 73:4, 74:25, | 136:4, 173:19, 180:8, 188:24 | 168:11, 169:2, 169:10, 169:12, | 147:25 Tater 12:15, 13:8, |
| 23:18, 26:5. | 196:17 | 77:11, 79:12, | issue 5:12, 8:4, | 169:14, 169:16, | 13:10. 33:21. |
| 23:18, 26:5, 26:20, 26:23, | individual 9:11 | 79:25, 81:14, | 18:6, 24:23, | 169:22, 179:10, 179:21, 179:25, | 13:10, 33:21, 34:24, 35:19, |
| 27:8, 27:10, 35:8, 65:25, 66:5, 66:9, | individually | 81:18, 82:19, 82:24, 83:20 | 71:24, 72:1, 72:22, 73:6, | 180.5 180.5 | 46:17, 48:23, 56:5, 61:9, 75:21, |
| 66:20, 66:23, | 77:16, 77:17 individuals 43:16 | 82:24, 83:20, 84:4, 89:14, 90:1, | 76:18, 83:6, | 181:3, 181:7, 181:25, 182:14, | 75:22, 102:13, |
| 67:20, 68:8, | information 6:1, | 90:3. 90:19. 93:3. | 92:25, 140:5, | 181:25, 182:14, | [125:3, 191:14 |
| 68:11, 68:14, | 12:17, 12:18, | 96:1, 96:3, 96:4, | 142:1, 142:2, 153:13, 155:21, | 182:15, 183:19, 186:3, 186:14, | laughed 111:20 |
| 68:25, 69:7, 69:11, 69:18, | 12:19, 12:24, 13:16, 27:25, | 97:4, 98:9, 99:2, 100:19, 102:23, | 155:25. 156:1 | 186:15 | law 2:1, 2:6, 2:10, 89:3, |
| 70:22, 70:25, | 43:19, 48:6, | 103:24. 104:1. | 155:25, 156:1 issued 15:22, | iudae's 136:1. | 101:14, 123:2, |
| 70:22, 70:25, 71:3, 71:4, 71:12, | 60:21, 61:19, | 104:12, 104:19, 109:14, 110:2, | 61:12, 86:8, | 140:1, 141:8, 145:14 | 101:14, 123:2, 132:6, 141:12, |
| 72:8, 73:3, 73:9, 73:19, 74:11, | 62:13, 62:22, 63:21, 65:14, | 110:21, 114:13, | 105:18, 139:10, 145:8, 145:8, | iudament 8:22. | 191:11 lawsuit 7:24, |
| 74:12, 158:8 | 75:11, 90:11, | 115:10, 117:13, | 154:13, 155:24, | 9:18, 9:21, 11:22, | 10:3, 12:25, |
| hope 154:15 | 98-18 100-20 | 121:10. 121:12. | 157:6, 181:15, | 118:4 judgments 9:23 | 28:10, 77:7, 95:24, 101:7, |
| Hopefully 26:24 hoping 26:25 | 114:25, 122:14, 140:19, 140:20, | 123:15, 123:18, 123:20, 124:18, | 182:14, 186:3, 191:13 | jury 148:7, | 95:24, 101:7, 105:21, 140:5, |
| hotel 107:5 | 142:19, 146:2, | 125:9, 125:24, 126:15, 128:16, | itself 6:4, 9:16, | 157:10, 158:2, | 146:6, 150:7, |
| hour 11:6, 39:18, | 154:5, 1/9:1, | 126:15, 128:16, | 30:12, 32:1, 32:5, | 158:6, 164:4, | 150:8 |
| 50:15 hours 120:16, | 184:16, 189:19 informed 126:6, | 129:5, 129:16, 130:12, 130:14, | 36:20, 44:23, 76:17, 78:15 | 179:10, 179:13, 179:14, 179:16 | lawyer 5:14, 16:19, 98:12, |
| 171:22, 172:3, | 126:10, 126:10 | 134:10, 134:15. | , 0.17, , 0.125 | justified 11:7 | 104:5, 131:22, |
| 191:12 | initialed 133:12, | 135:1, 135:7, 135:15, 135:22, | J | justifying 8:24 | 175:11 |
| However 154:11, | 133:18, 134:21 initials 30:24 | 135:15, 135:22, | ~ | | lawyers 16:13, |
| 171:9 hundred 11:3, | initiated 169:18, | 137:18, 138:2, 140:8, 141:25, | James 2:8, 17:12 January 1:16, 4:2, | K | 28:3, 31:10, 149:10 |
| 11:10, 11:14, | 169:18 | 142:5. 143:13. | 8:12, 43:6, 196:22 | K/B 55:10 | lead 175:10, |
| 11:15. 41:21. | injuries 85:14 | 148:15, 150:18, 150:24, 151:6, | Jesus 169:3 | keeper 155:3 | 175:11 |
| 42:13, 80:9, 80:9, 84:17, 117:8, | injury 85:24 inquired 70:19 | 151:11, 151:23, | JNOV 158:4 job 26:6 | keeping 78:5 kept 33:9, 62:24, | learned 75:22, 84:21, 157:19 |
| 117:9, 117:11, | inserted 78:12 | 152:10, 152:17, | John 84:13, 125:20 | 170:8 | leased 61:11 |
| 117:9, 117:11, 117:15, 117:16, | insist 16:13 | 158:23, 159:6, | Johnson 84:13, | key 57:2, 104:6 | least 5:9, 64:16, |
| 117:18, 120:21, | insisted 7:8, 91:10 | 160:23, 162:8, 163:13, 167:24, | 84:19, 85:3, 85:4, 125:20, 127:20, | keys 56:19, 56:25 Kil 12:7, 146:21 | 71:23, 75:21, 81:11, 82:16, |
| 120:22, 120:24, 126:25, 128:23, | inspection 184:9 | 167:25, 168:18, | 127:22, 129:20, | Kilpatrick 7:25, | 86:11, 106:20 |
| 129:3, 129:7, | inspectors 108:1 | 171:1, 171:4, | 130:6 | 8:5, 9:19, 11:17, | leave |
| 132:16, 132:18 husband 93:25 | instead 123:11 instruct 24:7 | 171:5, 173:18, 174:15, 174:18, | Johnson's 128:1 | 11:22, 11:24, 18:5, 26:7, 29:23, | 99:11, 175:22 leaving 67:1 |
| husband's 93:13 | instructed 181:6, | 176:7, 176:14, | joint 11:22 Jones 107:15 | 30:3. 30:10. | legal 8:20, 8:24, |
| | 195:10 | 176:7, 176:14, 177:4, 179:18, | JR 1:9 | 30:25. 48:25. | legal 8:20, 8:24, 18:3, 18:6, 34:4, |
| I | instructions 109:12, 116:18, | 179:20, 180:19, 180:24, 182:17 | <pre>judge 7:6, 14:21, 14:21, 14:23,</pre> | 53:21, 54:7, 54:14, 54:21, | 34:7, 84:11, 91:18, 109:12, |
| idea 10:5, 78:18, | 152:21 | 180:24, 182:17, 182:23, 182:25, | 15:13. 15:16. | 58:20, 59:12, | 149:7, 173:5, |
| 78:23, 84:3, 97:9, 117:10, 124:21, | integration 31:10 | 187:12, 189:7, 189:11, 189:18, | 15:13, 15:16, 17:10, 17:17, | 58:20, 59:12, 60:23, 77:6, | 149:7, 173:5, 190:21, 191:4 |
| 117:10, 124:21, | intelligent 22:1 | 189:21, 189:18, 189:21, 190:22, | 18:8, 18:13, 19:17, 20:2, 20:5, | 77:16, 78:18, 96:10, 100:20, | less 75:4, 100:1, 133:9, 143:8, |
| 124:24, 124:25, 125:2, 131:6, | intend 24:22, 35:9, 36:10, 67:10 | 194:3, 195:6 | | 105:21, 122:23, | 144:6 |
| 145:10, 145:18, | intended 23:10, | interpret 33:11, | 21:22, 22:7, 22:14, 23:4, | 139:12, 139:13, 146:16, 146:16, | let's 6:5, 13:2, |
| 145:22, 148:25 | 33:9, 83:11, 109:22, 190:8 | 154:9 interpretation | 22:14, 23:4, | 146:16, 146:16, 155:2 171:21 | 38:14, 41:12, 42:9, 45:7, 45:9, |
| identical 106:1, 185:19 | intending 6:20 intent 57:13, 89:2 | 33:5 | 23:14, 23:25, 24:14, 24:21, | 155:2, 171:21 Kilpatrick's 8:23, | 45:10, 48:17, |
| identified 8:14, | intent 57:13, 89:2 | interpreted 33:6, | 25:8, 25:11, 25:16, 26:1, | 12:8, 57:17, 60:9, | 45:10, 48:17, 49:9, 53:16, 56:9, |
| 79:23, 102:6 | intention 15:24, 21:10, 174:23 | 36:23 interpreting | 25:16, 26:1, | 76:4, 146:22, 180:3, 180:17, | 59:1, 59:2, 75:2, 76:23, 76:23, |
| identifies 102:14 identify 12:23, | interest 10:23. | 182:17 | 26:16, 26:21, 26:24, 27:9, 34:5, | 183:6, 185:3, | 81:23. 98:1/. |
| 28:20, 29:4, | 11:1, 78:5, 128:6, | interrupt 40:7, | 34:13, 34:16, | 193:5 | 115:24, 115:25, |
| 28:20, 29:4, 30:15, 87:1, | 128:15, 128:16, 132:9 | 40:13, 42:7, 114:13 | 34:16, 34:21, 34:25, 35:5, 35:6, | Kilpatrick/beatt 121:21 | 137:14, 142:6, 155:11, 177:2, |
| 106:2, 144:6, 154:24, 160:9 | interested 35:7. | interrupting 49:25 | 35:18, 37:8, | Kline 149:11 | 183:4 |
| 1d10t 6:/ | 39:20, 67:11, | interruption 40:7, | 37:11, 38:1, | knock 83:22 | letter 28:10, 65:7, 86:24, 87:5, |
| II 31:3, 95:15 III 53:22, 101:1 | 39:20, 67:11, 72:3, 72:11, 72:17, 92:15, 105:16, 106:10, | 64:11 interruptions | 38:10. 39:16. | knowing 50:5, 106:11, 170:20, | 65:7, 86:24, 87:5, 104:3, 104:18, |
| III 53:22, 101:1 illegal 8:8 | 105:16. 106:10. | 16:12, 27:1 | 41:3, 41:6, 41:9, 41:9, 41:16, 43:5, | 186:16 | 107:20. 107:23. |
| immediately 112:5 | 196:16 | intervene 25:17, | 45:10, 45:11, | knowledge 8:18, | 108:2, 109:13, 109:23, 116:22, |
| implicating 145:25 | interesting 65:14, | 25:22, 26:2 introduce 112:19, | 45:10, 45:11, 48:11, 49:3, 50:1, | 9:14, 11:17, 13:5, | 109:23, 116:22, |
| impression 126:21, | 168:12 interests 89:12 | 135:25 | 65:23, 65:23, 66:3, 66:6, 66:21, | 44:1, 44:25, 49:4, 76:13, 76:16, | 117:22, 142:21, 158:18, 159:14, |
| 126:22 in-camera 184:9, | Internal 107:14 | introduced 23:1, | 67 16 69 19 | 80:25. 88:7. | 160:11 |
| 184:12, 184:22, | Interposing 4:18, | 84:24, 105:3, 135:16 | 70:23, 71:1, 71:5, | 100:14, 104:24, | letting 193:24 |
| 186:1 inappropriate | 4:22, 5:20, 6:9, 7:10, 9:11, 10:16, | introducing 136:2 | /1:13, /2:18, | 100:14, 104:24, 131:3, 139:14, 140:22, 147:18, | Lewis 155:12 liability 119:15, |
| 174:6 | 12:3, 12:10, 12:11, 13:12, | invasive 141:11, | 73:2, 73:4, 73:17, 74:3, 74:13, | 147:20, 148:12, | 119.18 |
| inch 138:10, | 12:11, 13:12, | 145:16, 150:13 investigation | 83:11. 94:21. | 153:5 | lied 100:20, |
| 138:10 inclined 120:19 | 20:16, 22:14, 23:16, 29:19, | 157:18 | 95:8, 95:10, 105:22, 111:14, | known 26:3 knows 13:14, 50:9 | 123:6, 123:21, 157:23 |
| TOTAL TOTAL TRANSPORT | ·-·-,·, | | واتلاه تشنشنشا وبمسدد ترييسا | | |

(248) 737-6691

| | • | • | | | | |
|-----|---|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Γ | Tieu 184:6, 184:7 | 101:18, 102:2, | 151:11, 151:17, | messaging 61:11, | 10:19, 10:19, | 132:20 |
| | Lieutenant 39:2 | 103:1, 105:1, | 151:20, 151:23, | 78:3, 92:5, | 12:1, 55:22, 93:9, | negotiating 39:19, 47:1, 84:15, |
| | likes 119:23 | 107:2, 107:3, 107:3, 107:5, | 152:2, 152:6, | 108:11, 108:16, | 94:3, 94:13, | 125:18, 147:2 |
| | limit 74:6, 106:20 | | 152:7, 173:25, | 183:7, 184:5, 185:4 | 94:17, 94:18, | negotiation 93:6, |
| - 1 | limited 106:18, | 107:17, 107:24, | 174:5, 174:14, 175:16, 175:21, | messenger 67:1 | 94:20, 148:5, 148:6, 148:11, | 126:17 |
| | 119:20 | 108:11, 112:12, 112:13, 122:6, | 175:24, 176:3, | met 34:10, 104:6, | 192:14 | negotiations 91:9, |
| | lips 118:21 lives 168:6 | 123:6. 125:6. | 176.6 176.11 | 167:11, 172:19, | month 105:19 | 91:13, 125:22, |
| | living 93:25 | 123:6, 125:6, 125:12, 125:16, | 176:18, 176:21, | 172:19 | months 39:1, | 126:9 |
| | loan 94:10, 178:15 | 125:17, 126:6, | 1//:4, 1//:8, | MI 2:2, 2:7, 2:11, | 106:25, 117:16, | Nelthrope 7:22, |
| | loaned 94:6 | 127:8, 127:19, | 177:10, 177:12, | 2:17, 196:24 Michael 1:15 | 117:17 Moore 94:22 | 10:21, 30:17, 30:20, 43:23, |
| | LOCATION 1:18 | 127:25, 139:11, | 179:4, 179:7, | Michigan 1:1, 1:4, | Morgan 131:8 | 47:18. 52:8. 58:3. |
| - | loggers 120:17, | 139:13, 145:5, 146:16, 146:21, | 179:9, 180:15, 182:5, 183:4, | 1:20, 4:1, 38:7, | Morganroth 131:9, | 47:18, 52:8, 58:3, 77:2, 79:5, 79:19, |
| | 121:18, 130:16 logic 154:9 | 147:3, 147:7, | 183:22, 185:14, | 43:18, 66:18, | 131:10, 131:11 | 88:15, 107:14, |
| | longer 113:5, | 152:1, 153:1, | 185:20, 186:25, | 106:2, 140:18, | morning 5:11, 15:25, 20:12, 49:4 | 140:10, 146:14, |
| | 113:17 | 157:23, 165:12, | 187:5, 187:9, | 140:19, 141:12, | 15:25, 20:12, 49:4 | 147:23, 154:4, 155:2, 166:20, |
| | Longley 149:11 | 157:23, 165:12, 165:12, 171:14, | 187:12, 187:16, | 196:1, 196:21 middle 91:24 | mortgage 93:12, | 167:22, 168:1, |
| | looking 89:4, | 1/1:20, 1/2:10, | 187:20, 188:8, | Mike 22:19, 65:25, | 93:13, 94:3, 178:8 mother's 94:16 | 169:13, 171:20, |
| | 110:18 | 178:4, 178:10, | 188:12, 188:18, 188:20, 189:3, | 131:10 | motion 25:17. | 171:22, 172:2 |
| | Tooks 53:20, 155:5, 167:6, | 178:14, 180:3, 180:16, 183:5, | 189:5, 189:25, | Mile 1:19 | 25:22, 26:2, 43:7, | Nelthrope's 112:15 |
| | 185:18 | 185:3 | 190:3. 190:22. | MILLER 2:1 | 44:16. 69:1. 69:2. | neutral 75:4 |
| | lost 114:4, 166:2 | mavor's 8:15. | 191:2. 191:5. | million 8:21, | 69:9, 69:25, 70:4, | news 2:9, 5:8, |
| | lucky 84:9 | 26:3, 55:1, 55:23, | 191:7, 191:16, 192:12, 192:14, | 9:18, 9:21, 9:24, | 70:14, 71:19, | 6:14, 6:23, 6:24, 10:6, 16:1, 18:24, |
| | lying 124:25, | 55:24, 56:1, 58:9, | 192:12, 192:14, | 10:1, 10:8, 10:10, 10:15, 10:23, | 71:21, 71:22, 71:24, 80:12, | 25:18, 25:20, |
| | 153:3 | 63:18, 83:16, | 192:16, 193:3, 193:7, 193:10, | 11:2. 11:4. 11:8. | 81:21. 81:22. | 66:8, 127:24, |
| | 1.4 | 83:18, 91:20, 97:23, 97:24, | 193:14. 193:20. | 11:2, 11:4, 11:8, 11:9, 11:12, | 81:24, 81:25, | 146:8 |
| - | M | 97:23, 97:24, 98:21, 102:8, | 194:8, 194:14. | 11:12, 11:15, | 82:2, 82:7, 82:9, | newspaper 26:4, |
| - [| ma'am 154:22, | 102:15, 103:20, | 194:17, 194:21, | 99:22, 99:24, | 82:13, 82:25, 83:1, 91:11, | 93:15, 100:25, 131:13 |
| | 170:2, 190:4 | 157:25 | 195:6, 195:19 McPhail's 26:6 | 99:25, 100:1, 123:8, 123:11, | 97:11, 111:13, | nine 8:21, 9:21, |
| - 1 | machine 78:3 | McCargo 30:22, 30:25, 40:17, | MCR 16:8, 34:20, | 128:7, 128:21, | 111:16, 111:17, | 10:1, 10:8, 10:10, |
| - [| mail 56:5 | 40:18, 56:19, | 36:19 | 129:2, 129:12, | 112:4, 113:21, | 84:17 |
| | MAIN 2:7 make-up 158:1 | 59:11, 79:6, | means 171:10, | 131:16, 132:7, | 113:22. 113:25. | nineteen 190:18 |
| - 1 | makes 63:16, 74:5, | 80:11, 80:22, | 196:9 | 132:10, 132:13, | 114:3, 114:4, | Noblett 1:22, |
| - [| 142:15, 144:1 | 81:13, 82:14, | meant 106:7, | 175:1 mind 42:25, 57:9, | 114:6, 114:11, 114:16, 114:20, | 196:23 nobody 13:13, |
| 1 | making 16:17, 17:2, 135:11, | 82:21, 83:8, | 124:22, 124:24 meantime 100:2 | 104:16, 107:25, | 115:21, 121:19, | 91:22, 112:23, |
| - 1 | 17:2, 135:11, | 83:14, 83:21, 83:23 84:1 | meat 53:16 | 157:22, 189:24 | 122:16, 122:19, | 129:12, 129:14, |
| ļ | 189:10 | 83:23, 84:1, 84:15, 84:21, | media 10:6, 10:7, | mine 90:5, 106:1, | 123:5, 123:14, | 147:21, 148:5 |
| | Manoogian 107:9 Mansion 107:9 | 92:9, 93:1, 93:2, 95:1, 96:9, 96:20, | 24:5, 24:8, 24:24 | 131:23 | 157:4, 158:10, | nobody's 91:25, |
| - 1 | mark 19:6, 28:8, | 95:1, 96:9, 96:20, | media's 9:25 | minute 9:20, 51:7, | 174:10, 180:22, | 94:12 non-disclosure |
| - 1 | 28:13, 43:11, | 96:21, 97:12, | mediation 34:19, | 75:16, 76:6, 81:25, 89:15, | 180:25, 181:1, 181:25, 182:1, | 15:23, 16:6, 17:14 |
| | 154:17, 183:2, | 98:24, 101:15, 101:20, 102:10, | 35:6, 35:8, 35:22, 36:21, 67:4, 67:7, | 94:23, 123:25, | 183:6, 184:1, | noncompensation |
| | 183:4 | 102:11, 102:11, | 70:8, 70:9 | 147:5, 147:5, | 185:3 | 86:4, 86:8 |
| i , | marked 3:11, 4:5, 12:14, 12:15, | 102:11, 102:11, 105:2, 120:4, | mediations 70:11 | 168:21, 179:3 | motioned 114:10 | nondisclosure |
| - | - 12:21. 23:10 1 | 120:10, 122:20, | meet 172:13 | minutes 51:2, | motions 18:25, | 88:17 none 15:11, |
| | 31:4, 52:13, | 124:1, 124:2, | meeting 34:15, | 82:15, 83:20, | 112:4, 157:21, 157:25, 158:3, | 119:16, 131:5, |
| - 1 | 53:12, 57:16, | 124:2, 124:13, | 79:23, 96:15 meetings 131:5 | 84:8, 125:15, 150:23, 169:21 | 158:4. 158:14. | 134:4, 138:5 |
| - 1 | 85:10, 86:23, 87:4, 133:5, | 124:14, 124:14, 124:19, 124:21, | members 10:7 | misconduct 107:15 | 180:3, 180:17 | nonearnings 86:4 |
| | | 125:23, 126:5, | memorialize 52:3 | misleading 180:21 | 180:3, 180:17 move 37:1, 37:4, | nonparty 25:21 |
| | 154:20, 183:15 marriage 196:14 | 125:23, 126:5, 127:4, 127:7, | memory 81:13 | misplaced 5:17, | 3/:/, 42:5, 42:20, | nor 55:25 normal 11:5, 49:23 |
| | Marsden 184:5 | 127:17, 130:15, | mention 7:13, | 8:22 misrepresent 72:14 | 63:3, 63:6, 63:9, 81:18, 95:4, 95:7, | normally 181:13 |
| - | Martin 107:16 | 130:19, 138:8, | 11:21, 34:15, 140:14, 142:25 | missing 135:3, | 140:15 | Northwest 184:6 |
| 1 | match 137:13, | 146:20, 147:3, 147:10, 148:19, | mentioned 7:11 | 135:4, 135:19, | moved 93:24. | notarial 196:20 |
| | 137:15 material 31:13 | 148:23, 163:15, | merged 52:5 | 135:23 | 167:12, 167:12 mugged 5:21 | notarized 30:20 |
| | materials 16:5 | 164:1, 165:24, | message 100:15, | Mississippi 101:4 | mugged 5:21 | Notary 196:3, 196:24 |
| | matter 4:8, 7:7, | 166:21, 170:15, | 112:12, 112:13, | misspoke 9:20, 58:13, 155:23 | multiple 85:15, | note 6:6, 26:6, |
| ١ | 14:24. 16:24. | 174:11, 174:17, 174:22, 175:8 McCargo's 85:2, | 112:14, 120:24, 165:12, 174:9, | mistake 146:7. | 85:16 multitasking | 63:23, 131:8 |
| | 19:19, 19:23, 33:5, 42:17, | McCargo s 85:2. | 174:11 | 195:17 | 110:21 | 63:23, 131:8 noted 21:23, |
| | 33:3, 42:1/, | 121:23 | maccanac 33:17 | mistaken 155:25, | Munday 155:13 | 26:12, 126:4, 140:17, 140:24, |
| | 42:18, 53:20, 55:20, 89:10, | MCI 184:4 | 54:3, 54:8, 55:7, 55:8, 55:11, | 159:3 | Municipal 18:22 | 14U:1/, 14U:24, 141·6 142·12 |
| | 101:7, 125:9, | McPhail 2:15, 3:6, | 55:8, 55:11, | mistruths 146:4 misunderstanding | myself 16:10, 34:21, 51:6, | 141:6, 142:13, 143:24, 144:18, |
| | 101:7, 125:9, 150:5, 195:23, 196:6, 196:17 | 3:8, 4:20, 6:6, 6:9, 7:10, 7:12, | 56:13, 56:22, 61:2, 61:10, | 149:3 | 142:17, 145:25 | 145:17, 194:16, |
| | 196:6, 196:17 | 8:10, 8:12, 9:4, | 62:14, 63:17. | | , | 194:19 |
| | matter's 186:18 matters 7:16, | 14:10. 14:12. | 62:14, 63:17, 64:24, 64:25, 76:15, 78:2, 78:4, | Mitchell 53:22, | N | notes 21:2, 21:5, |
| | 55:9, 177:22 | 16:15, 16:24, 20:16, 41:15, | 76:15, 78:2, 78:4, | 54:7, 56:6, 61:9, | | 85:7, 96:11 nothing 4:13, |
| | 55:9, 177:22 maybe 24:6, 30:24, | 20:16, 41:15, | 80:13, 80:15, 81:1, 81:10, | 101:1, 101:11, 101:23, 102:14, | named 101:1 | 5:25, 12:7, 71:6, |
| | 68:22. 76:2. | 44:10, 45:9, 49:7, 49:10, 49:17, | 81:12, 82:6 | 102:18, 103:19, | names 142:2 naming 56:6 | 71:10, 91:3, |
| | 92:10, 97:4, 102:21, 120:20, | 49.20 50.3 | 81:12, 82:6, 82:13, 84:21, 88:2, 91:1, 91:4, 92:7, 97:7, 97:9, | 103:21, 103:22, | narrow 106:24, | 107:18, 185:21, |
| | 122.21, 120.20, | 50:12, 50:16, | 88:2, 91:1, 91:4, | 104:6, 104:9, | 141:15, 142:18, | 194:5, 194:23 notice 57:17, |
| | 122:3, 147:9, 153:2, 186:7, 190:25, 190:25 mayor 7:25, 8:5, | 50:12, 50:16, 50:20, 50:23, 81:6, 85:17, 89:19, 89:23, | 92:7, 97:7, 97:9, | 104:25, 105:4, | 152:13 | 87·11 95·13 |
| | 190:25, 190:25 | 81:6, 85:17, | 97:15. 101:5. | 105:6, 159:25, | NATIONAL 2:2 | 87:11, 95:13, 103:1, 103:4 |
| | mayor 7:25, 8:5, | 09:19, 09:45, 133:12 134:1 | 101:17, 106:4, 106:12, 107:8, | 162:24, 163:20, 163:21, 163:22, | nature 79:21, 184:21 | noticed 114:22, |
| | 8:7, 8:9, 8:19, 9:3, 9:8, 9:8, | 133:12, 134:1, 134:4, 134:10, | 107:11, 107:20. | 163:25, 167:3, | necess 87:13 | 115:22 |
| | 9:3, 9:8, 9:8, 9:18, 11:24, 12:8, | 134:13, 134:17, | 107:11, 107:20, 107:24, 108:12, | 167:6, 167:12, | necessarily 87:13, | notification 58:9 |
| | 25:13. 25:23. | 135:1, 135:7, | 109:7, 109:15, 109:25, 111:3, | 167:14, 167:20 Mitchell's 101:6, | 87:19 | notified 139:15 notify 139:9, |
| | 26:7. 48:2. 48:22. | 135:10. 136:4. | 109:25, 111:3, | 103:8, 145:4, | necessary 103:12 | 172:8, 187:2, |
| | 53:21, 54:6, 54:13, 54:21, | 136:11, 136:14, 136:19, 136:25, | 111:11, 111:19, 111:22, 112:1, | 167:14 | needed 94:4, 108:7 needs 51:15 | 188:3 |
| | 54:13, 54:21, | 137:3. 137:6. | 1 117:11 117:19. | mock 124:7, 124:8, | negatively 185:12 | notifyina 58:23 |
| | 57:17, 58:5, 58:20, 60:8, | 137:3, 137:6, 137:9, 137:18, | 112:20, 113:1, | 124:10 | negotiate 41:19, | notoriety 54:4 |
| | 60:23.61:8. | 137:24. 138:2. | 113:2, 113:11, | moment 36:2, | 43:20, 80:7, | November 14:9, 27:21, 29:10, |
| | 61:17, 65:4, 75:23, 76:3, 77:5, | 138:15, 138:18, 138:22, 139:4, | 112:20, 113:1, 113:2, 113:11, 113:23, 116:25, 117:2, 117:3, | 45:10, 48:13, 102:6 | 126:11, 127:4, 127:9, 127:19, | 30:19, 48:23. |
| | 75:23 76:3 77:5 | 130:44, | 121.21 122.10 | Monday 35:2, | 128.1 128.14 | 30:19, 48:23, 54:7, 54:23, 58:25, 76:22, |
| | 70.10 00.11 | 139:6. 147:5 | 1 121.21. 123.13. | | | |
| | 79:18, 82:11, | 139:6, 142:5, 143:22, 144:14, | 121:21, 123:19, 124:24, 132:11, | 35:10, 67:3, | 129:21 | 58:25, 76:22, |
| | 79:18, 82:11, 84:10, 85:3. | 139:6, 142:5, 143:22, 144:14, 149:20, 149:24, | 124:24, 132:11, 153:13, 156:24, | 35:10, 67:3, 67:13, 72:7 | 128:1, 128:14, 129:21 negotiated 46:9, | 58:25, 76:22, 87:6, 90:16, |
| | 79:18, 82:11, 84:10, 85:3, 90:24, 93:21, 94:1, 94:8, 94:12, 94:13, 96:10, | 139:6, 142:5, 143:22, 144:14, 149:20, 149:24, 150:16, 150:18, 151:2, 151:6, | 124:24, 132:11, | 35:10, 67:3, | 129:21 negotiated 46:9, 46:10, 98:23, 99:8, 100:10, | 58:25, 76:22, 87:6, 90:16, 103:10, 142:22, 192:24 |

| • | Deposition | OI WIICHALL ST | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| null 172:15, | 58:18, 58:22, | 181:9, 182:13, | 31:17, 31:19, | 37:5, 43:14, 66:13, 153:11 | 12:17, 13:17, 15:4, 18:24, 22:5, |
| 172:20 numbered 133:13, | 59:4, 60:8, 60:20, 60:20, 61:5, | 182:14, 182:24, 183:5, 183:8, | 31:21, 33:13, 61:6, 77:12, | photocopies | 25:19, 27:15. |
| 134:21, 136:12 | 61:13, 61:20, 62:11, 63:15, | 184:12, 184:13, | 61:6, 77:12, 77:21, 92:15, | 156:15, 156:18 phrase 171:15 | 28:6, 28:17, 43:13, 48:6. |
| numbers 3:12, 3:16, 4:6, 52:21, | 62:11, 63:15, 63:22, 63:22, | 184:21, 185:2, 185:5, 185:17, | 92:20, 172:14, 173:1 | physical 85:23 | 43:13, 48:6, 60:21, 61:18, |
| 87:18, 99:15, | 63 • 23 63 • 24 . | 185:17, 185:21, 185:24, 186:3, | paralegal 79:6, | pick 94:1, 104:16, 120:3 | 63:22, 75:10, 101:2, 101:9, |
| 135:20, 183:16, | 63:25, 65:6, 65:8, 70:2, 70:15, 75:9, | 188:9 | 79:9 paralegals 79:7 | picked 108:4 | 135:24, 140:3, |
| 192:4 | 75:10, /5:1/, | order's 183:18 | parallel 105:23, 109:12 | pile 12:13 pissed 131:14 | 140:4, 141:3, 141:10, 141:11, |
| 0 | 75:24, 75:25, 78:11, 80:5, 81:2, | ordered 39:16, 49:3, 156:5, | paraphrase 19:2, | placed 56:13 | 142:11, 142:19, |
| o'clock 45:22, | 87:25, 98:20, 99:4, 101:3, | 180:16, 181:2, | 22:8 paraphrased 31:25 | places 149:11 plaintiff 1:6, | 143:1, 143:6, 145:10, 145:16, |
| 50:18, 50:25, | 106:6. 106:8. | 181:3, 181:11, 184:1, 184:11 | parking 84:1, | 2:5. 29:10. 32:8. | 145:20, 146:2, 149:1, 149:4, |
| 50:25, 50:25, 96:17 | 109:10, 109:24, 111:11, 117:19, | orders 144:8, | 124:12, 127:4, 127:7 | 32:10, 32:14, 86:3 plaintiffs 7:22, | 149:7, 149:8, |
| loak 1:20. 4:1 | 111:11, 117:19, 119:5, 129:19, | 180:2, 180:16, 182:19 | participant 100:14 | 32:20. 33:4. | 149:10, 149:15, |
| object 9:4, 13:13, 34:12, 39:25, | 119:5, 129:19, 129:22, 142:22, | ordinary 117:8 | participants 87:20 participate 25:21, | 43:13, 59:19, 60:1, 79:4, 87:8, | 149:18, 150:5, 150:8, 150:14, |
| 40:9, 40:22, 41:24, 42:4, | 143:11, 143:18, 148:1, 155:4, | oriental 168:14, 168:22, 169:3 | 43:20, 127:12, | 92:23, 184:3 | 151:13, 154:3, |
| 42:11, 44:2, | 155:22, 155:24, 1 | original 24:12, 33:17, 57:13, | 152:3, 167:4 participated | plan 17:13 plans 15:4, 168:5 | 167:17, 169:11, 179:2 |
| 45:21, 47:14, 59:6, 60:16, 61:1, | 155:25, 156:6, 159:14, 159:16, | 79:16, 145:1, 159:22, 159:22 | 175:25, 177:13 | play 97:15 | presume 20:11, 26:2, 101:23, |
| 63:19, 95:3, 97:18, 101:8, | 160:4, 160:11, 160:25, 161:4, | originally 56:23 | participating 26:8, 47:2 | pleadings 22:6 pleasant 84:25 | 145:4, 146:18, |
| 97:18, 101:8, 106:14, 106:17, | 160:23, 161:4, 161:9, 161:10, 162:16, 170:22, | 103:15, 111:10 | participation 46:2 | please 40:14. | 170:6 presuming 60:22 |
| 141:4, 153:14, | 162:16, 170:22, 181:23, 187:3, | originals 61:10 Os 29:11 | particular 116:20, 150:1 | 41:17, 62:9, 83:8, 134:3, 176:17, | presumption 101:24 |
| 187:6, 194:24 objected 5:12, | 188:5 | Osamuede 29:12. | particularly | 134:3, 176:17, 176:25, 183:3, | previously 61:19 printed 117:5 |
| 63:10, 71:14, | offense 178:16 office 8:8, 18:21, | 29:13, 39:6, 39:23, 40:16, | 37:13, 73:12 particulars 117:1 | 185:6 plus 9:21, 78:13, | printout 109:15, |
| 95:4, 152:20, 153:24, 153:25 | 20:11, 22:16, | 39:23, 40:16, 79:5, 80:14, 80:22, 80:25, | parties 14:24, | 129:2 point 6:19, 11:25, | 109:16, 116:23, 144:25 |
| objecting 37:20, | 35:3. 35:10. I | 81:5. 81:20. | 15:12, 16:10, 25:19, 32:17, | 13:8, 22:2, 55:2, | prior 5:5, 39:1, |
| 49:11, 141:18 objection 6:8, | 45:18, 45:23, 47:4, 58:18, 72:7, | 82:16. 96:9. | 33:10, 33:18, 52:3, 52:8, 62:20, | 71:6, 87:10, 87:11, 142:15, | 51:25, 64:22, 65:3, 107:22, |
| 6:12, 6:22, 10:4, | 78:11, 79:3, 90:21, 96:5, | 96:10, 96:21, 98:25, 118:9, | 64:19. 64:20. | 144:2, 144:4, | 119:11, 119:14, |
| 33:23, 36:17, 37:10, 39:5, 39:9, | 96:18, 96:22, | 118:10, 120:5, | 77:13, 78:13, 88:9, 88:24, 89:9, | 145:24, 167:21 pointed 57:22, | 126:15, 127:3, 130:13, 143: 1 8, |
| 40:2, 40:5, 40:8, | 99:13, 105:24, 108:15, 139:18, | 138:8, 148:22, 166:21, 170:7, | 100:8, 128:4, | /0:5 | 130:13, 143:18, 154:1, 154:2, |
| 42:7, 54:9, 61:24, 64:2, 64:9, 71:4, | 163:3, 167:12, | 175:10 Oshinsky 160:12, | 143:6, 171:11, 173:8, 188:13, | pointing 150:2, 193:3 | 156:17 private 8:6, |
| 78:1. 78:20. | 167:15 officers 7:23, | 160:20 | 190:6, 196:14 | points 21:3 | 17:22, 43:17, |
| 79:25, 81:4, 81:14, 83:17, | 7:24, 108:10 official 8:23, | others 77:15, 151:25, 191:19, | partly 143:1 partner 155:7 | police 7:23 policy 37:14, | 62:20, 80:11, 146:12 |
| 84:4, 84:23, 89:19, 93:4, 95:6, | 16:1, 21:2, 25:14, | 191:21 | party 76:23, 77:7, | 57:10, 68:1 | privilege 35:5, 68:2, 71:9, |
| 95:9, 99:2, | 25:24, 26:6, 52:6, 58:9, 90:10, | otherwise 43:21, 68:6 | 77:11, 77:12, 77:25, 87:8, | portion 86:4, 130:2, 150:1 posed 15:3 | 111:18, 111:21, |
| 108:14, 108:19, 133:20, 139:23, | 133:23, 135:13, | ought 8:3, 152:25, | 107:9, 172:13 pass 170:14 | posed 15:3 poses 17:20 | 141:11, 145:16, 149:18, 150:1, |
| 141:5, 141:20, 142:13, 144:9, | 148:12, 148:15 officially 5:5 | 163:17, 164:3 outside 15:7, | nacced 79:14 | position 101:15 | 150:14, 150:21, 151:4, 151:9, |
| 142:13, 144:9, 144:17, | officials 192:25, | 19:14, 35:24, | 85:7, 99:9, 148:21 past 85:22, 85:22, | possess 18:2, 43:21 | 184:10 |
| 145:12. 145:21. | 193:1 one's 31:21, 31:22 | 83:24, 83:24, 97:16, 98:22, | 136:3 | possessed 140:23 | privileged 111:24, |
| 149:23, 153:21, 187:15, 187:19, | one-day 146:8 | 100:10. 124:4. | patient 109:3 patiently 192:18 | possession 6:20, 140:21 | 153:12 privileges 35:18 |
| 187:22, 188:17, | ones 102:25, 135:23, 192:4 | 124:11, 124:14, 124:14, 139:25, | PAUSE 14:18, | possible 142:18, | pro 97:22 |
| 188:23, 190:20, 194:11, 194:13, | onto 75:2 open 57:1, 164:19 | 140:3, 141:7, | 36:12, 51:18, 65:15, 105:12, | 155:14, 164:17 post 157:21, | probable 191:11, 191:14 |
| 194:15, 194:19 | opened 56:24, | 145:14, 150:6, | 133:2. 175:12 | 157:24, 158:13 | probably 55:14, |
| objectionable 72:25 | 57:11, 107:5, | 150:12 outstanding 98:11 | pay 9:17, 11:17, 120:20, 120:22, | potential 141:14 potentially 68:5 | 105:3, 105:3, 114:21, 116:23, |
| objections 4:24, 16:12, 16:14, | 163:4 operate 64:17 | over-nighted 160:7 | 148:5, 1/2:12 | pounding 45:24 practice 11:5, | 131:15, 147:8, 157:25, 163:17, |
| 16:16, 17:2, 17:5, | operative 171:17, 171:18, 172:18 | overall 78:8 overnight 159:23, | paying 8:20 payment 87:15, | 106:19, 119:22 | 164:2, 170:7, 183:9 |
| 17:6, 19:20, 48:10, 71:4, | opinion 33:15 | 162:20 | 178:12 | practicing 132:5 | 183:9 problem 16:11, |
| 125:25, 143:23, | 33:20, 33:25, 34:2, 34:7, 34:8, | oversight 137:23 oversights 138:4 | pending 186:15 pension 85:22, | pre 118:5 pre-judge 18:12 | 20:15, 26:19 |
| 145:13 obligated 57:1 | 38:21, 44:7, 44:10, 45:17, | owed 148:6, 148:7 | 85:23 Pepsi 186:20 | precedent 58:2, 58:2, 64:18, | problems 27:3, 29:14, 148:9 |
| obligation 18:3, | 44:10, 45:17, 88:10, 139:5, | Р | per 116:3 | 171:10, 171:12, | proceed 73:8, 139:23 |
| 148:3, 151:4 obligations | 190:23 opinions 191:3 | | percent 99:11 perfect 151:8 | 171:16, 172:19, 173:7, 173:11 | proceeded 72:23 |
| 171:10, 173:9 observer 75:4 | opportunity 51:22, | P.M 1:17, 195:24 P13427 2:3 | perform 173:9 | predicate 188:17 | proceeding 25:15, 102:4 |
| obtain 7:7, 158:5, | 73:22 opposed 189:8 | P23254 2:8 P26521 2:4 | performance 172:18 perhaps 60:23, | prefer 27:5, 99:17 | PROCEEDINGS 4:7, |
| 172:7 obtained 92:23 | opposite 82:19 | P26922 2:15 | 79:6, 82:6, 104:9, | prejudiced 5:19 preliminary 53:15, | 14:19, 2/:12, |
| obvious 24:3, | oral 96:2, 100:6, 129:24, 130:1 | P42506 2:13 P46476 2:12 | 120:5 period 106:5, | 53:20 | 64:12, 65:16, |
| 146:3 obviously 7:3, | orchestrating 48:8 | package 85:9, | 106:9, 108:7, 117:12 | prepare 18:25, 22:1, 50:19 | 74:16, 105:13, 133:3, 175:13, |
| 9:16, 21:20, | order 5:24, 6:4, 15:6, 15:21, | 109:21, 109:22 pad 96:14, 100:3, | periods 61:13, | prepared 18:19. | 195:22 |
| 48:25, 78:5, 78:14, 154:25, | 15:23, 16:4, 17:15, 21:6, 21:6, | 130:4 | 108:5 perjured 82:11, | 80:12, 82:3, 155:6 preparing 83:7 | process TIT: To' |
| 160:6, 190:2, | 21:7, 23:21, 24:1, | pager 61:11, 106:3, 106:5, | 122:7, 122:23 | presence 4:9. | 166:3, 184:10 |
| 193:1 occasions 186:4 | 21:7, 23:21, 24:1, 24:6, 24:12, 24:20, 25:3, 25:3, | 183:7, 184:5, | perjury 97:23, 100:21, 161:12 | 38:10, 170:12, 196:9 | produce 18:3, 27:24, 49:23, |
| occurred 19:2, 23:20, 34:25, | 52:16, 52:20, | 185:4 pagers 108:18 | permission 127:17 | nresent 15.24. | 106:4. 138:7. |
| 35:2, 35:/, 43:3, | 69:4, 69:24, | pages 117:9, | permit 21:13 permitted 25:21 | 40:16, 40:16, 40:17, 56:21, 79:2, 79:4, 79:22, | 172:24, 181:3, |
| 67:23, 70:2, 70:10, 70:15, 74:4 | 73:20, 93:8, 94:17, 94:18, | 117:9, 117:11, 117:18, 134:7, | personal 19:12. | 79:2, 79:4, 79:22, | 181:7, 195:4 produced 5:10, |
| October 12:16, | 97:14, 113:16, 136:1, 140:1, | 134:14, 134:20, 136:15, 137:10, | 78:6, 101:17, 101:21, 177:22 | 164:5, 166:16, 166:17, 166:22, | + 5:25. 6:21. 15:5. |
| 13:17, 35:13, 43:3, 47:3, 49:16, | 141:9, 145:14, | 138:19, 138:20, | personally 5:14, 11:18, 77:16, | 166:23 presented 78:25, | 15:21, 17:15, 28:7, 28:12, |
| 49:18, 49:21, 51:24, 52:4, | 153:6, 153:6, | 163:24 paid 55:22, 149:6 | 77:17, 132:14, | 166:18 | 28:16, 48:5, 48:18, 50:10, |
| 56:16, 57:19, | 154:11, 180:5, | para 31:21 | 146:1 pertaining 34:18, | press 1:3, 5:7, 5:9, 8:11, 8:19, | 48:18, 50:10, 52:14, 53:1, |
| 57:25, 58:16, | 180:21, 180:23, | paragraph 31:14, | 1 | 1 | |

| The Color of Street | • | Deposition | of MICHAEL ST | EFAMI OII Janua | iry 30,2000 | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| 100.000 100. | 76:20, 86:24, | | 143:14, 144:11, | | | |
| 1965 1967 1967 1967 1968 1968 1968 1969 | 87:24, 133:11, | R | 144:21, 145:11, | | | |
| 130-51, 180-116, 180-22 18 | 133:18, 135:6, | | 150:23. 153:21. | refreshes 86:12 | representative | reviewing 52:1, |
| Mailed M | 137:4, 158:18, | 196:23 | 160:9, 166:9, 167:5 168:17 | refuse 143:25 | 25:14, 53:23, 102:9, 102:15, | |
| 18623 18625 18626 18626 18627 1862 | 180:9, 180:11, | raise 151:4 raised 35:4, 67:3. | 1/0:23, 193:22, | refused 181:7, | | |
| Production 54.1 | 180:24, 181:2, | 72:22 | | | | 164:14 |
| | 184:23, 186:2 | | recording 6:15, | refusing 181:10 | 46:7, 61:16, | |
| 1811 18 | | | 7:1, 7:3, 11:7, 15:7, 19:10. | 31:13, 35:14, | 91:20, 98:21 | 39:22, 120:25 |
| Section Sect | 182:19 | 41:19, 86:3, | 19:11. 21:8 | 74:7, 83:15, 88:5, | | Riley 196:20 |
| Section Sect | | 87:12, 123:17, 165:25, 165:25 | 11:5, 13:23, 15:5, | 92:22, 95:18, | 131:2, 170:4, | Rivers 59:25, |
| | 89:4 | re-do 88:25 | 15:10. 15:12. | 95:19, 97:6, | | 155:21, 156:3 |
| ## 1901-12 1901-15 190 | | | 18:11. 19:7. Z1:4.1 | 142:24, 192:22 | 15:25, 26:17, | Road 1:19 |
| property 100:137, proceeding 49:16, 100:12, 10 | 122:23, 122:25, | 180:13, 182:3 | 23:24, 43:17, 53:23, 54:2. | 44:6. 66:17. | 131:17, 131:19 | role 95:17, 95:19 |
| 10:121 10:124 10:125 1 | | | 55:10, 56:12, | 68:10, 69:16, | reputation 69:13, | |
| 10:121 10:124 10:125 1 | | 193:13 | 61:9, 61:14, 78:2, 78:6, 94:15, | 118:10, 177:20 | 101:12 | 15:4, 15:12, |
| | 101:21 | re-subpoena 113:1, | 94:19. 95:1. | regards 43:12 | request 12:18, | 1 19 14 20 3 |
| protection 13312 re-subpoeming protectives results | | | 104:7, 112:18, | 148:10 | 13:16. 13:24. | 22:24. 24:19. |
| 1663 1864 1865 1864 1865 1864 1865 1864 1865 1864 1865 | 152:22, 153:21 | 186:5 | 113:6, 113:24, | reject 65:4, 65:11 rejected 58:9. | 13:24, 14:2, 14:6, 14:8, 27:21, 28:6, | 65:19, 80:19, |
| 69:4, 183:6, reading 44:14, 116:14, 116:15, | protective 21:6, | | 114:8, 115:20, | 60:12, 61:21, | 48:6. 61:19. | 81:7, 85:18, |
| provide 144:10 provide 146:10 147:8, 49:15 147:8, 49:15 147:8, 49:15 147:8, 49:15 147:8, 49:15 147:8, 49:15 147:8, 49:15 147:8, 49:15 147:16 147:8, 49:15 147:16 147:8, 49:15 147:16 147:8, 49:15 147:16 147:8, 49:15 147:16 147:8, 49:15 147:16 147:8, 49:15 147:16 147:8, 49:15 147:16 147:8, 49:15 147:16 147:8, 49:15 147:16 147:8, 49:15 147:16 147:8, 49:15 147:16 147:1 | | reading 44:14. | 116:9, 116:21, 133:14. 133:15. | | 68:11, 75:11, | 125:16, 134:6, |
| 12:2. 23:11. 55:30:114:25 67:36:40:15.175:31 77:316:37:40 77:316:37:40 77:316:37:40 77:316:37:40 77:316:37:40 77:316:37:40 77:316:37:40 77:316:37:40 77:316:37:40 77:316:37:40 77:316:37:40 77:316:37:40 77:316:37:40 106:27, 131:14, 106:27, | provide 144:10 | 124:15 | 134:5. 139:17. | rejection 58:12, | 90:11, 150:5 | 136:7, 164:15, 175:15, 175:22 |
| 55:20, 114;25 | provided 5:6, 12:2, 23:11. | ready 36:14 realize 67:24 | 142:11, 142:17, | rejects 58:19, | 111:10. 140:2 | 1 180:14. 182:3 |
| ## A # A # A # A # A # A # A # A # A # | 55:20, 114:25 | really 27:4, 32:1, | 143:19, 144:24, | 75:23, 75:24 relate 33:7. | requesting 27:24, | 85:5, 99:7 |
| 79:16 published 8:11, 152:17; 136:18 purchase 92:23 | 47:8, 49:5, 173:3 | 38:19, 71:10, 80:17, 83:3, 83:7. | 149:1, 153:7, | 33:17, 56:10, | requests 106:21 | Roquia 91:18, |
| Published 8:11, 10:38 136:13 136: | provisions 48:20, | 93:10, 97:6, | 155:4. 156:17. | /4:9, 93:18 related 12:19. | 90:24, 90:24, | roughly 110:5, |
| 138.7, 168.4, 177.23, 188.7, 168.4, 10.10 p. 12.10 p. 12. | public 37:14, | 132:17, 136:5, | 157:5, 157:12, | | 172:18, 188:13, | |
| District 188-24 198-13 | 43:17, 68:1, 154:6, 177:23, | 155:20, 156:21, 158:7 168:4 | 157:19, 158:5, | relates 33:3, | reallirea 1/1/3 | rubbed 131:22 |
| Tol. 8 Principle 186:13 purchase 91:23 purchase 91:23 purchase 91:23 purchase 91:23 purchase 91:23 purchase 91:24 purpose 55:34 81:14 161:25 161:14 161:25 161:14 161:25 161:14 161:25 161:14 161:25 161:14 161:25 161:14 161:25 161:14 161:25 161:14 161:25 161:14 161:25 161:14 161:25 161:14 161:25 161:14 161:25 161:14 161:25 161:14 161:25 161:14 161:25 161:14 161:25 161:14 161:25 161:14 161:25 161:14 177:24 17 | 196:3, 196:24 | 172:16, 188:22, | 158:7, 158:13, | 33:8, 33:16, 35:16, 47:12 | 88:15, 91:3, 91:8, 91:9, 173:9 | rubric /3:14 rule 16:18, 19:10, |
| purchase 97:23 purchase 97:24 purchase 97:24 purchase 97:25 quash 111:13 purchase 97:25 quash 111:13 purchase 97:25 quash 111:13 purchase 97:25 purchase 97: | | | 161:18, 162:10, | relating 47:11, | requirement 92:2 | 34:1/, 35:15, |
| purports 48:24 purpose 55:3, 5 | | 13:13. 13:25. | 162:18. 163:1. | | | 35:25, 36:5, 36:6, |
| purpose \$5:3, \$5:16, 138:4, 147:16 105:17, 106:25, 165:17, 128:14, 29:3, 29:5, 129:18, 20:27, 28:18, 53:28, 134:24, 169:25, 165:17, 128:14, 29:3, 29:5, 129:18, 120:28, 120:18, 139:19, 120:18, 139:19, 120:18, 139:19, 120:19, 120:19, 120: | pure 93:7 | 60:12, 62:10, | 164:12, 164:22, | | | 37:12, 67:4, 67:21, 68:7 |
| 1384.4 147:16 134:24, 168:25, 166:12, 166:5, 174:25, 166:5, 174:25, 166:5, 174:25, 166:5, 174:25, 177:24 177:24 177:24 177:25, 177:24 177:25, 177:24 177:25, 177 | | 81:11, 82:17, 85:15, 85:16. | 165:6, 165:8, | relative 182:19 | 97:14, 97:20, | 68:12, 68:19, |
| purposes 19:12, 28:18, 53:23, 156:16, 175:17 reasonable 19:18, 19:19, 19:20, 19:11 lilip, 19:120, 19 | 57:21, 91:16, | 105:17, 106:25, | 165:9. 165:17. | release 16:3, 28:14, 29:3, 29:5, | 98:19, 120:25, 125:18, 126:11, | 70:6, 70:8 ruled 68:9, |
| 28:18, 53:23, pls:06:17, pursuant 5:24, 15:21, 175:17, pursuant 5:24, 18:21, 18:21, 18:21, 18:21, 18:22, 18:24, 18:22, 18:24, 18:22, 18:24, 18:22, 18:24, 18:22, 18:24, 18:24, 18:20, 18:24, 18:25, pursued 34:22, 66:17, 66:19, 66:19, 66:19, 73:21 pursued 97:16, 98:22 pursue 97:16, 98:22 pursue 97:16, 98:22 pursue 66:1 pursue 66:1 pursue 66:1 pursue 66:1 pursue 66:1 pursue 76:20, 18:21, 18:21, 18:25, | purposes 19:12, | | 166:5, 174:25, | 30:16, 78:8, | 128:1 | 111:14, 113:23, |
| 18:21, 16:7, 26:12, 66:18, 88:18, 145:7, 184:19, 184:12, 184:13, 184:13, 184:14, 184:12, 184:13, 184:14, 184:12, 184:14, 184 | 28:18, 53:23, | reasonable 191:18, | 1//:15, 1//:16, 177:21, 179:2, | 112:15, 113:22, | 42:18, 83:6 | 153:13. 154:1. |
| R8:18, 145:7, 184:9, 184:14, 184:14, 184:9, 184:14, 184:9, 184:14, 184:9, 184:14, 184:9, 184:14, 184:9, 184:14, 184:14, 184:9, 184:14, 184:14, 184:9, 184:14, 184:14, 184:9, 184:14, 184:14, 184:9, 184:14, 18 | pursuant 5:24, | 191:21 | 179:11, 180:1, | 115:20, 122:14, | | 154:5, 158:9, 179:25, 180:6 |
| 184:9, 184:12, 184:12, 184:12, pursue 7:8, 68:9 pursued 34:22, 66:17, 69:10, 73:21 purview 97:16, 98:22 putting 66:1 16:20 putsued 34:22, 16:21, 16:21 purview 97:16, 98:22 putting 66:1 16:20 putsued 34:22, putting 66:1 16:20 putsued 34:22, putting 66:1 16:20 putsued 34:22, p | 15:21, 16:7, 26:12, 66:18, | reasoning 154:8 | 180:23, 181:4, | 177:21, 180:1, | respect 4:23, | rules 38:7, 66:19, |
| Table Tabl | 88:18, 145:7, | 24:23, 37:14 | | 180:6, 184:15, 184:17 | 32:9, 32:14, | 188:9, 188:12, |
| Description 196:17 196:18 196:19 196:18 196:19 196:1 | 184:23 | receive 13:16, | 183:9, 184:2, | released 55:22. | 32:21, /2:21, | 190:5, 190:9, |
| Received 10:17, 12:12, 20:22, 56:5, 58:8, 62:12, 18:12, 18:15, 18:15, 18:16, 18:15, 18:11, 147:6, 114:12, 114:16, 113:13, 114:16, 113:11, 114:16, 113:13, 114:16, 113:11, 114:16, 113:11, 114:16, 113:11, 114:16, 113:11, 114:16, 113:11, 114:16, 113:11, 114:16, 113:11, 114:16, 113:11, 114:16, 113:11, 114:16, 113:11, 114:16, 113:11, 114:16, 113:11, 114:16, 113:11, 114:16, 113:11, 114:16, 113:11, 114:16, 113:11, 114:16, 113:11, 114:16, 113:11, 114:16, 113:11, 114:16, | pursue 7:8, 68:9 | 54:11, 145:6, | 184 • 19 184 • 22 | 195:16 | respective 52:7 | 190:19, 191:8, |
| purview 97:16, 98:22 putting 66:1 Q | 66:17, 69:10, | received 10:17. | 185:22, 185:25, | releases 78:12 | | |
| 98:22 putting 66:1 Q qualified 190:25 quash 111:13, 147:6 receiving 53:23 recent 1 06:20, 162:20, 176:16 reference 28:19, 111:16, 113:21, 113:22, 114:1, 181:19 recoin 16:20 reference 28:19, 167:18, 113:22, 114:1, 181:19 recoin 16:20 reference 28:19, 161:18, 113:14, 181:10 recoin 17:3, 180:14, 181:10 recoin 17:3, 180:14, 181:10 recoin 17:3, 180:14, 181:10 recoin 17:3, 180:14, 181:10 recoin 18:10, 183:10 recoin 18:11, 181:10 recoin 18:11, 181:10 recoin 18:11, 181:15 recoin 18:11, 18:11 recoin 18:11, 181:15 recoin 18:11, 18:11, 18:15 recoin 18 | purview 97:16, | 12:1, 20:22, 56:5, 58:8, 62:12. | reduce 96:18. | relevant 17:2. | respond 51:11, | 23:22, 26:4 |
| Qualified 190:25 quash 111:13, 147:6 receiving 53:23 recent 106:20, 176:16 reference 28:19, 36:18, 111:16, 113:21, 113:22, 114:1, 113:21, 114:6, 114:12, 114:6, 114:12, 114:18, 115:21, 183:10 record 4:25, 5:2, 5:10, 6:6, 6, 183:10, 183:19, 183:10, 183:19, 185:14, quashed 94:21 questioning 34:22, 51:25, 66:17, 69:18, 79:19, 105:15 quick 109:4 quick 109:2 quick 60:6, 98:10, 168:11 quoded 8:16, 8:18 quick 8:16, 8:18 quick 8:16, 8:18 quick 9:12 quick 6:16, 8:10 quick 109:1, 121:4, 169:10 quick 109:1, 121:4 quick 109:2 quick 6:16, 8:10 quick 8:16, 8:18 quick 9:12 quick 6:16, 8:10 quick 8:16, 8:18 quick 8:16, 8:18 quick 9:12 quick 6:16, 8:10 quick 9:12 quick 6:16, 8:12 quick 6:16, 8:1 | 98:22 | 83:14, 86:2, 86:3, | reduced 96.5 | 20:25, 37:14, 150:7, 150:12, | response 28:5. | 1 |
| quash 111:13, 113:12, 113:21, 1 | pacering out | 133:11, 147:6 | 99:12, 196:8 | 190:1 | 32:23, 34:23, | S |
| quash 111:13, 113:12, 113:21, 1 | Q | receiving 53:23 | 52:20, 176:16 | 91:14, 91:15, | 43:12, 44:16, | safe 104:17, |
| quash 111:13, 11:16, 113:21, 11:16, 113:22, 114:1, 113:22, 114:1, 114:6, 113:21, 114:6, 113:22, 114:1, 114:6, 115:21, 114:16, 115:21, 121:10, 1 | qualified 190:25 | recognize 86:23, | reference 28:19, | | 85:2, 90:10 responsibility | 163:2, 163:20, |
| 13:22 114:12 14:16 114:12 114:16 115:21 183:10 186:13 164:11 183:10 113:16 115:21 183:10 113:15 183:10 113 | | | 56:7, 61:2, 63:17, | removes 185:25 | 87:16 | safety 56:11, |
| 14:16, 115:21, 183:10, 183:10, 183:10, 183:10, 183:10, 183:10, 183:10, 183:10, 183:10, 183:10, 181:11, 181:25, 181:10, 183:1 | 113:22, 114:1, | recollection 72:3, | 82:5, 88:1, 90:17, | | 14:1 | Sam 30:23 |
| 180:3, 180:17, 181:8, 181:10, 181:11, 181:25, 181:10, 181:11, 181:25, 183:6, 183:19, 185:4 quashed 94:21 questioning 34:22, 51:25, 66:17, 69:15, 97:19, 105:15, quick 109:4 quick 97:22 quite 60:6, 98:10, 168:11 quo 97:22 quite 60:6, 98:10, 168:11 quo 97:22 quoted 8:16, 8:18 quoded 8:16, 8:18 qu | 114:16, 115:21, | 183:10 | referenced 58:14, | reorganization | | Samuel 30:22 |
| 181:1, 181:25, 181:6, 183:19, 161:0, 17:7, 18:2, 185:4 | 180:3, 180:17, | record 4:25, 5:2, | 80:13, 81:1, 95:14 | rep 25:24, 88:10 | result 107:19, | 161:23, 169:8 |
| 185:4 quashed 94:21 questioning 34:22, 51:25, 66:17, 69:15, 97:19, 105:15 quick 109:4 quickly 16:3, 16:4, 56:18 quid 97:22 quit e 60:6, 98:10, 168:11 quo 97:22 quotations 123:16 quoted 8:16, 8:18 quoted 8:16, 8 | 181:11, 181:25, | 1 7:2. 7:6. 13:15. | references 76:15, | repay 8:19 | 143:1, 182:15 | satisfactory 26:17 |
| quashed 94:21 questioning 34:22, 51:25, 66:17, 69:15, 97:19, 105:15 quick 109:4 quickly 16:3, 16:4, 56:18 quid 97:22 quite 60:6, 98:10, 168:11 quo 97:22 quotations 123:16 quoted 8:16, 8:18 20:10, 21:16, 24:15, 172:25 referring 31:8, 31:15, 34:19, 49:14, 58:11, 58:24, 4:5, 15:8, 15:10, 15:18, 18:14, 59:12, 52:23, 103:24, 116:22, 13:15, 133:9 reported 93:15, 107:14 reported 93:15, 172:32 retain 6:20, 40:15, 49:12 | 183:6, 183:19, 185:4 | 18:5. 19:23. | referred 54:2, | repetitive 133:17 | 139:17 | saves 120:1 |
| 51:25, 66:17, 69:15, 97:19, 105:15 quick 109:4 quickly 16:3, 68:20, 69:21, 70:19, 71:11, 73:10, 168:11, quoted 8:16, 8:18 quoted 8:16, 8:1 | quashed 94:21 | 20:10, 21:16, | referring 31:8. | reported 93:15. | resume 125:22 | 31:10, 38:25, |
| 105:15 quick 109:4 quickly 16:3, 16:4, 56:18 quid 97:22 quite 60:6, 98:10, 168:11 quo 97:22 quotations 123:16 quoted 8:16, 8:18 109:19, 121:4, 109:19, 121:4, 109:19, 121:4, 120:11, 131:25, 132:12, 133:7, 139:23, 140:23, 148:9, 49:3, 49:11, 57:14, 70:16, 67:14, 70:16, 67:14, 70:16, 70:16, 70:16, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 89:22, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 89:22, 70:12, 70:10, 70:12, 70:10, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 89:22, 70:12, 70:10, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 89:22, 70:12, 70:10, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 89:22, 70:12, 70:10, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 89:22, 70:12, 70:10, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 89:22, 70:12, 70:10, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 89:22, 70:12, 70:10, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 89:22, 70:12, 70:10, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 89:22, 70:12, 70:10, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 89:22, 70:12, 70:10, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 89:22, 70:12, 70:10, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 89:22, 70:12, 70:10, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 89:22, 70:12, 70:10, 70:11, 70:16, 70:11, 89:22, 70:12, 70:10, | | 28:15, 36:17, | 31:15, 34:19, | 107:14 | retain 6:20, | 40:15, 49:12, |
| quickly 16:3, 16:4, 56:18 quid 97:22 quite 60:6, 98:10, 168:11 quo 97:22 quotations 123:16 quoted 8:16, 8:18 68:4, 68:15, 69:21, 70:17, 71:11, 73:10, 74:5, 74:7, 81:24, 74:7, 81:24, 74:7 | 69:15, 97:19, | 37:9, 37:15, 40:8, 48:9, 49:3, 49:11 | 49:14, 58:11, 58:21, 58:24, | 4:5. 15:8. 15:10. | retaken 89:7 | 99:21, 101:25, |
| 16:4, 56:18 quid 97:22 quite 60:6, 98:10, 168:11 quo 97:22 quotations 123:16 quoted 8:16, 8:18 quoted | quick 109:4 | 51:21, 52:23, | 67:14, 70:16, | 15:18, 18:14, | | 122:17, 123:11, |
| quid 97:22 quite 60:6, 98:10, 168:11 quo 97:22 quotations 123:16 quoted 8:16, 8:18 70:19, 71:7, 71:7, 71:10, 74:7, 71:11, 73:10, 74:5, 74:7, 81:24, 82:25, 98:18, 109:19, 121:4, 126:1, 131:25, 132:12, 133:7, 134:22, 137:7, 139:23, 140:23, 160:21, 173:4, 160:21, 173:4, 154:20, 183:15, 154:20, 183:15, 187:25 reporter's 133:22 represent 47:18, 88:10, 104:4, 104:22, 166:14, 139:23, 140:23, 140:23, 140:23, 140:23, 140:23, 140:23, 140:23, 140:23, 140:23, 140:23, 140:23, 140:23, 140:23, 140:23, 140:24, 160:21, 173:4, 133:8, 133:16, 154:20, 183:15, 195:10 reveal 37:16, 195:10 revealed 151:16 review 36:2, 48:13, 51:22, 69:8, 81:0, 104:4, 104:22, 166:14, 160:21, 173:4, 160:21, 173:4, 173:4, 187:16 | quickly 16:3, | 68:4. 68:15. | 92:16, 95:23, | 23:12, 25:6, | return 102:2, | 143:2, 144:3, |
| quo 97:22 quotations 123:16 quoted 8:16, 8:18 | quid 97:22 | 70:19, 71:7, | 103:24. 116:22. | 1 133:8. 133:10. | | 165:8, 165:22, |
| quo 97:22 quotations 123:16 quoted 8:16, 8:18 109:19, 121:4, 126:1, 131:25, 132:12, 133:7, 134:22, 137:7, 139:23, 140:23, 109:19, 121:4, 126:1, 131:25, 126:14, 126:1, 131:25, 132:12, 133:7, 139:23, 140:23, reflect 5:3, 27:22, 27:23, 87:19 reflected 57:13, 187:16 reporter's 133:22 revealed 151:16 review 36:2, 48:13, 51:22, 69:8, 81:21, 32:1, 32:2, 32:7, 36:21, 46:22, | quite 60:6, 98:10, 168:11 | 74:5, 74:7, 81:24, | 176:25, 182:13 | 154:20, 183:15, | reveal 37:16, | 186:17, 193:15, |
| quoted 8:16, 8:18 126:1, 131:25, 132:12, 133:7, 134:22, 137:7, 134:22, 137:7, 139:23, 140:23, 140:23, 140:24, 104:22, 166:14, 141:2, 142:10, | quo 97:22 | 82:25, 98:18, | 55:10, 61:6 | reporter's 133:22 | revealed 151:16 | |
| 139:23, 140:23, reflected 57:13, 187:16 141:2, 142:10, 36:21, 46:22, | | 126:1, 131:25. | reflect 5:3, | represent 47:18, | review 36:2, 48:13, 51:22. | 30:8, 30:23, |
| 139:23, 140:23, 140:23, 140:23, 140:23 | | 132:12, 133:/, 134:22, 137:7, | 87:19 | | 69:8, 81:21, | 32:1, 32:2, 32:7, |
| CDUCKIN 2 ACCOCIATES (2/18) 737 6601 Pages 1 to 196 | | 139:23, 140:23, | | | | 36:21, 46:22, Pages 1 to 196 |

| | • | | | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | 49:17, 53:25, | 181:23, 185:8, | shall 6:2, 171:22, | | speaks 6:4, 16:19, | 63:14, 64:3, 64:6, |
| | 70:13, 84:9, 94:11, 110:22, | 185:18, 187:2, 188:4 | 172:2, 172:3, 172:5, 172:6, | SkyTel 61:10, 63:17, 101:4, | 30:11, 32:1, 32:5, 36:20, 44:23, | 65:25, 66:14, 67:22, 81:5, |
| | 111:22, 123:2, 143:5, 143:17, | series 165:14 | 172:10, 172:15, | 101:5. 101:19. | 78:15. 169:12 | 87:11.87:12. |
| | 143:5, 143:17, 144:24, 144:25, | seriously 84:16, 148:4, 148:4 | 184:8, 184:13, 184:22 | 101:21, 102:1, 105:19, 105:23, | special 2:16, 8:13, 26:11, 26:12 | 87:15, 87:15, 89:21, 95:11, |
| | 148:22, 160:4, | serve 139:16, | shame 152:24 | 109:13, 111:15, 112:1, 113:4, | specializes 101:13 | 104:5. 105:15. |
| | 171:15, 180:22, | 162:3. 162:15. | shape 150:7 | 112:1, 113:4, | specific 22:3, | 109:3, 126:3, |
| | 183:25, 186:7 Schaefer 13:6, | 188:13, 188:14, 190:18, 191:9 served 35:1, | share 82:21, 141:2, 142:11, | 113:18, 114:6, 114:25, 116:12, | 26:14, 34:24, 53:3, 106:10, | 133:14, 134:5, 135:6, 136:6, |
| | 13:19, 14:6, | served 35:1, | 142:16, 143:19, | 1 141:2, 142:11, | 106:11, 112:10, | 139:9, 139:24, |
| | 27:20, 169:16 | 35:10, 63:21, | 161:7 Sharon 2:15, 7:11, | 143:19, 144:11, | 154:11, 165:11, 184:16 | 140:22, 141:1, 141:19, 141:23, |
| | Schaefer's 14:8 scheduled 119:4 | 114:17, 114:18, 114:21, 139:15, | 81:6, 85:17 | 145:10, 145:20, | specifically 5:24. | 141:24, 142:9, |
| | SCHWARTZ 2:1 | 139:19, 153:22, | she's 8:15, 8:18, | 148:25, 153:7, | 38:1, 43:2, 61:7, | 143:10, 148:24, |
| | scope 139:25, 140:18, 141:8, | 161:14, 161:17, 161:25, 190:15, | 16:15, 20:16, 26:8, 26:13, | 153:22, 154:8, 154:12, 155:3, | 143:5, 154:12, 181:9 | 149:13, 149:13, 150:20, 151:5, |
| | 145:14, 150:3, | 191:12 | 26:17, 41:16, | 155:9, 155:22, | specifics 33:21, | 151:8, 151:13, |
| | 150:6, 150:13 | service 139:20, | 135:15, 149:20, 174:1, 175:22 | 156:5, 156:11, | 81:21 | 152:3, 152:9, |
| | screwing 177:7 scrubbing 91:21 | 146:3, 152:15, 152:16, 152:24 | sheepishly 125:3 | 156:20, 156:23, 157:11, 158:8. | speculate 33:24 speech 158:1 | 153:18, 157:8, 157:8, 157:14, |
| | seal 15:10, 186:1, | services 149:9 | shenanigans 186:12 | 157:11, 158:8, 158:16, 160:13, | spelled 56:15 | 160:19, 160:24, |
| | 196:20 sealed 68:4, | serving 156:16, 190:17 | shocked 150:25 shook 84:2 | 160:25, 161:4, | spend 120:13 spent 39:18, | 172:8, 172:8, 174:24, 175:25, |
| | | | short 36:8, 193:21 | 160:25, 161:4, 161:7, 161:10, 161:15, 161:18, | 120:16 | 179:24. 187:1. |
| | 68:15, 68:21, 71:7, 73:10, 74:7, | 97:24, 98:21, | shouldn't 68:18 showed 57:2, | 162:2, 162:9, 162:17, 164:7, | split 103:12, 104:8 | 187:11, 187:17, 188:2, 189:5, |
| | 97:11, 121:19, 171:2, 171:8 | 99:25, 119:17, 122:15, 126:13, | 107:23, 116:25, | 164:9, 164:23, | spoke 9:20, 60:17 | 190:3. 192:2. |
| | search 191:10, | 131:18, 132:2 | 162:24, 163:5, | 164:9, 164:23, 165:8, 166:4, | SS 196:1 | 192:22. 193:15. |
| | 191:11, 191:18 seat 169:20 | settled 9:23, 11:12, 11:13, | 163:16, 164:2, 174:25 | 179:2, 179:11, 180:1, 180:4, | St 196:2, 196:21, 196:24 | 194:6, 194:8 Stefani's 5:4, |
| | Secondly 13:23. | 33:19, 38:22, | showing 162:14 | 180:7, 180:23, | stack 138:9, | 22:16, 37:1, 44:3, |
| | 73:24, 195:8 seconds 125:3 | 132:15, 143:8 settlement 10:14, | shown 16:15, 72:16 shows 48:18 | 181:3, 181:6, 182:20, 183:7, | 170:10 staff 92:10, | 63:3, 66:12, 95:5, 142:1, 142:3 |
| | secret 10:19 | 11:11, 11:21, | shredder 22:16 | 183:20, 183:24, | 141:2, 142:10, | Stenography 196:10 |
| | security 107:4, | 11:11, 11:21, 13:25, 28:13, 29:2, 29:5, 30:15, | sign 59:18, 86:13, | 184:4, 185:4, 186:16 | 162:11 stale | Stephen 160:12, 160:20 |
| | 107:15 seek 187:4, 188:6, | 30:22. 43:22. | 1 90:18. 92:4. | slid 108:2 | stamped 134:6 | Stewart 2:8, 3:4. |
| | 188:8 | 46:19, 47:7, 47:11, 48:3, 48:4, | 92:14, 147:4 | smaller 117:7 | stand 112:10, | 6:14. 6:17. 6:19. |
| | seeks 69:25 seem 49:15, 52:16, | 46:19. 49:13. | 31:4. 39:14. | snide 98:2, 98:3, 98:13 | 159:12, 165:5 standards 88:13 | 13:3, 15:25, 17:11, 17:12, |
| | 98:4 | 49:20, 51:22, 51:23, 52:6, 52:6, | 78:25, 147:8, | so-called 88:19, | standing 40:2, | 17:22, 28:25. |
| | seemed 174:3 seems 103:11, | 51:23, 52:6, 52:6, 56:2, 57:18. | 155:10, 156:19, 170:16 | 90:9, 90:16, 107:22, 108:2, | 124:19 standpoint 173:6 | 34:14, 73:21, 73:22, 75:13, |
| | 185:17 | 56:2, 57:18, 57:23, 57:24, 58:4, 58:6, 58:10, | signature's 60:4 | 170:13, 188:19 | start 194:22 | 75:15, 75:18. |
| | self-evident 8:3, 108:10 | 58:4, 58:6, 58:10, 58:14, 58:16, | signatures 146:22, 193:5 | solution 84:16 somebody 48:3, | started 50:18, 84:15, 155:17 | 102:20, 103:3, 103:6, 105:11 |
| | semantics 172:16 | 58:20, 58:21, | signed 29:9, | 62:13, 91:24, | starters 53:5 | 103:6, 105:11, 108:22, 108:24, |
| | send 108:8, 112:1, | 58:24, 59:4, 61:3, | 29:11, 29:21, 30:7, 30:9, 30:10, | 101:20, 137:21, 137:21, 145:3, | starting 38:18, 44:21, 76:2 | 109:1, 109:6, 110:1, 125:24, |
| | 112:11, 112:12, 113:14, 113:16, | 61:22, 62:11, 62:15, 62:17, | 30:19, 30:25, | 145:25. 158:7. | state 1:1, 86:6, | 126:4, 126:5, |
| | 114:4, 114:8, | 63:16, 64:17, 65:3, 67:12, 72:5, | 31:3, 32:16, | 172:23, 173:17, | 113:18, 115:1, 160:24, 196:1, | 128:13, 130:22, |
| | 155:16, 156:7, 156:10, 156:10, | 72:21, 75:9, | 32:16, 43:16, 45:17, 45:24, | 173:20, 192:10 someone 70:20, | 196:21 | 131:11, 131:20, 131:24, 132:3, |
| | 157:7, 165:8, | 75:23, 75:24, | 46:8, 46:11, 47:4, | 102:1, 113:15, | stated 32:24, | 132:22. 176:16. |
| | 165:11, 183:20, 183:24, 190:6, | 76:4, 76:5, 76:14, 78:9, 79:17, 81:2, | 47:23, 48:21, 49:13, 51:17, | 115:14, 115:18, 134:18, 165:7 | 126:1 statement 9:1, | 176:24, 183:2, 185:11, 185:16, |
| | 190:7 | 83:16, 83:18, | 53:21, 54:21, | something's 44:11 | 32:6, 32:22, | 194:4, 194:5, |
| | sends 119:25 sense 74:6 | 85:19, 85:20, 85:21, 86:18, | 54:23, 54:24, 55:24, 56:2, | somewhat 27:23 somewhere 159:20 | 40:22, 42:4, 43:9, 43:25, 46:21, | 194:13, 194:19 sticker 133:22 |
| | sent 35:3, 56:4, | 87:9, 87:25, 88:6, | 58:18, 59:10, | son 104:4, 104:22, | 47:10, 64:15, | stickers 53:1 |
| | 78:4, 94:20, | 88:14, 88:16, | 59:12, 59:13, 59:24, 59:25 | 105:4 sorry 13:23, | 95:5, 189:1, 193:22, 194:2, | stipulate 137:15 stolen 5:17 |
| | 94:22, 106:5, 111:14, 111:23, | 88:19, 90:2, 92:3, 95:13, 95:17, | 59:24, 59:25, 62:23, 77:1, 77:4, | 23:19, 29:11, | 194:10, 194:20 | stomach's 186:22 |
| | 113:9, 113:13, 114:2, 116:2, | 95:18, 95:19, | /8:10. /8:1/. | 31:14, 34:14, | statements 9:25, 21:4 22:4 22:5 | stop 14:13, 49:25, 178:16 |
| | 116:20, 120:23, | 95:21, 95:22, 95:23, 96:14, | 80:19, 80:21, 80:21, 81:2, | 50:25, 62:4, 116:9, 121:14, | 21:4, 22:4, 22:5, 35:20, 35:22, | stopped 110:19 |
| | 155:8, 155:15, | 98:20, 99:3, 99:20, 100:16, | 95:13, 102:7, 130:23, 131:1, | 128:9, 128:18, 152:12, 155:20, | 36:21, 36:22, 36:25, 41:24, | straight 59:1, 59:3 |
| | 155:18, 156:3, 157:6, 157:19, | 101:7, 102:5. | 133:18, 146:13, | 156:9, 161:8, | 45:8, 6/:22 | STREET 2:7 |
| | 157:6, 157:19, 162:2, 162:5, | 101:7, 102:5, 103:9, 118:4, | 146:14, 146:15, | 161:17, 163:8, | status 153:7 | strictly 24:20, |
| | 162:11, 163:21, 163:25, 165:19, | 118:11, 118:15, 118:19, 118:23, | 146:17, 155:5, 156:3, 156:18, | 156:9, 161:8, 161:17, 163:8, 168:24, 169:1, 169:7, 171:6, 171:8, 172:12, | statute 36:2, 36:5, 119:9, | 24:22 strike 37:1, 37:7, |
| | 166:4. 166:6. | 118:19, 118:23, 126:7, 126:12, | 156:3, 156:18, 156:20, 171:8, | 171:8, 172:12, | 36:5, 119:9, 119:24, 123:1, | 42:5, 42:23, 63:3, |
| | 170:7, 171:25, 181:6, 181:23, | 126:17, 127:5, 127:9, 127:20, | 182:15, 193:9 significance 21:3, | 178:17, 179:4, | 123:2 stay 125:11, | 63:7, 63:10, 81:19, 95:5, 95:7, |
| | 182:4, 182:11, 185:22, 186:8, | 128:18. 140:6. | 22:2 | 1/9:/. 184:2. | 125:12 | 140:15. 161:8 |
| | 185:22, 186:8, 186:9 186:11 | 143:17, 150:9, 161:25, 166:8, | signing 86:18, 148:21, 156:17 | 187:4, 188:7, 189:14, 189:16, | stayed 45:23, 124:14, 130:9 | stuff 70:10, 86:15, 106:23, |
| | 186:9, 186:11, 186:14, 186:17, | 166:16, 166:18, 167:4, 171:18, | silly 45:8, 45:8 | 189:21 | stays 148:23. | 152:25 |
| | 190:14 separate 36:24, | 167:4, 171:18, | similar 147:25 simple 186:17, | sort 111:20, 113:5, 129:21, | 170:16 Stefani 1:15, | <pre>subject 15:23, 16:6, 17:14, 21:5,</pre> |
| | 62:16. 62:16. | 172:11, 173:2, 173:4, 176:7 | 188:25 | 191:9 | 1:18. 1:18. 4:17. | 21:7, 31:13, 37:9, |
| | 62:17, 83:22, 85:1, 85:5, 85:13, | settlements 12:20, 62:20 | simply 86:16, 99:6, 119:7 | sought 184:2 sounded 11:20 | 4:19, 7:9, 7:20, 10:5, 14:16, | 43:18, 54:4, 68:6, 68:18, 109:8, |
| | 86:8, 88:16, 89:1, | settling 119:15 | simultaneously | source 94:8. | 15:21, 17:15, | 129:18, 150:5, |
| | 99:7 | seven 103:18, | 190:14 sincerely 152:22 | 141:14, 141:15, | 20:25, 22:18, 22:19, 23:5, | 160:13, 173:7 submissions 36:22 |
| | September 61:13, 106:6, 106:7, | 103:18 seventeen 191:9 | single 117:7, | 141:16, 144:6, 152:11, 178:7, | 23:22. 24:7. | submit 13:7, 13:9, |
| | 106:6, 106:7, 107:2, 107:10, | seventy-five 42:13 | 135:18 | 178:21 | 24:11, 25:1, | 13:10, 14:6, 27:20, 133:9 |
| | 110:7, 110:8, 110:9, 110:11. | several 11:23, 85:21, 92:7, | sit 132:5 sitting 51:8, | sources 93:25, 141:13, 142:18 | 28:18, 29:3, 30:15, 31:6, | submitted 12:17, |
| | 11112. 111111. 1 | 102:13 | 70:7, 107:6, | SOUTH 2:7 | 34:12, 35:20, | 21:16, 83:1 |
| | 118:2, 156:2, 156:4 157:10 | sexually-explici 112:13 | 167:20 situation 103:9 | spaced 117:7 speak 14:14, | 34:12, 35:20, 37:23, 38:2, 38:5, 39:25, 40:15, | subpoena 105:18, 105:20, 105:22, |
| | 157:11, 161:14, 161:21, 162:6, | shade 159:15 | six 16:23. 147:9 | 76:17, 86:19, | 43:1, 4/:1, 4/:1/, | 108:8. 111:13. |
| | 161:21, 162:6, 162:14, 164:4 | shaking 116:14, 144:3, 150:15, | sketched-out 130:2 sketchy 130:1 | 98:22 speaking 15:1, | 48:5, 48:18, 48:25, 52:12, | 111:15, 111:25, 113:10, 113:21, |
| | 162:14, 164:4, 164:8, 181:17, | 185:11 | skipped 135:20 | 17:8, 25:12 | 52:24, 54:13, | 113:22, 114:7, |
| - 1 | | | | | | |

| | ** | | | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | 116:2, 116:12, | 7:2, 16:7 | 193:6, 194:6, | 147:16, 150:10, | type 117:7, 117:8 | |
| | 116:17, 116:19, | tape-recorded 6:13 | | 151:16, 162:14, | typed 96:24, | 91:13, 131:13 |
| | 153:23, 153:25, 154:25, 155:8, | taxpayer-funded 8:24 | Thanks 28:25, 132:23, 186:24, | 167:17 today's 23:23, | 129:24 types 85:14 | |
| | 155:15, 155:22. | taxpayers 9:16 | 191:23 | 25:15 | Cypes 05.14 | W |
| | 155:15, 155:22, 156:10, 156:16, | technically 185:14 | themselves 82:11, | toes 155:15 | U | wages 85:22, 85:22 |
| | 156:25, 157:4, | teeth 157:23 | 122:7, 122:24 | tomorrow 5:11, | 1 | wait 4:20, 6:11, |
| | 157:6, 157:11, | TELECONFERENCE 14:20, 27:11, | theory 9:2, 18:1 there'd 144:6 | 15:24, 18:18, 20:12, 68:21, 84:7 | ultimately 34:4 | 27:5, 49:19, |
| | 157:20, 158:9, 161:14 161:18 | 65:17, 74:15 | there's 13:2, | tonight 15:19, | Um-hum 5:1, 65:1, 76:7, 124:9, | 69:20, 69:20, 74:25, 89:14, |
| | 161:14, 161:18, 161:23, 162:1, | telling 105:5, | 16:21, 16:23, | 17:14 | 135:7, 191:7 | 89.14 89.18 |
| | 162:3, 162:5, 162:6, 162:9, | 113:20, 141:19, | 25:16. 26:2. | top 115:5 | unaware 114:11 | 94:23, 136:23, |
| | 162:6, 162:9, | 168:15, 179:21, | 26:18, 27:1, 53:8, | tore 48:3 | unbeknownst 114:5 | 1 14/:5. 155:25. |
| | 162:13, 179:11, 181:5, 181:8, | 187:7 tells 131:17, | 55:11, 55:14, 71:6, 71:10, 73:6, | total 10:22, 11:1, 11:15, 40:19, | | 168:21, 169:11, |
| | 181:11, 181:11, | 157:7 | 90:17. 91:2. | 128:17, 129:1, | understand 7:21, 10:10, 10:12, | 169:17, 171:7, 179:3, 187:21 |
| | 181:15, 181:17, | ten 117:9, 117:11, | 92:17, 99:23, | 129:4 | 18:11, 23:13, | waited 192:18 |
| | 181:15, 181:17, 181:24, 182:4, | 117:16, 117:18, | 105:16, 109:12, | totally 107:6, | 24:10, 44:9, 55:3, | waiver 71:16, 74:8 |
| | 182:16, 183:7, 183:19, 184:3, | 155:5, 156:6 tend 141:15 | 112:18, 120:7, 126:6, 134:13, | 107:7 toward 148:22 | 60:19, 70:14, | walk 18:2, 29:8, |
| | 184:4, 184:7, | tentative 52:2, | 134:20, 136:15, | town 107:2, 167:2 | 71:21, 72:1, 73:9, | 134:22, 193:24 walked 72:8, |
| | 184:8, 185:4, | 85:19, 143:15, | 136:23, 151:15, 182:24, 185:20 | traded 96:11 | 73:15, 76:3, 85:13, 95:20, | 83:25, 124:18, |
| | 187:2, 188:4, | 143:16, 161:24, | 182:24, 185:20 | trading 147:1 | 98:5, 107:7, | 134:5, 136:7, |
| | 188:15, 190:6, | 172:24, 172:25, 173:5 | therefore 123:9, 146:9 | transaction 86:9 transcribed 196:10 | 111:4, 118:14, | 137:1 |
| | 191:9 subpoenaed 94:16, | term 76:22, 168:23 | | transcript 15:19, | 121:16, 123:24, 129:19, 133:22, | walking 72:9 walks 5:16, 17:22 |
| | 155:24, 157:15, | termination | they'd 62:15 | 16:1, 18:19, | 1 135:2. 135:4. | walt 107:1, 107:4 |
| | 179:17, 179:19 | 107:18, 107:19, | they'll 20:9, | 18:24, 19:5, | 1 149:2, 153:8. | Walter 10:20, |
| | subpoenas 94:21, | 107:22, 165:13 | 68:17, 92:10, 131:15, 178:14 | 44:15, 49:3, 195:15, 196:12 | 103:10, 103:18, | 29:9, 171:20, 172:3 |
| | 139:10, 140:10, 145:7, 180:4, | terms 6:15, 19:3, 24:2, 37:15, | they're 21:15, | transcripts 18:15, | 153:18, 165:4 | |
| | 191:20 | 45:24, 46:9, | 31:22, 53:15, | 18:15 | understanding 25:25, 32:8, | wanted 15:9, 41:19, 79:20, |
| | subsequent 43:4 | 57:18, 75:23, | 86:10, 92:11, | transfer 61:7 | 32:20, 54:16, | 86:14, 107:7, |
| i | subsequently 9:15, | 88:24, 96:1, | 111:24, 127:25, | transpired 83:15 | 54:20, 56:17. | 107:11, 107:20, |
| | 43:4, 48:22, 67:13 substantive | 132:15, 171:18, 171:25, 172:17, | 135:6, 136:12, 139:2, 139:2, | traveled 101:3 treated 64:20 | 56:23, 57:21, | 134:18, 140:15, |
| | 194:24, 195:1 | 180:6 | 139:2, 139:2, 177:2, 182:21, | trial 19:24, 27:2, | 60:11, 60:19, 61:16, 62:3, 62:8, | 148:5, 152:21, 158:5, 158:13. |
| | sudden 77:5 | testified 4:14, | 195:5 | 27:6, 70:3, 95:7, | 62:24, 64:6, | 158:5, 158:13, 166:25, 174:22, |
| | suddenly 77:24 | 107:1, 108:21, | they've 118:24 | 110:4, 110:5, | 66:16, 69:14, 69:15, 72:20, | i 186:12. 195:8 |
| | sue 8:5, 8:6, 9:11 sued 8:9, 9:2, | 124:20, 158:24, 165:2 172:21 | thick 138:11 thing 18:18, 19:9, | 111:2, 111:3, | 69:15, 72:20, | wanting 163:24 |
| | 9:3, 9:8 | 165:2, 172:21, 174:12, 179:9 | 20:11, 25:11, | 111:7, 112:9, 112:24, 113:3, | 88:5, 92:22, 95:16 understands 52:10 | wants 7:16, 19:21, 65:20, 70:20, |
| | sufficient 25:4, | testity 10:6. | 41:19. 50:3. | 115:24, 116:4, | understood 19:15, | 83:23 |
| | 25:5 | 44:7, 47:19, | 50:22. 62:23. | 1 118:2, 120:12, | 19:16. 23:16. | Warfield 94:22 |
| | suggested 24:24, 79:18, 137:12, | 54:13, 191:1, 196:7 | 72:14, 73:5, 78:7, 88:20, 96:22 | 122:24, 124:8, 124:11, 129:9, | 71:22, 71:23, 117:25 | warned 169:2 |
| | 179:11 | testimony 34:18, | 88:20, 96:22, 99:8, 121:6, | 140:10, 157:7, | unfair 51:10 | warrant 191:10, 191:11, 191:13 |
| 1 | suggesting 68:13 | 37:2, 42:24, 44:3, | 122:1, 124:14, | 157:9, 157:15, 157:21, 157:24, | unhappy 71:17, | warrants 191:18 |
| | suggestion 137:13 | 45:21, 63:7, | 131:14, 146:4, | 157:21, 157:24, | 71:24 | Washington 184:6 |
| | suggests 185:22 suing 8:5 | 66:12, 140:16, 140:17, 196:8, | 163:17, 179:23, 195:14 | 158:3, 158:14, 162:7, 162:8, | unilateral 73:8 | waving 187:10 |
| | suit 8:21, 119:24, | 196:12 | thinking 67:6, | 162:10, 164:13, | unless 10:7, 51:14, 91:24, | WAYNE 1:2 ways 149:7 |
| | 154:2, 154:5 | text 33:16, 54:3, | 165:15, 170:18 thinks 131:18 | 184:14, 186:5 trial's 186:18 | 149:2, 194:3 | we'd 136:2 |
| | SUITE 2:7, 2:11 | 54:8, 55:7, 55:8, | | trial's 186:18 | unsigned 156:14 | we'll 9:14, 12:15, |
| | suits 119:24 | 55:11, 56:13, 56:22, 61:2, | third 113:25, 114:6, 114:11, | trials 118:3 tricked 158:12 | upon 91:10, | 27:17, 28:13, |
| | summary 112:4, 112:5 | 61:10, 61:11, | 114:16, 114:16, | tried 88:9, 88:10, | 184:13, 184:14 upset 186:22 | 48:9, 48:9, 49:25, 56:17, 65:13, |
| | superseded 35:17 | 62:14, 63:17, | 129:8, 195:14 | 94:15, 94:19, | using 108:17 | /1:8. /6:5. 96:18. |
| | supersedes 31:12, | 64:24, 64:25, | thirty 45:23, | 102:1, 107:3, | usual 107:4 | 120:22, 130:24, |
| | 32:13 | 76:15, 78:2, 78:3, | 103:18, 195:18 thirty-five 132:6, | 169:15 Troy 167:12, | utilized 15:8 | 135:13, 135:14, |
| | supplement 176:23 supplemental 57:5, | 80:13, 80:15, 81:1, 81:10, 82:6, | 136:23 | 167:15 | | 137:14, 137:15, |
| | 82:2 | 82:13, 84:21, | thirty-six 132:6 | true 32:6, 32:18, | V | 152:7, 186:7, 190:25, 191:1 we're 20:2, 37:8, |
| | supported 123:14 | 88:1. 91:1. 91:2. [| thirty-thee 137:10 | 32:22, 43:25, | vaguely 72:18 | we're 20:2, 37:8, |
| | suppose 22:13, 23:1, 33:10, 76:17 | 91:3, 92:5, 92:6, 97:7, 97:9, 97:15, | thirty-three 134:7, 134:13, | 44:11, 45:15, 196:11 | Valerie 2:13, 4:9, | 1 40:17, 40:17, 1 |
| | supposed 24:3, | 100:15, 101:5, | 134:19, 136:15, | trust 92:12, | 14:3, 14:25, | 53:17, 58:15, 64:23, 66:4, 75:1, |
| - | 70:11, 79:15, | 101:17, 106:4, | 134:19, 136:15, 138:14, 138:18, | 195:12 | 29:11, 29:17, 64:12, 65:18, | 81:24, 82:25, |
| | 107:10, 156:3, | 107:8, 107:11, 107:20, 107:24, | 138:20 | trustworthy 92:11 truth 4:12, 4:13, | 175:14, 180:12 | 92:13, 93:10, |
| | 168:22 suppress 157:4 | 107:20, 107:24, 108:11, 108:12, | thirty-two 138:17 though 12:23, | 4:13, 167:8, | 175:14, 180:12 value 128:17, | 114:7, 116:9, 118:19, 126:13, |
| | suppressed 154:6 | 108:16. 108:18. | 130:10, 152:19, | 167:9, 196:7 | 129:1, 129:4 | 132:8. 133:13. |
| | surely 170:21 | 109:7, 109:15, 109:25, 111:3, | 167:19, 175:9, | turn 18:14, 52:17, | various 16:17, 21:3, 109:8 | 133:13, 133:15, |
| | surprised 152:2 | 109:25, 111:3, | 193:21 thousand 11:10. | 91:4, 93:16, | 21:3, 109:8 verbal 186:3 | 138:24, 176:13, |
| | surrender 61:7 surreptitious 7:3, | 111:10, 111:19, 112:11, 112:14, | 11:14, 11:16. | 144:23, 144:25 turned 19:13, | verbally 35:4, | 183:12, 191:24, 192:6, 195:20 |
| | 16:9 | 112:18, 112:20, | 11:14, 11:16, 41:21, 42:13, | 57:12, 64:21, | 67:3 | we've 59:73. |
| | suspect 144:7 | 116:25, 117:2, 117:3, 121:21, | 80:9, 84:18, 93:22, 94:5, 94:7, | 91:11, 93:16, | verbatim 185:17 vercif 165:25 | 95:21, 109:8, |
| | suspected 152:13 | 117:3, 121:21, | 93:22, 94:5, 94:7, | 105:5, 112:23, 144:24, 145:1, | verdict 10:24, | 114:7, 114:19, 115:2, 139:19, |
| | suspicion 142:17 sworn 4:12, 196:7 | 123:19, 124:24, 132:11, 153:13, | 120:21, 120:23, 120:24, 123:12 | 159:24, 163:6. | 128:5, 128:18, | 115:2, 139:19, |
| | system 11:6 | 156:24. 157:12. | 120:24, 123:12, 126:25, 128:23, | 159:24, 163:6, 163:7, 163:7, | 129:5, 129:7, | 166:6, 173:4, 195:14 |
| | Systems 160:12 | 160:25, 161:4, 161:7, 161:10, | 129:3, 129:8, 132:8, 132:9, | 163:8, 163:9, | 148:7, 157:10, 158:4, 164:4, | Wednesday 1:16 |
| ĺ | | 161:/, 161:10, | 132:8, 132:9, 132:17 122:10 | 163:18 | 179:10, 179:13, | week 134:18 |
| | T | 174:9, 174:11 thank 8:10, 9:13, | 132:17, 132:18, 178:5, 178:10 | turning 63:17 TV 158:1 | 179:14, 179:16 | weeks 161:6 welcome 138:12, |
| | table 66:1, 151:22 | 26:22, 26:23, | threaten 122:14 | tweaked 79:17, | verdicts 10:22 version 129:24 | 189:4 |
| | tactic 93:7. | 26:24, 27:8, 27:10, 42:19, | three-quarters | 96:22, 96:23 | versus 43:23, | weren't 83:5, |
| | 121:8, 126:20, | 2/:10, 42:19, 74:11 74:12 | 138:10 thrown 163:23 | tweaking 96:25 twelve 93:22, | 43:24, 105:21, | 100:9, 107:21, 113:6, 126:24, |
| | 126:21 | 74:11, 74:12, 77:22, 85:8, 98:6, | tie 122:13 | 94:7, 94:9, 161:5, | 139:11, 139:12, | 113:6, 126:24, 130:16, 162:11 |
| - | taken 10:24, 35:11, 36:23, | 98:8, 109:1, 132:22, 133:4, | till 97:1, 139:18 | 178:5, 178:10 | 155:2 vested 78:5 | Westmoreland 94:2 |
| | 107:10, 146:5, | 132:22, 133:4, | title 173:3, | twenty 117:8 | view 144:8, 182:20 | what's 5:15, 9:5, |
| | 196:5 | 140:13, 140:25, 160:18, 160:19, | 180:20 today 6:21, 17:15, | twenty-five 114:19, 114:21, | vigorously 153:3 violation 15:6 | 18:12, 45:2, |
| | takes 20:3 taking 5:6, 6:15, | 166:16, 168:19, | 48:5, 52:14, | 123:12 | violation 15:6 | 57:15, 90:8, 99:18, 103:1, |
| | 25:7. 172:22 | 172:21, 184:18, | 76:20, 87:24, | twenty-four 94:5, | vividly 69:22 void 172:15, | 122:4. 131:8. |
| - 1 | talks 67:21, 77:13 | 185:7, 186:21, | 118:1, 118:8, | 94:7, 191:12 | 172:20 | 135:9, 145:22, |
| | tape 6:15, 6:25, | 189:3, 192:8, | 134:23, 135:6, | two-month 108:5 | voluminous 195:5 | 168:21, 176:18, |

| • | - | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| 191:19 | 178:22 | | |
| whatever 19:2, 50:1, 104:22, | wrapped 73:13 writing 22:5, | | |
| 117:22, 128:2, 136:14, 140:11, | 32:16, 32:16, 96:5, 96:19, | | |
| 136:14, 140:11, 142:6, 144:25 | 99:13, 100:7, | | |
| whatever's 189:24 | 172:4, 172:5, | | |
| whatsoever 140:4 whenever 18:17 | 172:9, 196:9 written 36:22, | | |
| Where's 49:15 | 86:5, 115:3 | | |
| WHEREOF 196:19 WHEREUPON 4:5, | wrong 79:8, | | |
| 14:3. 14:18. | 116:13, 129:2, 131:22, 176:11 | | |
| 22:23, 24:18, 27:11, 36:12, | wrongdoing 153:1, 153:2 | | |
| 51:18, 52:22, | wrote 104:21, | | |
| 64:11, 65:15, | 157:3 | | |
| 74:15, 81:6, 85:17, 105:12, | Y | | |
| 133:2, 133:5, | yeah 31:20, 36:9, | | |
| 154:20, 164:14, 175:2, 175:12, | 50:3, 50:17, 51:6, | | |
| 180:12, 182:2, 183:15, 187:25, | 51:17, 53:8, | | |
| 195:22 | 59:22, 75:17, 87:5, 94:24, 96:2, | | |
| whether 10:10, 15:9, 16:18, 33:2, | 102:16, 103:11, 106:12, 110:5. | | |
| 33:25, 37:11, | 106:12, 110:5, 110:11, 110:14, | | |
| 37:15, 41:7, 41:18, 44:11, | 114:14, 117:21, 119:6, 128:22, | | |
| 45:15, 46:21, 47:19, 68:5, | 130:7, 131:12, 134:3, 135:8, | | |
| 68:22, 71:8, | 137:11, 138:2, | | |
| /3:24, 80:14, | 143:25, 145:18, 153:20, 158:22, | | |
| 80:17, 80:22, 98:19, 102:10, | 160:11, 163:19, | | |
| 111:23, 118:10, 118:24, 124:23, | 163:21, 164:6, 168:25, 176:3, | | |
| 126:20, 127:17, | 177:4, 178:1, | | |
| 131:5, 155:7, 155:8, 160:5, | 178:3, 178:19, 179:6, 179:7, | | |
| 169:17, 180:10, 186:16, 186:22, | 180:19, 183:4, | | |
| 186:16, 186:22, 190:10, 190:13 | 183:12, 186:25, 188:1, 191:5, | | |
| whistle-blower | 192:5 | | |
| 7:24, 8:21, 33:8, 119:8, 119:10, | yellow 96:14, 96:23, 100:3, | | |
| 119:21, 119:23, | 130:1, 130:4, 159:17, 159:19, | | |
| 120:14, 154:7 whited 160:2 | 159:17, 159:19, 159:21, 159:22, | | |
| who's 104:5, 167:13 | 160:1 | | |
| whoever 146:1, | Yep 55:16, 75:13 yesterday 101:3, | | |
| 146:9, 152:14, 152:23 | 167:17 | | |
| whole 4:13, 39:12, | yet 26:7, 84:6, 119:3, 121:4, | | |
| 39:14, 42:17, 42:18, 119:7, | 129:9, 135:8, 135:10, 157:14 | | |
| 130:9, 138:7, | you'd 50:22, | | |
| 146:4, 165:14 whomever 30:5 | 111:20, 185:5 you'll 18:11, | | |
| whose 78:18, 196:5 | 18:25, 19:1, | | |
| wife 110:22 William 31:3, | 19:14, 22:8, 26:25, 52:15, | | |
| 53:22, 54:7, 56:6, 101:1 | 68:4. 68:14. | | |
| willing 7:15, | 68:16, 68:16, 68:21, 73:15 | | |
| 126:11, 127:25 willingness 127:8 | young 18:22, 120:9 | | |
| Wilson 79:3, | yours 60:4, 131:21 yourself 21:12, | | |
| 95:14, 120:7 wish 98:13, 114:14 | 77:3, 138:21, 147:18 | | |
| wished | | | |
| wishes 139:24, 141:20 | Z | | |
| withdraw 56:21, 88:4 | Zuckerman 2:4, | | |
| withholding 86:6, | 22:23, 24:18, 137:12, 162:22, | | |
| 86:7, 86:7, 150:9 witness 33:24, | 164:14 | | |
| 42:7, 44:19, | | | |
| 49:25, 165:5, 189:2, 196:5, | | | |
| 196:9, 196:12, | | | |
| 196:19 woman 110:25 | | | |
| won't 18:12, 80:8, | | | |
| 99:24, 99:25, 118:23, 131:15, | | | |
| 138:24 | | | |
| WOODWARD 2:11 worried 152:25 | | | |
| worrving 152:25 | | | |
| worth 123:8, 129:5, 139:2 | | | |
| wouldn't 70:12, 86:16, 91:8, | | | |
| 98:14, 138:3, | | | |
| 169:1, 172:24, | | | |